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Foreword

What World Heritage Status Means for the Canadian Rockies

 Shortly after HRH Prince Philip officiated at the unveiling of the plaque at Lake Louise to commemorate the inscription of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks on the World Heritage List, several reports appeared questioning the event. A Calgary Herald editorial asked, “Does this mean we are ceding control over our national parks?” (26 September 1985). Subsequent letters to the editor wondered if this meant that the parks were to be renamed and all development in the parks halted.

Confusion as to the meaning of inscription on the World Heritage List is found all over the world and clearly an educational shift is needed to explain it. What is the World Heritage Convention?

Some resources, both of nature and human culture, serve as bridges between the past and the future. Their importance to humankind transcends artificial and transitory boundaries devised for political reasons; they are relevant to all cultures and societies. Such resources do not “belong” only to the nations in which they are located or to the people who live in the twenty-first century. They are a part of the heritage of all of humanity and the legitimate inheritance of future generations, and as such their stewardship should be a matter of global concern.
These are the noble ideals enshrined in the World Heritage Convention, or as it is more properly known, the International Convention for Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage. The adoption of this convention in 1972 united, for the first time, concern for international recognition and protection of the world’s cultural and natural heritage. It placed this concern within a permanent framework that provides a legal, administrative and financial basis through which to implement the convention.

At the time of this writing 186 countries have become signatory to the convention and 878 properties have been placed on the select World Heritage List. Of these some 679 are cultural sites, 174 natural, and 25 of mixed designation. Canada at present has 15 sites on the World Heritage List, nine for reasons of exceptional natural qualities and six for extraordinary cultural heritage. These include L’Anse aux Meadows National Historic Site and Gros Morne National Park in Newfoundland; the old town of Lunenburg and Joggins Fossil Cliffs in Nova Scotia; Miguasha National Park on the coast of the Gaspé Peninsula and the Historic District of Old Québec in Quebec; Dinosaur Provincial Park, Head-Smashed-In Buffalo Jump, Waterton-Glacier International Peace Park, Wood Buffalo National Park and the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks in Alberta; SGaang Gwaii in British Columbia; Nahanni National Park in the Northwest Territories; and Kluane/Wrangell-St. Elias/Glacier Bay/Tatshenshini-Alsek, which border Alaska and Yukon Territory; and the Rideau Canal in and around Ottawa.

Canada also has nine sites on the Tentative List for World Heritage Sites. These include Áísínai’pi (also known as Writing-On-Stone) in Alberta, which has the largest concentration of rock art on the Great Plains of North America; Atikaki/Woodland Caribou/Accord First Nations in Manitoba and Ontario, which is regarded as the quintessential Canadian Shield wilderness preserved in a landscape that speaks to the traditional lifeways of the Anishinabe; and Grande-Pré in Nova Scotia, the emotional and spiritual centre of the Acadian people. The list also includes Gwaii Haanas, the home of the Haida and old-growth coastal rainforests, diverse marine life and remarkable biodiversity, and Ivivak/Vuntut/Herschel Island (also known as Qikiqtaruk) in the Yukon, which protects remarkable mountains, boreal forests, tundra and wetlands in the context of living Aboriginal adaptation to extreme environments. Also being considered is the Klondike in the Yukon and British Columbia, the most comprehensive and intact of all the cultural landscapes that illustrate life before, during and after the world’s
great nineteenth-century gold rushes; Mistaken Point in Newfoundland, which possesses the world’s earliest record of multi-cellular life in ancient oceans; and Quttinirpaaq National Park in Nunavut, which testifies to the earliest evidence of human occupations of the northernmost tip of North America. The list ends with Red Bay National Historic Site in Labrador, which is the most complete and best-preserved example known of a sixteenth-century Basque whaling station in North America.

A growing number of the world’s most outstanding natural areas have been granted World Heritage status. These include well-known places such as the Galapagos Island, Iguazu Falls, Great Barrier Reef, Serengeti and Sagamartha (Mount Everest) national parks. Other not-so-well-known World Heritage Sites include Srebarna Nature Reserve in Bulgaria, Kahuzi-Biega National Park in Zaire, the Willandra Lakes Region in Australia and Río Plátano Biosphere Reserve in Honduras.

There are also three properties on the List of World Heritage in Danger, all in Africa. There is also a second list of areas where the very reason they were designated as World Heritage Sites is under threat. It should also be noted that not all properties nominated are inscribed. Of thirteen sites proposed in 1984, just before the mountain national parks in Canada were designated, only seven natural site submissions were eventually approved.

The above, however, does not answer the question of what the Convention means. By ratifying the World Heritage Convention, Canada and the other 185 signatories accepted five basic principles of the Convention:

1. To hold in trust for the rest of humanity those parts of the World Heritage that are within its boundaries;
2. To support other nations in discharging this trust;
3. To exercise the same responsibility to works of nature as to the works of humankind;
4. To grant its co-signatories the right to observe the degree to which it is meeting its obligations under the Convention;
5. To adopt protection policies backed by legal, scientific, and financial measures to ensure that the integrity of World Heritage properties is maintained.

A state that is party to the Convention does not give up sovereignty over World Heritage Sites but it does recognize the special responsibilities it has to the international community toward the perpetuation of the values of the site.
Each state adhering to the Convention is required to make an annual contribution to the World Heritage Fund. The Fund is used primarily by developing nations to strengthen management of their World Heritage properties (e.g., for training, planning, equipment). In this sense the Convention is a mechanism for sharing global heritage responsibilities, which in turn provides developing countries with a material incentive to protect their outstanding natural sites.

Why were the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks put on the list? The nomination document submitted by Parks Canada was processed by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), evaluated by International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and submitted to the Eighth World Heritage Committee meeting in Buenos Aires in November 1984. The Committee agreed that the site was of “outstanding universal value” and met three of the four criteria for World Heritage status (one is sufficient for approval). It was then formally inscribed on the List with the request that the adjacent provincial parks, Robson, Hamber, Assiniboine and Kananaskis, also be considered for inclusion as part of the site. British Columbia later pressed successfully for inclusion and Robson, Hamber and Assiniboine were added to the designation in 1990.

In making its recommendation on the national parks nomination, IUCN noted that the combination of natural features and superlative scenery in the four parks, Banff, Jasper, Kootenay and Yoho, were unsurpassed in the Rocky Mountain region. The integrity of the property was examined and also described in the statement: “Inside the boundary of the parks there are a number of localized sites that have been significantly modified to tourism or transportation purposes. These are contained within the defined intensive use development zones and are subject to elaborate environmental impact assessments. Over 90 percent of the site remains as undisturbed natural wildland.” While new pressures exist today, that percentage was correct in 1990.

What are the implications for the Rocky Mountain Parks in terms of this designation? First, a World Heritage status confers prestige as one of the world’s most outstanding natural areas. Increased publicity and tourism have been experienced in most sites, but this is unlikely to be significant for the Rockies. In many cases World Heritage status has led to increased budgetary appropriations and stimulated increased conservation measures.

Second, World Heritage status means increased protection in terms of Canada’s obligations under the Convention. As a result, any threat to
the integrity of the site is of international, not just national, interest. The best-documented case where World Heritage status has “saved” a site from inappropriate development is the Western Tasmania Wilderness National Parks. It has also served as leverage to convince the Yugoslav government not to construct a dam in the Durmitor National Park World Heritage Site.

Third, World Heritage status does not mean restrictions on further development unless the integrity of the property itself is jeopardized. As noted, more than 90 percent of the property is largely undisturbed and it is unlikely that IUCN (who report on stewardship to the World Heritage Committee) would consider minor developments within the context of the zoning and management plans to be inconsistent with the reasons for which it was inscribed on the List.

Fourth, World Heritage status should provide a stimulus for a broader perspective on the Canadian Rockies whereby the national and provincial parks included within the designation are planned, managed and used as one natural unit.

Finally, World Heritage Status provides moral suasion and plays an important educational role in raising public awareness of Canada’s international role and responsibilities in conservation. By making a public commitment before an international forum to give special status to the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks, Canada has affirmed and reinforced the principles of this unique instrument of international cooperation for conservation. It is in the spirit of these principles that this groundbreaking book aims to celebrate the importance of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site to Canada and to the world.

JAMES THORSELL
Executive Officer
IUCN Commission on National Parks and Protected Areas
Gland, Switzerland
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Invocation

The Magnificent Seven

The purpose of this book is to make three bold new claims related to the history of Canada’s mountain West. The first claim is that our greatest cultural achievement in the mountain region of Western Canada may not be what we have developed in terms of infrastructure, industry, commerce or human population growth. While we mark their development as central to history as we currently define it, railways, highways, towns and cities only partly define our contemporary identity. The mountain West is different from the rest of the country – and from most of the rest of the continent – in that it is not what we constructed out of the landscape that most deeply and enduringly defines us as a people. It is not what we built that truly makes us unique as a culture, but what we saved.

We have saved something in this part of the country that has been lost elsewhere in Canada and widely around the world. In the midst of fragmenting and developing the mountain West, we recognized there
were qualities of place here that meant something more to us than immediate wealth. Slowly and haltingly we undertook steps that would allow elements of the West we cherished to be preserved and protected. After discovering what we had, we began to put what we had started to destroy back together again in a semblance of its original pristine form. Now what we have saved defines us.

The second bold assertion this book makes is that in protecting the spine of the Rocky Mountains we have preserved ecological functions that will be of inestimable value in the future. Not only have we slowed the process of ecosystem diminishment and species loss that have so affected our continent since the end of the last Ice Age, we have kept alive an ecological thermostat that may well be an important defence against future climate change impacts in the Canadian West.

The third bold assertion is that in setting aside the protected areas that compose and buffer the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site, we have recognized the fundamental importance of water to the Canadian West and the role that upland areas play as the principal watersheds of western North America.

This book argues that while our efforts to restore what we had damaged once we saw its great value are less well known than the history of development, they are no less important to our identity and our future. That we preserved so much of what makes the West so special is, in fact, what makes our Western identity unique. These efforts began quietly with the creation of individual mountain national and provincial parks clustered around the Great Divide of the Canadian Rockies. No one could have imagined at the beginning how remarkably important the creation of each element in this network would become.

The first of these small reserves, of course, was Banff. Created in 1885, it was our nation’s first national park. It was followed in 1886 by Yoho and in 1907 by Jasper National Park. Mount Robson Provincial Park was created in 1913. Kootenay National Park came into existence in 1920 and was followed by Mount Assiniboine in 1922. Hamber Provincial Park was created in 1941. World War II and its aftermath froze the creation of protected places until prosperity and the increased mobility brought about by the widespread availability of the automobile made it possible for more Canadians and their guests to see and enjoy what they had.

Once the pieces were saved, we soon saw that their collective value was far more than the sum of their parts. The idea of recognizing the planetary significance of the remarkable features encompassed within this national and provincial park network first found expression in 1981.
In that year the Burgess Shale in Yoho National Park in British Columbia was identified as one of this country’s first UNESCO World Heritage Sites. In 1984 the four mountain national parks were, based on the remarkable geological features they protected, together granted UNESCO World Heritage Site designation. But that was only a prelude to a grander designation that was to follow. In 1990 the three surrounding British Columbia provincial parks were added under an expanded designation that coalesced into the Magnificent Seven, a group of seven contiguous national and provincial parks now recognized as one of the most remarkable and significant large-scale ecological and cultural reserves in the world. But once again it took time to fully understand what we have. It has taken nearly twenty years to realize that what we had created is one of the greatest collective expressions of the will to protect national heritage the world has ever witnessed.

What Canada has done in association with UNESCO and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature is cause for cautious optimism, something increasingly rare in our time. Though we may not have actually intended to, in just over a century we have managed somehow to take torn fragments of the larger Canadian Rockies ecosystem and put them back together again to recreate a grand tapestry of our natural and cultural heritage. From this act and its downstream consequences, we can learn much that we can share with the rest of the world.

No other country in the world has been able to achieve what we have done by way of this remarkable restoration. We have made ourselves whole as a culture by restoring the places that have in the past meant the most to our identity. We have done so by allowing jurisdiction to serve us rather than divide us. And yet, even today, not all of us fully realize just what this accomplishment means. Between 1885 and 1941, we caused what was at first a patchwork of tiny and isolated protected places to grow together to become a contiguous system that now encompasses 23,000 square kilometres of some of the most spectacular mountain landscapes in North America. Only now are we beginning to understand the importance of what we have preserved in terms of the watershed of the West. Only now are we beginning to imagine what this bold act says about our identity and our true prosperity. Only now are we beginning to understand what the Magnificent Seven might mean to our future.

Beyond its sheer scale, and the water it generates, perhaps the thing that is most amazing about the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks
World Heritage Site and its surrounding buffers is that it essentially encompasses only one biogeographical and cultural region. And what a spectacular region it is. Few who have visited it, and none who have lived in it, would disagree that it is one of the world’s most remarkable places.

The Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site – or the Mountain Parks as they are called – encompasses four National Parks, three Provincial Parks, thirteen National Historic Sites and four Canadian Heritage Rivers. The Site encompasses 27 mountain ranges. Within it are at least 669 prominent peaks and hundreds of outliers and lesser peaks that remain unnamed. Within the World Heritage Site’s boundaries are 12 major icefields, 384 glaciers and some 295 lakes. But it is the moving water that matters most. Within this combined reserve are a total of 44 rivers and 164 named tributaries. Only two of these rivers are dammed. If this were not enough, four of the greatest rivers on the continent are born here. These four rivers make the down-slope West habitable – for us and for the rest of the natural world. This book contends that, though the tourism potential of this expanded protected area is an important element of the Western Canadian economy, its role as a healthy watershed will be more important and more valuable to the future than we can even begin to imagine today.

Even the gaps that separate watersheds are important. This World Heritage Site encompasses 23 important mountain passes and at least 25 major airsheds. It encompasses three life zones and is home to more than 600 species of plants, 277 species of birds, and 69 species of mammals, including 13 different carnivores. One of these carnivores, the grizzly bear, is the symbol of the entire region. Its nature and habits embody what is truly unique about the mountain West and its culture. That such magnificent and wild creatures still exist within an hour’s drive of Calgary, a city of a million people, demonstrates the iconic importance of the grizzly as a symbol of what is different about this region and the costs that locals are prepared to pay to sustain and celebrate that difference.

The relationship between the great bear and local culture has developed over more than 400 generations of human presence in the Rocky Mountains. Within the Mountain Parks are some 900 archaeological sites, dating from Early, Middle and Late Prehistoric times. In historic times the Mountain Parks were within the often-overlapping territories of at least 12 First Nations. Parts as well were the home of generations of Métis, the people of mixed European and Native blood who were the foundation of post-contact culture in the Canadian West.
At present there are four permanent communities within this World Heritage Site with a tightly controlled total resident population of fewer than 20,000 residents. These people live in the World Heritage Site for a reason: to operate and maintain these reserves and to serve and care for visitors. So famous are the Mountain Parks that they are the destination of more than 6 million visitors a year. But this number is deceiving. While a million people might walk down Banff Avenue in a year, there are valleys within this World Heritage Site that might be visited once a decade.

While most Canadians take the Mountain Parks for granted, this book argues that we should celebrate this accomplishment for what it means to us and to what it may mean to us and to the world as our populations grow and our climate changes. There is a great deal we can build on.

What we have created in the mountain region of the Canadian West is nothing less than one of the most expansive collective expressions of the will to protect national heritage the world has ever witnessed. In terms of upland watershed protection, it may also prove to be one of the best land-use decisions in Canadian history. We are quickly learning that ecology is economy. We are also learning that what we saved, might in the end save us.

The nature of our western mountain-protection achievement invites Canadians to think in different terms about how we might live in association with the remarkable landscape we have allowed nature to bring back into existence in our time. Realization of the dimensions of our accomplishment may also suggest that an opportunity exists now to work consciously toward creating a culture and an economy commensurate with the grand nature of the landscape we have preserved.

With each passing day we learn more about the value of ecological services nature provides to us free that would be beyond our means to provide for ourselves. It is now estimated that healthy ecosystems provide clean water and other natural benefits for less than one percent of what they would cost us to generate on our own. We may soon discover that our decision to preserve our upland watershed in the Rocky Mountains may ultimately allow nature to offer us the best deal it has offered humanity since agriculture: the gift of true sustainability.

This great reserve forms a baseline of understanding about the West that puts into relief what existed in the past so that we can appreciate the value of what we possess – and possesses us – now. In this region, it is still possible to use the past as an immediate foundation for planning.
for the future. Such latitude no longer exists in much of the rest of the world.

Recasting our history against the backdrop of such an extraordinary inter-generational public policy achievement allows our culture room to move in a time when natural systems everywhere are under great stress. We have not spent all of our natural capital. The fact that we have saved important functioning elements of our natural and cultural history allows us latitude others do not possess in choosing the future we want. What we have saved keeps the door open to the most important of all cultural options: the opportunity to create a new and inspired vision of what kind of West we would like to create for ourselves and for our children. But the West – and the world – is changing quickly. The door to the future we want is not likely to remain open long.

When we are unsure about what to do with our future, we should go to the mountains. By letting them speak to and through us, we affirm who we are and who we might become at our future and ultimate best. These mountains remind us that we don’t have to accept diminishment and loss of landscapes and ecosystems as a condition of growth and prosperity as others have accepted elsewhere. These mountains remind us of what we can do for ourselves and for the world by honouring and protecting the places that mean the most to us. We should go soon and often to these mountains to be reminded of the wisdom of our great achievements, for change is heavily upon us and there is much we must do if we wish to create a sustainable society worthy of place in the mountain West.

Imagining and then creating the West we want may seem an impossible task. I hope, however, that this book will inspire a heightened realization of what is possible based on what we have already achieved. History tells us we can employ the power of mountain places in service of defining a unique Western Canadian identity. By caring about our mountains we have learned how to create the West we want.

ROBERT W. SANDFORD
Canmore, Alberta
January 2010
PART ONE

The West We Had: Foundations of Place
The UNESCO Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site is so large that it is impossible to see in one lifetime. I am not as diligent and persistent as others have been in the quest to see it all, but I have worked at exploring as much of this great protected area as possible for the last forty years. I can honestly say that I know the map pretty well and can visualize the general geography of this entire vast domain. There are places, however, where mountains, valleys, glaciers and rivers are remembered only as end points in what could have been much more protracted explorations. There are valleys that, had I more time and energy, would have led me to missing places in my mental construct of this part of the mountain West. There are passes that, had I crossed them, would have taken me to fabled wild valleys only mentioned very generally in park reports. These places were only known in any depth by Park Wardens or Rangers who had spent their entire careers in the backcountry.

So it is that on the downslide from the summit of youth I still find myself with a long list of places I ache to visit, all of them remote. Most are in the Mountain Parks. And that is what our long-planned and much anticipated expedition to the backcountry was about: appreciation of
the greatest cultural heritage achievement in the history of the Canadian West. It was also meant as an inquiry into how our mountains are changing and what, in the context of those changes, this protected place will mean to our future as a region and as a nation.

Our walking trip into the very southern part of Banff National Park was planned in association with a Parks Canada Warden who has worked extensively in all the mountain national parks. During the forty years he has spent with the National Parks Service, most of it in the specialty of mountain rescue, Gord Irwin has seen as much of the expanded UNESCO Mountain Parks as anyone I know. But even he has not seen it all.

We had been planning this trip for a long time, but for me there always seemed to be more urgent treks to make. Because there are so few who know enough about them or who can articulate good reasons for defending such unknown, almost secret, places from the fate that has already befallen so many other sanctuaries – many are lost without ever being known. The spring comes and the migrating birds arrive to find that another forest has disappeared, the vegetation gone right to bedrock or covered with houses. Travelling widely out of the cities as I do it is hard not to be overwhelmed by the magnitude of the change that is occurring. Everything of value on or beneath the surface of the West is being ripped out of the ground and shipped away to distant, often foreign places. Once one has witnessed the extent of transformation that has taken place in a single generation in and around the Mountain Parks, they begin to look and feel like an island amidst a West that is being flayed and then eaten alive.

There are many ways to enter the Mountain Parks. Most will know the highway portals: the Trans-Canada, which takes travellers through Banff and Yoho National Parks; the Yellowhead, which penetrates Jasper National Park and Mount Robson Provincial Park; and Highway 93, which slices vertically along the Great Divide through Jasper, Banff and Kootenay National Parks. There are also trails that can provide hiking, biking and horse access at dozens of points on all sides of the contiguous 400-kilometre-long and 150-kilometre-wide “wilderness.”

As we wanted to access southern Banff National Park, we had a number of options. We chose, because we had only three days, to access the remotest part of the Spray River headwaters region from Burstall Pass in adjacent Peter Lougheed Provincial Park.

Irwin and I had company on our trip. He was accompanied by his partner, Marie-Pierre Rogeau, whom he met when she began research into the forest fire history of the mountain National Parks in 1991. Since
that time, “M-P,” as she is known, has become an acknowledged expert on fire succession in Canadian forests. I was accompanied by my wife Vi and our then-twelve-year-old son, Landon, who in the last year had taken an interest in where he lives and had asked if he might be included in this experience.

We did not get what locals would call an “alpine start.” After dropping a vehicle off at Mount Shark trailhead we arrived at the beginning of the Burstall Pass trail at about 11:30 a.m. We were fortunate, however, that we were past the summer’s peak heat. Even though it was only mid-August the light was already tending toward the oblique richness of autumn when every detail of the landscape is thrown into magnificent relief.

Less than an hour later, we stopped at the edge of an outwash plain where melt from the Robertson Glacier meanders through patches of willow to meet Burstall Creek. After lunch we started up the 2,380 metre pass. We stopped for a rest on the flats above the first big hill where we admired a great slab of perfectly smooth stone on the west face of Whistling Ridge that appeared to have been created by an enormous rock slide along a near-vertical fault line. Beyond the great slab we
could see the massive walls of 3,400 metre Mount Sir Douglas, which dominates the head of the valley.

That I am not fit became clear as we climbed the last ridge of the pass. Landon danced all around me as I puffed and sweated my way to the summit. While pausing to catch my breath, we discovered a family of ptarmigan hiding stock-still among the rocks. Their adaptive colouration is so perfect you have to startle them to see them. It was as if the rocks miraculously produced a hen and four chicks only to have them disappear again when you stopped looking at them.

As we dropped a few metres down from the summit, the Matterhorn-like peak of Mount Assiniboine came into view. Just the sight of this great tower evokes myth. After a brief rest we began the steep descent into the utter wilderness that lay before us at the headwaters of the Spray. It was like crossing a border into a remote land I had dreamed for years of visiting. You could immediately feel the difference between the west side of the pass and the one we had climbed: like suddenly dropping back into time.

The trail on the west slopes of Burstall Pass has started to grow in from disuse. Moss on the path suggested to Irwin that more horses pass this way today than hikers. That did not mean, however, that we were alone. Within only a few kilometres we discovered signs of grizzlies, wolves, coyotes, martens, moose, elk and deer. There was something larger than us here and more ancient – a presence we didn’t feel elsewhere. We descended step by step into the ineffable, into the sacred. As we followed the trail toward the valley, the tracks of a moose became the moose itself. We stared at it in silent awe, all five of us watching it watch us. What does it do to one, I wondered, to see such things and to see such places? Only good I suspect.

At a spring near the valley floor we stopped to drink water so good and so cold that every cell in my body thirsted for it. By the time we made the junction with the main Spray Valley trail, I was so tired I kept walking so I wouldn’t fall down. Irwin, who as a fully accredited mountain guide is experienced in helping tired people reach their goal, made light of it. We jokingly formalized the Law of Relative Distance wherein three kilometres is always shorter leaving a backcountry cabin than it is when arriving, especially after a long day.

Vi, who had been carrying an enormous pack, unloaded enchiladas, wine and two cans of beer. There were appetizers and cold drinks. I drank glass after glass of water. No one was in a hurry to eat. There was nothing to hurry for, which allowed us time for simple conversation.
I said to Irwin that this part of the upper Spray River valley reminded me of the Rocky Forks area on the south boundary of Jasper National Park where I visited him just after he became a district warden in 1976. He replied that the similarity between Palliser and Rocky Forks was the main reason he was happy to come into this most southerly part of the Mountain Parks.

He went on to say, though it appeared completely wild on many maps, the block of parks comprising the World Heritage Site were in fact badly fragmented by national highways, roads, towns, railways, pipelines and heavily used trails. Fragmentation was being continually exacerbated by human use. There was a time, he explained, when protection goals were the highest priority in the management of the mountain park system. It was his view that Parks Canada had in recent years focussed on the quality of visitor experience as its central management priority, at the cost of core ecological values. He was concerned that the pendulum had swung too far in favour of visitor experience and too far away from protection.

Places like the upper reaches of the Spray, in his estimation, remained largely unaffected by front-country policy directions only because they had never been opened to mountain biking and other mechanical incursions. Though very accessible, they were seldom visited except by wardens on horse patrols and hikers committed to a multi-day back-country adventure. Such adventurers, Irwin remarked, were becoming fewer. The recent popularity of backpacking, which began in the late 1960s and lasted into the early 1980s, has ended. Most hikers today want to spend the day on the trail and then return to civilization for a comfortable bed and a restaurant meal.

Another incursion the Palliser District had been spared, according to Irwin, was the intense focus of contemporary ecosystem management. In large areas of the Mountain Parks, landscape and environmental processes can no longer be described as self-willed. Put bluntly, these landscapes are no longer allowed to manage themselves. Instead, Parks Canada manages them according to its perceived needs and visitor expectations. In other words, having lost the self-willed capacity to change in directions defined by their own intrinsic qualities and dynamics, these landscapes are no longer wild. This is not a minor point but is the devil’s bargain you make when a wilderness is designated a national or provincial park, at least in places where surrounding areas have been compromised by a variety of human uses. You are forced to manage at least as much for visitor needs as you are for ecosystem needs.
We have reached the point where we have interfered so much with the greater natural ecosystem function of the Canadian West that the kinds of ecosystems we have created cannot continue to function without our constant intervention.

The foundation for contemporary management of protected areas in Canada’s mountain West is a concept called ecological integrity. As cumulative effects of development and increased visitation in Banff National Park put into relief outdated wildlife management strategies such as predator control, exotic species introduction, wildlife population control and fire suppression, new concepts of ecological understanding have emerged. The most compelling of these is the idea of maintaining the integrity and connectivity of existing mountain ecosystems.

Ecological integrity, in the context of national parks, means the minimization of human impacts on natural processes of ecological change. This requires management of both people and the manipulation of natural processes. From an ecosystem point of view it means not letting your ecosystems become unnaturally fragmented or diminished in vitality. It means keeping all natural processes operative, including fire, predation, insects and even some forms of disease, which isn’t as easy as it sounds.
When natural systems become islandized, they are subject to a whole range of influences we are only beginning to understand, all of which contribute to diminishment and ecological loss. We have learned, for example, that a newly islandized reserve will temporarily hold a full complement of its original species. What happens next, however, is that the big island is made into a series of smaller islands by roads, rails and trails. Wild landscapes are reduced to dysfunctional fragments. Species then begin to disappear. This is what is happening presently throughout the Rockies. Human population growth is tearing the wild out of the West.

Humans tend to overwhelm natural landscapes. Conservationists like Stephen Mayer in the United States have claimed the human presence is now so pervasive that we have effectively taken over processes of natural selection. Ecologists argue that our planet’s remaining ecosystems are now divided into two types: intact systems and relic communities that have no hope of surviving over the long term without extensive human support. Relic communities are composed of ghost species – plants and animals that have no hope unless we help them. While the ecosystem that comprised much of the Mountain Parks was once considered intact, it is being increasingly islandized by outside land-use changes and uncomplimentary human activities. There is legitimate fear that some species like the mountain caribou, the grizzly and the wolf are already relic species and are expected to become ghost species by the end of the twenty-first century. For those who believe that the presence of these creatures is what defines the mountain West as unique, this is alarming news. Protecting endangered species is expensive. We don’t know how many we have the knowledge to support. Some landscapes are changing so quickly, we don’t know if some species can even survive in the circumstances that are emerging. Only one thing seems certain. The West is not as wild as it once was. It is not as wild as most Canadians think it is and it is nowhere as wild as we tell the rest of the world it is. Reality is coming up hard against some of our most cherished myths.

While Landon would have entertained us all night with his witty perspectives on our world, I pulled the thick wool blankets over me and by 10:30 p.m. had forgotten my aching bones in satisfying but strangely alert sleep. I felt I was returning to primal patterns of being that resided just below the surface of my civilized self. It was the sleep of the hunter – and the hunted.

The valley to which we awoke was filled with smoke. As is typical in late summer, there was a big forest fire burning to the south, perhaps
as far away as the United States, and winds were pushing its smoke into the upper reaches of the Spray. Besides smoke, the valley was filled with history, mystery and names.

The names of the peaks and watercourses in this area derive from the patriotic obsessions of Arthur Oliver Wheeler, the commissioner representing British Columbia for the survey appointed to delimit the boundary between British Columbia and Alberta from 1913 through 1924. Wheeler made sure that British, Canadian and French generals were all remembered, major land and naval battles commemorated, and heroes elevated to permanent memory, by having peaks in the Canadian Rockies named after them. Burstall Pass, Lake and Mountain, for example, were named in 1918 for Lieutenant-General Sir E.H. Burstall, the commander of Canada’s troops in Europe in World War I. In 1918, Wheeler named the highest mountain in the area, 3,400 metre Mount Sir Douglas, after Field Marshall Haig, the commander of British forces in France.

While Wheeler’s commemoration of heroes of World War I is thought provoking, the real history of this valley is connected with the Palliser Expedition. John Palliser was born into an aristocratic Irish family of British descent in British-occupied Ireland in 1817. He grew up in Waterford County where he served in the military and eventually became county sheriff. At the age of thirty, Palliser made a trip to North America to hunt buffalo on the Great Plains. His book, *Solitary Rambles and Adventures of a Hunter in the Prairies*, published in 1853, was widely read in England and no doubt influential in his choice as leader of the government-funded British North American Exploring Expedition, which travelled over large areas of the unmapped West between 1857 and 1860. The instructions for the expedition were very clearly defined in a letter the Secretary of State of the British government sent to Palliser in late March of 1859:

> From Fort Garry you will start, as soon as you have organized your party, in a westwardly direction, taking such course as you shall consider most advisable for acquiring additional knowledge of the country on either side of the Bow River or south branch of the Saskatchewan River and south of the southern branch, and thence proceeding westward to the headwaters of that river, you will endeavor from the best information you can collect to ascertain whether one or more practical passes exist over the Rocky Mountains through British territory, and south of that known to exist between Mount Brown and Mount Hooker.¹
While there were certainly plenty of political reasons for the British government to support such an expedition, the Palliser inquiry into the West also possessed a serious scientific focus:

*It being the desire of Her Majesty’s Government that the Expedition should, as far as practicable, be made available for extending general as well as specific scientific knowledge, I have to impress upon you the importance, in addition to maintaining a regular series of instrumental observations, of regularly recording the physical features of the country through which you pass, noting its principal elevations, the nature of its soil, its capacity for agriculture, the quality and quantity of timber, and any indications of coal or other minerals.*

Palliser was also instructed to keep a journal of all the expedition’s observations and to forward duplicate copies at every favourable opportunity to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, on Downing Street in London.

When the expedition reached the front ranges of the Rockies in the summer of 1858, Palliser split the duties of his men so as to ensure that they were able to explore as much of the region as possible. Palliser departed from Old Bow Fort on the Bow River on August 18, forded the Bow above the Kananaskis and then, with much difficulty owing to the amount of burnt and fallen timber, followed the Kananaskis to just below its origins at what is now called the Haig Glacier, and then crossed North Kananaskis Pass into what is now British Columbia. From the height of land at North Kananaskis Pass the Palliser party dropped into the valley of what is now known as the Palliser River, which they followed to its junction with the Kootenay River. After further exploration and a number of exciting adventures involving local Native peoples, they re-crossed the Rockies and made for Fort Edmonton, where they had arranged with the Hudson’s Bay Company to spend the winter.

While Captain Palliser was exploring the Kananaskis, another member of his expedition was fighting for his survival in what is now Yoho National Park. James Hector was a geologist and medical doctor with a great interest in the Canadian West. While Palliser went south from Old Bow Fort, Hector went west. Following the Bow River to what he named Castle Mountain, he then crossed the Great Divide into British Columbia by what is now called Vermilion Pass. He then followed the Vermilion River to where it joins the Kootenay at what is now Kootenay Crossing Warden Station and then ascended the Kootenay to the height of land that divides it from the Wapta River, one of
the principal tributaries of the Columbia. He soon found himself at the junction of the Wapta and a larger river that, after a dangerous incident involving a pack horse, he called the Kicking Horse. He then followed the river to its headwaters at Kicking Horse Pass where he crossed back into what is now Alberta. Hector next followed the Bow River to its source at Bow Lake, and then crossed Bow Pass into the Mistaya Valley in Banff National Park. He followed the North Saskatchewan to Rocky Mountain House and eventually to Fort Edmonton.

When we add up all these travels, we begin to appreciate why the Palliser Expedition is so important to this region and why the Palliser name carries such weight in the upper reaches of the Spray. While history focuses on the impacts of the Palliser Expedition on ending the Hudson’s Bay Company’s control over Rupert’s Land, the definition of the Palliser triangle in agricultural history and the opening of the Canadian prairies to settlement, his influence was also very significant in the mountain West. Fur trader and map maker David Thompson may have travelled more in areas now encompassed in the Mountain Parks, but his detailed observations are eclipsed by the careful accumulation of scientific observations made in the region not just by Palliser himself, but by geologist James Hector, botanist Eugene Bourgeau and Astronomer Royal Thomas Blakiston.

My front-step meditations on names, naming and the history of this valley were interrupted by the sounds of an approaching helicopter, which surprised us by landing right in front of the cabin. The purpose of the flight was to drop off equipment to be used in an ongoing study of genetic diversity in existing grizzly bear populations, to better understand genetic dispersal along linked corridors in the Yellowstone-to-Yukon conservation study area. The goals of this study are a reminder that even the Mountain Parks are not an ideal wildlife reserve. The assemblage of reserves is not the right shape to optimize survival of islandized species. A round reserve will sustain more species than an elongated one and clusters of reserves have a better chance of keeping their original complement of species than one alone. The DNA study will assist in identification of critical bear habitat at any given time of the year, and avenues of connectivity between different bear habitats. While I recognized the value of such research, I could not help but hear the voice of Stephen Meyer whispering to me in the wind generated by the helicopter blades:
Our most common tools for preserving biodiversity—prohibitory laws and regulations, bioreserves, and sustainable-development programs—are themselves powerful engines of human selection, tweaking (for our pleasure) but not fundamentally altering the outcome: massive species loss with the attendant disappearance of the wild.

Here we were in the middle of one of the last truly wild places in Banff National Park and the cavalry had just arrived to help advance further human direction of natural selection. Critics of national park wildlife management programs wonder why Parks Canada bothers putting so much effort into saving the grizzly bear. The answer is simple: without this species the West is not the West as we know it. Though originally designated for their remarkable geological features, the Mountain Parks would not be a wilderness if we lost the great bear. Whatever it costs, we should do everything within our power to save this species, for in saving it we save everything it symbolizes.

When the silence returns after the departure of the helicopter, I am suddenly very interested in walking to Leman Lake where a number of bear-rubbing trees have been identified and modified to unobtrusively collect grizzly hair for DNA analysis. The very thought of grizzlies in this valley makes me feel alive.

There was a bald eagle circling high above us as we left the cabin. As we walked through the buckbrush we stirred up thousands of white butterflies that circled us as we walked. The butterflies have one or two dots on each quarter-sized wing. Likely they are *Pierus marginalis*, or a related species of what are common known as the Veined White.

As we crested the old moraine that confines the waters of Leman Lake, M-P pointed out one of the bear-rubbing trees. It was an alpine fir right on the trail, perfectly located to allow grizzlies to scratch itches on their backs. An “x” was constructed of barbed wire at the bottom of the likely scratch zone to collect hair that would later be analyzed for its DNA content. This would allow the identification of the individual bear that left the hair and establish its relationship to other bears in the area and larger region. Some 46 trees were apparently rigged in this way to unobtrusively determine the health of the grizzly gene pool in and around this area of the Mountain Parks.

As we reached the log jams on the shore of the lake, we noticed that the fire smoke had become thicker. While bald eagles floated in circles above us, we talked, skipped rocks into the water and ate a leisurely lunch. We were two kilometres from Spray Pass. Irwin explained that the pass is
now accessible from British Columbia by high clearance two-wheel drive vehicles. An all-weather road can take motorists to within a 40-minute walk of the boundary of Banff National Park. This same distance can be covered in less than 15 minutes on one of today’s high tech all-terrain vehicles and even more quickly in good conditions on a snowmobile.

This is how such places are irreversibly lost. First they become surrounded. Old trails into the great sanctuaries are upgraded to seismic or logging roads. Then they are gravelled and opened for year-round use. Today hunters are less of a problem than they used to be, not just in relative but also in absolute numbers. While hunting traditionally put some pressure on wildlife populations, its current impact is slight compared to the damage caused by snowmobiling, the unregulated use of all-terrain vehicles and the opening up of mountain biking trails. Together these activities have not only fragmented landscapes but also resulted in far greater stress on wildlife, especially at critical times in their life cycle.

As Yellowstone National Park managers learned to their deep regret that, once such activities are permitted on a large enough scale to support commercial enterprises, the lobby they create makes it impossible to end their use, no matter how much damage they may cause. While park managers very prudently eliminated snowmobile use in Canada’s Rocky Mountain national parks in 1972, it is permitted up to the boundaries on all sides of the Mountain Park block.

As I was still tired from the walk in, Landon and I headed back toward Palliser Cabin while the others walked the two kilometres to Spray Pass. Bushwhacking from the lake shore back up to the trail, we found white geraniums among the cow parsnip and the hellebore. I had never seen white geraniums in Banff National Park. It isn’t just the machines entering the park, but a northward shift of many species of plants and animals with the increase in average night-time and winter temperatures. There are already nearly 100 invasive plant species in the Mountain Parks. Most of these, however, were introduced from Europe or Asia and brought to the mountains on the wind, accidentally on trains, in the wheel wells and on the tires of cars, or in feed for horses. Climate warming will likely exacerbate the problem of invasive species by creating circumstances favourable to weeds that do well in disrupted places. The problem with invasives is that they take over ecosystems and use a disproportionate amount of their limited energy. Over time they choke out endemic species and reduce biodiversity.

As we have caused and continue to cause considerable landscape disruption in the Canadian West, we should expect a great many new
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arrivals of species from the south and be ready for other problematic arrivals from Europe and Asia. We know that our mountain ecosystems have already begun to disassemble under the influence of changing climate and have begun to reassemble in ways we can’t predict. Historically, these ecosystems were largely defined by cold. When cold becomes less of a factor, the ecosystems can change dramatically in as short a time as a single human generation.

Back at Palliser Cabin I drank three litres of cold, clear water drawn from Birdwood Creek. I found myself thinking about our increasingly water-scarce world. The Mountain Parks generate most of the water in the prairie West. We know, however, that climate change is already affecting the timing and extent of precipitation in these mountains. Most people in Alberta don’t see these changes because they are buffered by the ecological composition, extent and character of the Mountain Parks. This vast area of relatively stable, long-established ecological relationships slows down the galloping influence of outside changes and invasions. By minimizing human impacts on this fragile landscape we slow the impacts of climate change on our ecosystems, allowing ourselves more time to mitigate undesirable causes and adapt to projected changes. In so doing we also protect the water resources crucial to the entire West and buy time to learn more about managing changing ecosystems toward stability and sustainability.

These unimpaired landscapes of the mountain West are the headwaters of our unique identity. Seen in this light, nature here is offering us the best deal it has offered our species since it provided us with the

TOWARDS LEMAN LAKE

Its low elevation and proximity to Palliser Pass make Leman Lake an excellent place to study the grizzly bear movement into and out of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Gord Irwin poses for a photograph, on the trail in the midst of ideal of grizzly habitat.

Photograph by Vi Sandford.
opportunity to create agriculture. For the mere cost of restraint, we buy as much stability as exists in the natural world. For the cost of leaving the place alone we buy a reliable source of clean water and a functioning thermostat that will keep the West cool until we turn down the heat in our global atmosphere. We would be crazy not to continue to accept such gifts.

I woke up the next day wishing we didn’t have to leave. When the cabin was exactly as we found it and Irwin had completed his entry into the log book, we set out down-valley toward Bryant Creek and the Shark Mountain trailhead some 16 kilometres distant.

The trail follows the gentle incline of the valley downhill along the Spray River. We stopped to rest at places along the river that were so beautiful, where the water was so clear and cold and the light so rich there was no question we had found the paradise we sought. I did not want to speak for fear of breaking the spell.

From the Spray Bridge, the trail to Mount Shark is a trail in name only. Actually it is a road. Even though we had only been gone for three days, it seemed odd to encounter other people. We were soon out of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site as it is now defined. As we re-entered Kananaskis Country it occurred to me that it took years for me to see this heavily used but beautiful and much-loved landscape for what it is really is: it is a landscape of hope, for the Rocky Mountains and for the West. That heavily logged, mined and dammed places such as the Kananaskis can recover to the extent it has is a symbol of great possibility. In recognizing the value of what we had, even badly damaged landscapes can be restored. If they are adjacent to wildness, as Kananaskis is, we can leave them to do much of the restoration themselves.

We met hikers in growing numbers as we approached the busy trailhead. They were all clearly happy just to be in these mountains. All of them loved the Rockies. Most were young and had only a beginner’s sense of just how remarkable this landscape is and just how valuable places like these are to the West, to the world and to our global future. But each one seemed eager to know and experience more, which was why they were there. Our common optimism and appreciation for our mountain places suggests it is not too late to restore what we have lost and to build a culture commensurate with what we have already preserved. By honouring our past we can create the West we want. We have done it once and we can do it again. All we have to do is want to.
The Creation
Monumentality and Place

the stories buried in the mountains
give out to the sea
and the sea remembers
and sings back
from the depths
where nothing is forgotten

DAVID WHYTE
Where Many Rivers Meet

Of all the terrestrial expressions of the changing nature of the earth’s surface, mountains are the most dramatic. The hotter, molten rock of the interior mantle of the earth is less dense than the colder rock of the hardened crust above it. As it is less dense, the hot rock of the mantle rises. As it rises it cools, becomes denser. As it becomes dense it sinks. This continuous circulation of molten material creates huge currents in the earth’s mantle, which in turn cause the great plates on the planet’s crust to wander, a phenomenon known as continental drift.

Some of the world’s most spectacular mountain ranges have been created by plate movement during continental drift. The Himalayas arose when the Indian subcontinent met Asia. The Andes were created
when a down-going slab of oceanic crust melted and sent hot rock upward in a process called subduction. The hot rock then melted the overlying continental crust to send huge volcanic mountains skyward. While still very much a product of continental drift, the Canadian Rockies were formed in yet another way.

**THE CONTINENT SHUDDERS AND MOUNTAINS ARE FORMED FROM COAST TO COAST TO COAST**

North America has not always been where it is now. It moves slowly, jostling the other continents on its way across the spinning world. When the eastward-tending North American plate reversed direction about 200 million years ago, the oceanic crust off the west coast of the continent slid beneath it. Island arcs on the surface of the subducted oceanic plate rode up onto the advancing North American plate and were added to the continental land mass. This process is called accretion and the land masses added to a continent in this way are called terranes.

Over the past 200 million years, the North American continent acted as a wedge, peeling terranes from the underlying oceanic plate. As many as 50 different terranes have been added to the northwestern edge of North America through accretion, most from the southwest. Some terranes may have moved three to five thousand kilometres northward before being captured by our wandering continent.

The North American continent has grown wider over time. Much of British Columbia was not originally part of North America and arrived as an accumulation of terranes. This accumulation also caused horizontal compression of the sedimentary rock that already covered the surface of the North American plate. This horizontal compression created the mountains of Western Canada.

The first period of mountain building, known as the Columbian Orogeny, took place about 175 million years ago. Compression continued into the early Cretaceous, a period that began about 144 million years ago. The mountains of British Columbia kept piling upward and mountain-building advanced slowly eastward; 120 million years ago, the western ranges of the Rockies began to pile up too, and the main ranges began to rise. About 85 million years ago, North America “docked” with the massive Vancouver Island and Queen Charlotte terranes, causing the last major horizontal compression across Western Canada. It was this last great compression, the Laramide Orogeny, which created the
foothills and front ranges of the Rockies. Thereafter, plates slid along the west coast of North America rather than ramming into it. Horizontal compression of this kind resulted in the deformed and thrust-faulted ocean sediments we now know as the Rocky Mountains.¹

**AS THEY GO UP, THEY COME DOWN**

Even as the primordial mountain ranges of the West were being born, they came under the same multiple forces of erosion that created the sediments of which they were composed. The rising Rockies were worn away by the mechanical action of rain, running water, and the alternate freezing and thawing of frost and ice. Relatively soft sedimentary rock eroded, through the mechanical and chemical breakup of readily dissolvable minerals and through natural breakdown by plants. By the time the Rockies stopped rising, they were already hip-deep in their own debris.

If the geology of the Canadian Rockies could be summarized into a single brief statement it would be this: they have been carved by rivers and glaciers out of the thrust-up sediments of an ancient seafloor.
VERTICAL ARCHIPELAGOS

Regardless of how mountains are formed and later shaped, their most striking contemporary feature may be the fact that the conditions created by altitude and steep slopes organize life into what have been described as “vertical archipelagos” of biological and cultural diversity.

As the retreating ice revealed the scraped and torn surface of the land, plants and then animals followed the swollen rivers, reclaiming the scarred and empty valleys. Fish swam upstream to newly forming lakes; seeds fell on new soil created in the great grinding down of the rock of ages. In the lengthening summers lichens kissed bare rock into life. Glaciated landscapes were transformed by the miracle of the seed. In time, the white world of the glacial peaks turned brown, then green. Animals followed the upward advance of the plants. But not all life can be upwardly mobile, and there are limits to how high life can go.

Mountains are islands of uniquely adapted life forms surrounded by seas of lowlands. Plants and animals that inhabit these regions are not always able to cross hostile lowlands to reach other peaks. Isolation means that species develop quickly and, as a result, the mountainous places of the world are home to many unique and highly specialized plants and animals.

At altitudes where the trees thin out and then disappear into the cold, communities of extraordinarily adapted plants continue to assert themselves against the short seasons and the eternal frost. This narrow zone of life is called the alpine. It is the last bitter margin of life’s upward march toward the cold stone of the peaks.

The toughness of alpine species notwithstanding, ours is a period of great biological disruption. The growing presence and expanding activities of humans have marked the beginning of a prolonged period of landscape change. To understand this change and why the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site is so important, we need to trace the circumstances of life on Earth through geological records preserved within the strata forming the mountains. In examining these strata we learn how the geological record has been defined by mass extinctions. In the process we are introduced to the most famous fossils and what they tell us about the progression of life through time and, finally, we arrive at recent time where we confront an established pattern of biological diminishment and loss that seems to characterize life on the planet since the end of the last ice age.
THE SEA: WHERE NOTHING IS FORGOTTEN

In order to make it understandable, the planet’s history has been broken down into periods of time that represent major changes in conditions. These periods are represented by different rock types and often distinguished by changes in the dominant life found as fossils within them. Today’s geological time scale consists of huge blocks of time called eons. The eons are divided into eras; eras into periods and epochs – in much the same way we divide human time into years, days and hours.

As the Rockies are composed of marine limestone laid down during earlier periods in our planet’s history, they tell us much about what has happened in the past. We don’t have to go to the ocean floor to study evolution. In the Rocky Mountains the ocean has – very conveniently – come to us. To understand the direction life has taken, all one has to do is stand on a mountain peak in Yoho National Park and listen to what the seas say.

The Precambrian eon is the oldest and most difficult geological period to understand because most rock from this eon has been so much changed by heat and pressure that its age and origin are difficult to determine. This period begins with fossil forms that are as alien to what exists today as what we might expect to find on other planets. There are very few places on the planet where this history can be read. One of them is the Burgess Shale, which is located above Emerald Lake in Yoho National Park.

THE CAMBRIAN EXPLOSION AND THE MOST FAMOUS FOSSILS IN THE WORLD

We know from what the rocks say that over a period of only 5 million years, between 543 and 538 million years ago, the handful of animal body plans that existed at the time suddenly exploded from 4 to 38 different platforms upon which creation could build life. This rapid
expansion in life forms is seen to be evidence of what is often referred to as the Cambrian Explosion. The Burgess Shale, the first World Heritage Site designated in the mountain West, is one of the best places on Earth to witness evidence of this explosion.

The first to understand the significance of the fossils in the Burgess Shale was Dr. Charles Doolittle Walcott, the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution, who literally stumbled upon them during a visit to the Rockies in 1909. Between 1909 and 1911, Walcott collected and exported to Washington D.C. some 65,000 specimens from a quarry on the shoulder of Mount Field, representing some 170 species of plants and animals.

Over the next century, four generations of palaeontologists studied the nearly perfectly preserved creatures. One of the greatest of these was Desmond Collins, a palaeontologist from the Royal Ontario Museum. Dr. Collins and his colleagues and students mined the Burgess quarry for nearly 20 years. Based on his testimony on the value of this treasure trove to our understanding of Cambrian life, the Burgess Shale was nominated as a World Heritage Site.

For the first 20 years after the Burgess site was designated in 1981, everyone seemed satisfied that the rare creatures discovered there had been fully appreciated for what they told us about the evolution of life. Then in 2003, British palaeontologist Andrew Parker caused the Cambrian to explode all over again. Using an electron microscope to examine the fossil remains of particularly well-preserved Burgess fauna (Wiwaxia, Canadia and Marrella) Parker discovered the remnants of diffraction gradients. Their presence suggested to Parker that these animals were iridescent. If there was light and colour, Parker surmised, then animals could “see.” The capacity to see meant the existence of an eye.

What Andrew Parker discovered was that the “Big Bang of Evolution” that took place between 544 and 543 million years ago apparently was the result of the new ability to see. The eye allowed the rise of a whole new kind of creature: the active rather than the passive predator. With the evolution of the predatory hunter, new defences were urgently required. “In the blink of an eye,” armour was invented. The number of creatures with shells exploded and life filled all the seas in the Cambrian ocean.

The Burgess Shale is of inestimable value to us today because it is one of only a tiny number of places on our planet where fossils tell the tale of how nature turned the light switch on. These fossils represent a turning point in the history of life on Earth.
With the rise of the eye, evolution got out of first gear. A new sense demanded adaptive strategies for prey seeking escape from animals with eyes. New musculature appeared. So did jaws, teeth and shells. The eye led to the rise of armament and ornament in nature. By way of evolution, adaptive colouration appeared in the world. In a world where animals could see, there was an explosion of new niches that life could fill. A broader food web came into existence, which led to creation of the first true ecosystems and to early animals with backbones: chordates like *Pikiai*. The chordates led to the first vertebrates, which led to us.

The eye caused a rapid advancement of evolution. In only a million years, the world was changed forever. Close your eyes. Keep them closed. Now open them. The world became ours the moment the first eye recognized light. That eye may have first opened above a seafloor that was since pushed three kilometres into the sky to form the Rocky Mountains.
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**DR. DESMOND COLLINS**

Since the discovery of this amazing fossil bed by the Secretary of the Smithsonian, Charles Doolittle Walcott in 1909, the Burgess Shale has attracted some of the world’s leading paleontological researchers to the Rockies.

Dr. Desmond Collins and his colleagues at the Royal Ontario Museum in Toronto affirmed to the world the importance of Yoho National Park through a series of important new discoveries in the 1970s and 1980s.

*Photograph by R.W. Sandford.*
The Burgess Shale and Mass Extinctions

Desmond Collins, who worked on the mysteries of the Burgess Shale fossils for much of his life, contended that one of the deeply disturbing lessons offered in our time by the Burgess record relates to the precariousness of life: existence is a gamble. Chance plays a huge role in determining what survives and what does not. “If you re-wound and replayed the video tape of time,” he was fond of saying, “you might not get Pikai – and you might not get us.”

The Burgess Shale offers other sobering lessons on the history of earthly life. Its layers were laid down during a long period of stability. The geological time scale is founded upon the presence throughout history of such long periods of stability, followed by massive extinctions caused by horrific natural catastrophes. There are no guarantees against disasters from within or without. In other words, contingency is a fact of existence. During the past 570 million years, the span of time that hard-bodied creatures have lived on Earth, there have been as many as fifteen major periods of extinction. Five mass extinctions involved as many as half of the species then alive on Earth. Two major catastrophes were even more devastating.

The North Saskatchewan River

Because of their elevation, the Rocky Mountains play an important role in the hydrological cycle of the North American continent. Fed by rain and snow, rivers in the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site have been flowing without interruption for more than 10,000 years. As the glaciers in the Rockies continue to melt, however, that may change.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
The first extensive extinctions occurred about 245 million years ago at the close of the Paleozoic, or Age of Primitive Life. The end of the Permian Era is defined by something that caused more than 90 percent of species to vanish. Geologists examining the Permian-Triassic boundary have found an abundance of fossils that suddenly interface with a lifeless black mudstone, which upon analysis reveals a period during which there was little oxygen as billions of bodies decayed at the bottom of the sea.

Research has suggested that the catastrophe that caused the great Permian extinction was the explosion of a chain of Siberian volcanoes that introduced enough CO₂ into the atmosphere to heat the oceans sufficiently to force methane out of salt-water solution. The combined temporary impacts of increased atmospheric concentrations of these two gases may have caused a runaway greenhouse effect that eliminated much of life on Earth. Geologists are now able to model the extent of the temperature rise that brought about the loss of 95 percent of life on this planet. It is interesting to note that the sudden temperature rise that occurred in the Permian was on the order of about 6°C, which – interestingly enough – is about the upper limit at which the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has projected for mean annual temperature rise if we do not curb greenhouse emissions in our time.³

Life on this planet underwent yet another cataclysm 180 million years later, at the end of the Cretaceous. In The End of Evolution: On Mass Extinctions and the Preservation of Biodiversity, geologist Peter Ward describes the scene.⁴ An asteroid or the nucleus of a comet at least 10 kilometres across and travelling approximately 40,000 kilometres an hour approached the earth. Its huge velocity rammed a hole through the atmosphere. Moments later it slammed into the earth, causing an explosion more powerful than the detonation all of the modern world’s nuclear weapons, creating a crater nearly 300 kilometres across. Rock in the impact zone, as well as the entire mass of the asteroid itself, blasted upward through the hole in the earth’s atmosphere created by its entry. Some of this rocky debris was flung into orbit around the earth. The heavier material re-entered the atmosphere but fell back as blazing fireballs that set fire to many of the earth’s forests. Over half of the vegetation on the planet burnt in the ensuing fires. Stratospheric winds carried the impact debris around the world. Debris and the smoke of the burning forests blocked out the sun for months. Shock heating of the atmosphere caused atmospheric oxygen and nitrogen to combine

---

³ The End of Evolution: On Mass Extinctions and the Preservation of Biodiversity by Peter Ward
⁴ The End of Evolution: On Mass Extinctions and the Preservation of Biodiversity by Peter Ward
into nitrous oxide, which fell as poisonous acid rain. The acid concentration in the rain dissolved the calcareous shells of all the creatures in the upper 100 metres of the world’s seas. After months of darkness, the “impact winter” gradually ended. But radical increases in the concentrations of carbon dioxide and water vapour in the atmosphere permanently altered the weather. No wonder the dinosaurs died.

We remain both fascinated and troubled by evidence of disasters of a planetary scale, with good reason. Scientists are concerned that a die-off could be occurring that might rival the impacts of the astronomical event that brought the Cretaceous to a close. Many experts believe that the period during which humans came to dominate the earth may mark a period of extinction in which more actual species disappear than during the two great die-offs of the Permian and Cretaceous periods combined. That dark view, however, supposes we don’t do anything to slow or stop the massive extinctions we are causing.

What we have done as a society in the Rocky Mountains suggests other more positive possibilities. Hope for the future invites us to carefully examine the most recent periods in our planet’s geological history and the earliest chapters of human presence on the North American continent, with the goal of determining what we might do in our time to slow this diminishment and loss and to allow current biodiversity to sustain us in the future.
Our part in the story of these mountains begins in the Pleistocene, which began about 1.8 million years ago. The Pleistocene is important to us because it was during this epoch that the assemblage of plants and animals we find in the Rockies today came into existence.

As we shall see, it is back to this period that Parks Canada’s current ecosystem restoration goals have tended, as exemplified by the idea of bringing bison back into the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. But re-wilding, as it is often called, doesn’t just involve wildlife. A cultural component accompanies all re-wilding ideals.

The second thing that is important about the Pleistocene is that we see a theme emerge during that epoch that remains with us today. That theme is diminishment and loss of species and diversity.

If there was ever a place to contemplate early human presence on this continent it is the east slopes of the Rockies. Many archaeologists believe that the First Peoples travelled along the margins of the mountains on their way to permanent residence in North America. When continental glaciers reached their recent maximum, sea level was 90 metres below what it is today. Somewhere between fifty and twenty-
five thousand years ago Siberia and Alaska were joined by a wind-swept plain now known as the central Beringian Land Bridge.

It has long been thought that at this time an ice-free corridor existed between what is now the Yukon and the U.S. along the front ranges of the Rockies. It would have been right along the edge of what is now the east boundary of Banff National Park. Unfortunately, no archaeological evidence of human occupation from that early date has been discovered, but there have been important discoveries from later periods.

Lake Minnewanka in Banff National Park has long been regarded as a significant prehistoric Native occupation site. Since 1974, five Clovis projectile points have been located in the area, indicating human occupation dating back ten to twelve thousand years ago. The presence of these large points suggests that the earliest people to camp on the shores of the lake used spears to hunt large animals such as bighorn sheep and bison.

Between nine and five thousand years ago, the mountain climate warmed. Evidence suggests that the treeline in the Rockies was higher than it is today and that the people who visited the Lake Minnewanka area at that time had advanced their hunting techniques with the atlatl, a device that helped them throw spears further. It was not until 2,000 years ago that the bow and arrow appear to have come into common use among the peoples of the western plains and mountains.

There is a great deal we do not know about early Native history in the Rockies. In the spring of 1993, a team from the University of Calgary found 115 lithic objects (stone tools) indicating prehistoric occupation of an important lakeshore site. They also discovered intact stratigraphy in the lake shore sediments to suggest that the site might yield some very early material. Unfortunately, the team had only three weeks to excavate before the water backing up behind the Minnewanka hydro-power dam inundated the site.

Archaeology is important for what it has to say about the relationship of early peoples to the landscape and the possible impact their hunting activities may have had on the current wildlife assemblages in North America. Some archaeologists believe that Native peoples may have had a huge influence in determining which large mammals survived a major Pleistocene extinction to populate this continent in our time. Fossil evidence tells us that a significant number of mammals of over 45 kilograms existed near the end of the Pleistocene in North America that do not exist today. They include a number of species which, had they survived, would have resulted in a very different assemblage of animals.
the species that disappeared are the dire wolf, *Canis dirus*, two bear species, a scimitar cat and the sabre-toothed cat. We also lost the North American lion and a cheetah.

While most of us would hardly consider such disappearances relevant in the context of our time, the ghosts of these missing predators are with us still today. Consider the pronghorn. At the end of the last Ice Age, this animal was the central prey species of the North American cheetah. While the pronghorn is still capable of the extraordinary bursts of speed that would have been necessary to escape such a fast predator as the cheetah, that predator no longer exists on the Great Plains. The cheetah is gone and the pronghorn finds itself rather in the incredulous position of the incorrigible speeder who has continually improved his vehicle so as to outrun the police, only to discover that the police no longer exist. If you live in the west you may have witnessed pronghorns trying to keep up with cars. One wonders if they miss the Pleistocene cheetah that once chased them hungrily across these same plains, or if they are simply being pursued by ghosts.2

It appears also that the two bears that disappeared in the Pleistocene were much larger than the related species of today. The short-faced bear, *Arctodus simus*, was probably nearly four metres tall when standing. This bear would have attracted considerable attention when it stood up suddenly in the willows by the river. When early North Americans confronted this bear – as surely they did – they found themselves in the presence of one of the great natural symbols of the Pleistocene; they beheld the spirit of the greatest of the great bears. Through knowledge of this animal they were able to define ceremonially just how much wild
there was in the wilderness they claimed as their home. Though smaller, today’s grizzly bear continues to perform this age-old role for those who live in the West today. The moment the grizzly bear disappears we will be like the pronghorn in the absence of the cheetah. We will wander these mountains missing that element of landscape that demanded the most of us: the most courage, the most cunning and most understanding of who we are and of the powers possessed by the ecosystems upon which we depend not just for our survival but also for our identity. We, too, will be pursued by ghosts.

Perhaps the most historically significant extinctions in the Pleistocene, at least in terms of impact on the first peoples of the continent, were among the ungulates, the hoofed animals. If we wish to understand what extinction can mean to the course of history we should start with the horse. New World horses declined from twelve genera 10 million years ago to only three genera, *Equus*, *Hippidion* and *Onohippидium* in near time. As Paul Martin points out, all other horse extinctions long predate the presence of humans on the continent. The last species of *Equus* disappeared from North America some 13,000 years ago, a fact that would later influence the course of European settlement. That the Spanish possessed and controlled such remarkable animals gave them distinct advantage in early conflict with non-equestrian Aboriginals, who greatly outnumbered the conquistadores in the decades after the arrival of Columbus. But once horses arrived on the continent proper from the West Indies, something remarkable happened. It was as if the niche of the native North American horse had remained vacant. The North African-bred horses were at home on this continent and Native North Americans knew exactly what to do to help them restore their lost place. Back after an absence of 13 millennia was a creature that thrived on the interior plains of the New World. It was not long before there were a million wild horses in North America.

Other Pleistocene extinctions surely must have had the same impact on associated species that the disappearance of the horse had in terms of lost opportunity to humans and gains for other grassland species that flourished in its absence. The Mountain Parks would be a very different place today had some of these species survived.

So what is the extent of our losses since the Pleistocene? Take what we have today and then add three genera of camels and llamas that existed in near time; throw in the now-extinct North American ground sloths, mammoths, mastodons, dire wolves, scimitar cats, sabre-toothed cats, lions and cheetahs; add horses, tapirs and two genera of
peccaries which were larger than any pig species that exist today; add a monstrous stag moose; imagine an exotic deer called *Torontoceros* and another called *Bretzia* wandering through the pines along with a woodland musk-ox and several species of now-extinct pronghorn-like animals; add a 400-pound beaver, and you begin to get the idea that North America of the not-so-distant past resembled the Serengeti far more than it resembles our continent today. The diminishment and loss that began in the Pleistocene continue to accelerate in our time. It all began, on this continent at least, with a stroll over the Beringian land bridge, a short step that took a few hopeful people from Asia to a New World that had never before witnessed such clever animals as humans.

Unproductive controversy now surrounds the impact First Peoples may have had on the determination of which large mammals survived the Pleistocene and early Holocene to find themselves at home in what is now the Canadian West. The debate shows how little the average Canadian and most visitors know about the peoples who occupied the West before it was redefined in the contemporary European context. What is missing in our understanding of the West is an appreciation of the long presence of Native cultures before others arrived and the extent of the connection these cultures had to place and to the ecosystem dynamics upon which they depended for everything that gave their lives meaning.

**The Long Presence and Impact of Aboriginal Peoples in the Mountain West**

A number of clearly defined Aboriginal cultures rose and fell between the end of the Pleistocene and the arrival of the first Europeans. It has been estimated that perhaps nine million people lived in North America at the time of European contact, and there is no question these people were modifying the landscape to suit their needs and preferences. They hunted, set forests ablaze to improve habitat for game, and cultivated wild plants throughout what are now the Mountain Parks.

Many different Native peoples occupied and still live in the mountain West. The Shuswap, Ktunaxa, Salish, Stoney and Cree are still here. At different times this area was also occupied by the Gros Ventre and the Blackfoot. The Blackfoot were composed of two aligned tribes, the Siksika, a plains people, and the Peigan, who still claim the plains and foothills as their home.
Though they spent most of their time on the other side of the Continental Divide, the Salish and the Kootenay, who call themselves Ktunaxa, travelled east across a variety of mountain passes to hunt buffalo on the plains. Later they crossed the divide to exchange furs at early trading posts. The Shuswap also lived on the west side of the Great Divide. They spoke a dialect of the Salish language and travelled extensively in the Rockies as far north as Jasper. It was they who built pit houses on sites near the Vermilion Lakes in Banff National Park and on what is now the Banff Springs golf course.

The Stoney arrived in this region from the east, perhaps 300 years ago. In historical records they are also referred to as the Assiniboines. The Stoney are a Sioux-speaking people who separated from a larger group that lived in the area of the Great Lakes. They moved into the Kootenay Plains area along the North Saskatchewan River in the 1820s and settled in the Bow River Valley by 1840. They later travelled widely throughout the region now encompassed within the mountain national parks. During and following the fur trade there were Iroquois in Jasper, and since contact, Métis were everywhere.

Each tribe had their relationship to land and place, the implications of which still surface in Canada through Native land claims. But the fact remains that no one can claim the Rockies as their sole home.

The long presence of Aboriginal peoples in the Rockies belies the fact that contemporary historical interpretation of the mountain West remains Eurocentric. We often act as though nothing happened here until the train chugged into town. If we are to create a culture worthy of place we need to transcend unsupportable romantic notions and false stereotypes about Native presence in the mountain West. The first thing that must be put into proper perspective is the duration of Native presence and its relationship to notions of “European discovery.” Europeans discovered little that was not already known about the West by locals.

Another myth that needs to be dispelled is that early peoples were a passive, almost benign presence on the landscapes of the West. The First Peoples have been influencing the nature and character of the western landscape since their arrival. The landscape that we experience today passed into our hands from theirs. But before we took it from them, it passed through the hands of the fur trade.
The transformation of the Canadian West from a wilderness to an outpost of European culture was as much commercially as politically motivated. It is no accident that corporate interest was sewn into the very fabric of the history of the Canadian West and into the process through which our national parks system came into existence.

The corporation as we know it today was a product of a new wave of thinking that swept Europe in the sixteenth century. Before the notion of limited liability – which is the foundation of the corporate ideal – debt was held to be trans-generational. Quite simply, the debts of one generation were passed on to direct descendants in the next, which severely restricted the amount of risk that merchants were prepared to take in development of their businesses.

The discovery of the New World radically altered the degree of risk required to take full advantage of the opportunity to profitably exploit fabulous new resources and markets. Fortunes rode on precious cargoes that were all too often lost in shipwrecks or to the enterprise of pirates and thieves. It soon became clear that the old debt system was not adequate to the opportunity that was emerging in an increasingly global marketplace. Early state-chartered corporations were created to
underwrite the liability of shareholders in bold new trading endeavours in the New World.

On May 2, 1670, during the reign of Charles II, the Hudson’s Bay Company (HBC) was incorporated by Royal Charter to trade in those regions of North America draining into Hudson Bay. Though it took more than a century, the Hudson’s Bay Company and its rivals gradually advanced westward across the seemingly limitless continent toward the Rocky Mountains. The Bay’s influence on the lands and peoples of the West would go far beyond the mere harvesting of furs. Aboriginal cultures would be utterly transformed by the desire to trade.

The corporate ideal proved enormously successful. Granted access by various governments to vast tracts of the freshly colonized America, the corporation set out to profit from the expanding geography of the New World. In so doing, corporations reshaped the history and culture of every place they touched.

At the time of European contact, the tools and implements North Americans possessed were principally made of flint, stone or bone. The people of this continent instantly recognized the advantages of European iron tools and woven goods. All they had in quantity to be traded for axes, pots, blankets, guns, powder and shot were the skins of the abundant animals around them. In exchange for the goods they so desired, Native peoples entered into a market economy that yanked them, often in a single generation, from the Stone Age into the Iron Age. The impact on their lives was profound. In the end the fur trade would leave many Native peoples without a means to earn a living and without a way to return to their pre-contact lifeways. In the opinion of many historians, it was not the land hunger, political greed, disease or rum that destroyed many of the Native peoples of the West. As Wallace Stegner pointed out, it was iron and steel, guns, needles, and woollen cloth that altered Native way of life, the things “that once possessed could not be done without.” Native culture was not all that was altered irrevocably by the fur trade. The impact on the ecosystems of the North American continent was catastrophic.

It is estimated that there were between 60 and 400 million beaver in North America at the time of European contact. (The figure of 60 million, calculated by naturalist Ernest Thompson Seton, seems more likely.) Seventeenth-century fashion was not as fickle as it is today. The beaver hat was the rage for 200 years. While a continent was explored in search of it, hundreds of Native peoples came under the spell of the
The fur trade era. The beaver ultimately became the symbol of our nation as well as our national park system.

It should not be forgotten, however, that the beaver is also a symbol of the power of self-generation of habitat, biodiversity and natural systems of water purification. Though they are hardly threatened, beaver populations are likely only a fraction of what they were in Canada two centuries ago. Much of the wetland they occupied has been drained and altered. No one in this country knows what kind of impact the virtual elimination of the beaver has had on the water resources and aquatic ecosystems of this country. All we know is that the West today bears little resemblance to what existed before the fur trade.

The fur trade had an obvious impact on wildlife populations and on natural ecosystems throughout the continent. While hardly anywhere in the West was not in some way affected by the fur trade, the area within what is now the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site most associated with it is Jasper National Park. If what happened at Jasper House is any indication, its impact on wildlife populations must have been stupendous.

In Jasper the Native prehistory is far more extensive than most residents suspect. The park alone has 474 historic sites, of which 221 are

**ATHABASCA FALLS**

Not far downstream from where the Sunwapta and Athabasca rivers meet, the entire flow of the Athabasca River tumbles over a wall into a canyon so narrow the river has to change shape to pass through it. When the river is in spring flood, the roar of the falls can be heard kilometres away.
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prehistoric dwelling sites. We now know that the main ranges of the Rocky Mountains were of marginal ecological and cultural importance to the Native peoples of the regions. Native use of the area during the period of early European contact was seasonal. The great majority preferred to live and hunt in areas outside the mountains with more game and shorter winters. While in the Rockies, however, they hunted wood and plains bison, woodland caribou, moose, mule deer and the ever-popular big-horn sheep. They also fished and hunted waterfowl when available.

To Native peoples the mountains were not barriers as they were to early Europeans. The highest peaks of the Great Divide were permeated with passes used regularly by Native peoples for hundreds of years before the arrival of the first Europeans. Through pre-history the major route through the mountains of what is now Jasper National Park was Yellowhead Pass. With the advent of fur traders, however, far more travellers used Athabasca Pass, which connected to the Columbia. The man responsible for both the trade and the change of route was map-maker and surveyor David Thompson. To understand the impact of the fur trade on the West, it is important to understand the period in which David Thompson lived.

Thompson was born poor in Westminster, England on April 30, 1770. At 14, he became indentured to the Hudson’s Bay Company and, in 1784, found himself aboard the Prince Rupert bound for Hudson Bay. Of a largely independent nature, Thompson disliked his job as post clerk and soon used his mathematical skills to begin work on behalf of the HBC in mapping and surveying. During this time, however, it became clear there would be limited opportunity in these fields, especially compared with what was being offered by the North West Company (NWC), the Hudson’s Bay Company’s only serious rival.

In 1797, armed with a promise from the NWC that he would be able to explore and map to his heart’s content, David Thompson left the venerable HBC. While working with the Nor’Westers, Thompson was encouraged to explore new passes across the Rockies in a quest to secure the lucrative furs said to exist on the upper reaches of the Columbia River.

The first major pass across the Rockies that Thompson explored was near the headwaters of the North Saskatchewan River. By following the
west fork of this river with a band of Kootenays in 1807, David Thompson was able to find his way over what is now called Howse Pass to the Columbia, and establish successful inter-montane trade with Native peoples in the interior of British Columbia. The fierce Peigan, or Pikani as they called themselves, were not excited about the establishment of trade and the selling of guns to their hereditary enemies, the Kootenays. They blockaded Howse Pass and threatened to take Thompson’s life should he attempt further trade with their enemies.

In the winter of 1806-1807, the American Fur Company under John Jacob Astor conceived a plan to capture all the trade at the mouth of the Columbia and to deliver the entire unclaimed region to President Jefferson. When Astor invited the North West Company to join, they declined. They had their own ideas about the future of the West coast of British North America, which did not include the Americans.

In July of 1810, the NWC instructed Thompson to cross Howse Pass to the mouth of the Columbia before American Fur Company could establish a post there. When they presented him with a parcel of tiny British flags that he was instructed to give to every chief and to plant on the fork of every major river, Thompson recognized that his was more than just a trade mission.

When men sent to bolster Thompson’s party reached the traditional route up the North Saskatchewan, they were confounded to find their way blocked by four tipis of hostile Peigans. After discovering that Thompson was waiting for them on the Brazeau River, they eluded the Peigan, joined their leader and began the difficult march north to the next pass they might cross to reach the Columbia. Due to delays in travelling through the mountainous terrain, Thompson and his twenty-four men did not reach the Athabasca River until December of 1810.

It is often claimed that David Thompson was the first European to visit the Athabasca Valley. But even Thompson noted that there were others in the valley when he visited in 1810, for he passed a hunter’s cabin on an island in Brule Lake. It has also been said that the Athabasca River Valley was a desirable route for Thompson and the fur trade, but it was not. Even before departing for Athabasca Pass in the fall of 1810, Thompson noted that the use of a northern pass “would place us in great safety [from the Peigan], but would be attended by great inconvenience, fatigue, suffering and privation.” He was not wrong. As Thompson and his men approached the pass, the cold of the January peaks chilled their bones. One of the Native persons accompanying the expedition argued that the pass was the known haunt of the mammoth. Though they
found no evidence of the creature, all were in great fear. At the summit of the pass, Thompson wondered what would happen next. A new world lay before them, unknown and unnamed. With his men ready to desert, Thompson wondered if he could get to the mouth of the Columbia in time to head off the Americans. They did indeed but the issue of the future of the Columbia quickly became a larger geopolitical issue between Britain and the United States.

After his exploration of Athabasca Pass in January of 1811, Thompson established it as part of Canada’s first national highway to the west coast. Since Thompson was only passing through, he left William Henry behind to establish Henry House. Later, in 1813, the NWC built a post on the northwest shore of Brule Lake in the Athabasca Valley. It was one of the loneliest and most remote posts in the entire fur trade system. It appears that the first trader stationed there permanently was Francois Decoigne. Early travellers through the area noticed that Decoigne’s primary role was not the trading of furs but the maintenance of the house as a provisioning depot serving the needs of brigades returning to and from the Columbia. It was only open in spring and fall. In summer and winter, the trader usually repaired to more comfortable and less remote Fort Edmonton.

When Ross Cox crossed Athabasca Pass in 1817, Decoigne’s remote cabin was named Jasper House, after Jasper Hawes, the factor who now occupied the post. In 1824, three years after the amalgamation of the North West Company and the Hudson’s Bay Company, governor George Simpson of the HBC concluded that there was enough work at the post to require the services of an additional clerk.

In 1830, Michael Klyne rebuilt the dilapidated Jasper House at a new site further up the Athabasca River, near the point where it becomes Jasper Lake. In 1846, the artist Paul Kane described Jasper House, which consisted of

*only of three miserable log huts. The dwelling-house is composed of two rooms, of about fourteen or fifteen feet square each. One of them is used by all comers and goers: Indians, voyageurs, and traders, men, women, and children being huddled together indiscriminately; the other room being devoted to the exclusive occupation of Colin Fraser and his family, consisting of a Cree squaw, and nine interesting half-breed children. One of the other huts is used for storing provisions in, when they can get any, and the other I should have thought a dog-kennel had I seen many of the canine species about. This post is kept up only for the purposes of supplying horses to parties crossing the mountains.*
Jasper House in its various incarnations had a profound impact on wildlife in the Athabasca Valley. Prolonged hunting pressure associated with the need to supply food for Jasper House traders, their families, and passing brigades had reduced bighorn sheep and moose populations. Father De Smet’s account of his visit to Jasper House, also in 1846, gives us an idea of the nature of the problem. He observed that during twenty-six days, Jasper House hunters killed twelve moose, two caribou, thirty bighorn sheep, two porcupines, 210 hares, a beaver, two muskrats, twenty-four geese, 115 ducks, twenty-one pheasants, a snipe, an eagle, an owl, thirty to fifty whitefish a day, and twenty trout. It is not surprising that a few years later the game was gone and the Hudson’s Bay Company had to prohibit freemen from hunting within 50 kilometres of Jasper House. But the reduction of wildlife populations by hunting was insignificant compared to the impact of the fur trade itself.

In a good year, North America exported to Europe the pelts of 300,000 beavers; 50,000 wolves; 30,000 bears; 22,000 otters; 750,000 raccoons; 40,000 lynx; 50,000 to 100,000 pine martens; and 250,000 foxes. For decades this was a normal annual take, during the heyday of the trade. By 1700, the beaver was gone from the east coast of the United
States. While efforts to sustain beaver populations in Canada kept numbers at harvestable levels, at least for a time, the thirst for more and more furs drove traders farther and farther west, with predictable results.

**EARLY RECOGNITION OF CONTEMPORARY IMPACTS**

One of the first to recognize contemporary human impacts on extinction rates was William T. Hornaday, who voiced concerns about the future of the grizzly bear early in the twentieth century. As the Director of the New York Zoological Park, Hornaday travelled extensively in North America and abroad. In 1913, he was home long enough to publish *Our Vanishing Wildlife*, a book in which he reported that, except within nature reserves, there was no place on Earth where wildlife was disappearing faster than in North America. Hornaday was appalled at the evidence in both Canada and the United States that, in every state and province, proved that “the existing legal system for the preservation of wild life is fatally defective.” He went on to claim that the carnage taking place early in the twentieth century was greater than what had occurred during the 1870s when, he maintains, nearly sixty million buffalo and hundreds of thousands of antelope, deer, elk, bears and wolves were slaughtered on the Great Plains. But the diminishment of wildlife populations was just one of many changes taking place in the mountain West. Ecosystems were in visible decline, but even more hard hit were the cultures depending upon them for subsistence.
The Coming of Death

Diminishment and Loss among the First Peoples of the West

By the time Anthony Henday arrived at the foot of the Rockies in 1754, Native culture had already been dramatically influenced by European contact. The Plains peoples had horses by 1732. They had acquired mounts though trade, theft, and war from tribes further south who had in turn obtained them from Spanish conquistadores.

The Spanish Barbs – small tough horses that originated around North Africa’s Barbary Coast – were the ancestors of modern North American mustangs. Native peoples cultivated the natural toughness of these horses and bred them for speed. The horse allowed them to hunt over greater areas. It allowed Native peoples to be more mobile and expand their material culture. As European settlement pushed Native peoples ever westward, the horse helped them to advance into new terrain. The horse was also a weapon of war. With the horse, the Blackfoot were able to dominate the western plains.

The horse was not the only early benefit to Native peoples in what later became a rather one-sided cultural exchange. They acquired the gun from Europeans, which further revolutionized the way they hunted and waged war. With the gun and the horse, the Blackfoot were able to drive the Ktunaxa over the Divide and keep them there.
While the horse was the first great biological innovation to be introduced to North America from Europe, it was not the last. In his remarkable book *Ecological Imperialism: The Biological Expansion of Europe, 900–1900*, Alfred Crosby dramatically reveals the extent to which Europeans making their new homes in Canada made the West resemble biologically the places they came from in Europe.

Crosby substantiates his point with a reference to the old American folk song “Sweet Betsy from Pike.” As Crosby explains, Betsy is from Pike Country, Missouri. She crosses the mountains “with her lover Ike, two yoke of oxen, a large yellow dog, a tall shanghai rooster, and one spotted hog.” Crosby then makes the point that Betsy and her menagerie were part of an invasion of North America that went far beyond the settlers. What occurred in the nineteenth century was nothing short of “a clucking, crowing, grunting, lowing, neighing, bleating, barking, buzzing, meowing, self-replicating and world-altering invasion of North America by Europeans and their livestock and weeds.” Much of southern Canada, as a consequence, has become a neo-Europe.¹

In the wake of the horse came hundreds of introduced plant and animal species. Some such as cows, pigs, goats, sheep, dogs, cats and bees were introduced intentionally, but others were not. The European invasion of North America also occurred at the viral and bacterial levels. Here the ecological history of the West overlaps with historical epidemiology. The period from the fifteenth to seventeenth centuries was a perilous time for the human species. Growing concentrations of humans in crowded, dirty cities created circumstances ripe for epidemics. Bubonic plague struck on a cyclical basis during this period and diseases like smallpox became so commonplace that Europeans developed immunity to them. That, however, does not mean that the rest of the world did.

Native peoples in North America had little or no resistance to the diseases Europeans brought to the New World. The resulting loss of life among Native peoples was so substantial it led many Europeans to believe that the mountain West was un-peopled and therefore suitable for resource exploitation and European settlement. This is evidenced by John Palliser’s account of the area that is now the southern part of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Palliser reported finding only 225 Stoneys between the North Saskatchewan River and Canada’s present border with the United States. Why Native peoples were few in the Canadian West at this time is one of the saddest stories in our history and one we don’t like to tell.
By far the most devastating of the diseases introduced from Europe was smallpox. Caused by a pathogenic virus, it was normally spread by “droplet infection,” usually in a sneeze or by direct physical contact with a person in the infectious stage. It could also be contracted from corpses for up to three weeks after death and from items that had been in close contact with a diseased person, for a period of up to a year after death. Once a person contracted the disease there was little that could be done.

It is important to compare the mortality rates of various epidemic diseases and smallpox as they affected Native peoples. The average mortality in a typical bubonic plague or smallpox epidemic in Europe was about 30 to 40 percent. The ebola outbreak that terrified the world in 1989 infected 315 people. Of those infected with this horrible hemorrhagic fever, 244 died, a mere 77 percent mortality. I say “mere” to highlight the fact that smallpox mortality among the Native peoples of North America was much higher: in many tribes, the death rate reached 90 percent.

Why was the mortality so high among Native peoples? The first reason, obviously, was that they lacked any kind of immunity. Epidemiologists call the four major smallpox outbreaks that happened in the West “virgin soil” epidemics. These are disease outbreaks in populations that generally lack acquired or inherited immunity to new diseases and do not have the cultural experience to deal with them.

Another reason why the mortality was so high was the terrible nature of the disease itself. The following account was written by William Bradford who witnessed an outbreak of smallpox among Native peoples on the Connecticut River in 1634:

“For want of bedding and linen and other things they fall into a lamentable condition as they lie on their hard matts, the poxe breaking and mattering and running one into another, their skin cleaving (by reason thereof) to the matts they lie on. When they turn them, a whole side will flay off[f] at once as it were, and they will be all of a gore blood most fearful to behold.”

Both Native people and Europeans made fatal mistakes in managing these epidemics. The latter failed to recognize the need to contain each epidemic. Given the inter-connected nature of Native family structures, it was impossible to prevent a wavelike spread of the disease outward from its epicentres. Despite the close-knit and caring nature of their culture, Native peoples did not have the means with which to deal with this class of disease. Two traditional approaches to healing the sick were particularly dangerous in highly contagious viral infections.
like smallpox. The ingathering of relatives around the sick, which was a common Native practice at the time, allowed droplet infection to advance even more quickly through a population. While sweat bath and cold-water treatments were often deemed valuable in treating typical maladies, they could induce deadly shock when employed in the treatment of febrile diseases like smallpox. The failure of traditional forms of medicine only exacerbated the misery.

We can infer a lot about what happened to Native populations in North America from other epidemics. First there would not be enough experienced people to take care of the sick. As more people fell sick and became helpless, there would be very few people left to care for the ill. It is likely that normal subsistence activity ceased. Inadequate nourishment and even starvation may have taken a toll on the ill. In most epidemics, those most vulnerable to disease are the very young and the very old. General societal breakdown often occurs. The survivors lose hope. Panic often ensues. Suicide and grief-induced madness are not uncommon. And the effect can be long-term. In depopulated and scattered communities, survivors often find it difficult to find mates of the right age and kin status. Populations can continue to drop even after the epidemic has passed.

Smallpox greatly altered the demographics of the continent, helping Europeans gain a population majority on the plains and in the Pacific Northwest. Some 900 archaeological sites in and around the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site attest to Native occupation. The West was not empty and we were wrong to assume we owned our neighbour’s homes simply because many of them had died.

We are still very much feeling the downstream historical influence of smallpox today. Not only did the fur trade pass on a diminished wildlife assemblage, it also passed on a western landscape devoid of a large number of its original inhabitants. It is stunning to realize that many Aboriginal populations in North America have yet to return to pre-epidemic population numbers. Some never will.

Once again we confront what appears to be a consistent theme in the history of the mountain West since the beginning of the Pleistocene: that of persistent diminishment of native ecosystems and painful loss of historical cultural diversity. It is this pattern that we have to reverse if we wish to create a culture commensurate with place in the Canadian mountain West.
PART TWO

The West We Have: Making the Mountains Our Home
We do not know when mountains were first invested with supernatural powers. Perhaps the first sacred summits were volcanoes, their thunderous anger reaching with hell-fingers into villages of innocents unsure of how they had offended their gods.

Perhaps the first notions of hell were belched up from these burning summits, the very breath of brimstone carried on the wind with the smoke and glowing ash. Certainly, weather came from the peaks. When clouds boiled over the mountains, it made them look like displeased stone gods punishing evil with fire and flood.

Faith, too, seems to have been born on the summits, those sacred places where the earth reached up to the sky out of which all things seemed to come. With so much riding on the wind and the rain, where else could the peaks be pointing but to heaven, that vague seat from which an absolute power pronounced on the likelihood of crops and the fortunes of men. To such summits the first prophets climbed, seeking peace, wisdom and pronouncements from their gods. Time has swallowed Zarathustra, who wandered in search of truth until on “the mountain of the Holy Communing Ones” he heard “the soul of the earth” lamenting to heaven the devastation of the land below.1 Was it
he who first made the whole planet sacred, the entire spinning sphere a god? Ours might be an era in which revisiting early ideals could be worthwhile.

In the Bible, God tells Abraham to offer his son up as a sacrifice “upon one of the mountains which I shall tell you.” To the mountain they went but just as he was about to slay his son, God blessed him and his people and they went forth with their faith to rule a sizeable portion of the known world. Abraham, being on the inside of such things, knew the almighty as El Shaddai. Many translate this name to mean “one of the mountain.” From this we can imagine a deity watching over his peaks, rather in the manner of a retired mountaineer fondly recalling earlier climbs.

It was clear that Abraham’s mountain had a lot of power. It was upon this mount that Solomon placed his temple. Later a mosque was built on the site for from this summit Mohammed, the founder of Islam, went to heaven. Mohammed was wise about mountains. He was the first to unequivocally state that the climber had to go to the mountain as it was unlikely to come to him, a comment with a great deal of philosophical merit. In this regard, it could be said that Mohammed was the founder of the expedition, for his philosophies would later send a great number of people into the mountains to ponder the profound wisdom of his seemingly self-evident truths.

All of this summiteering was good for the image of mountains. It was becoming increasingly obvious that gods did dwell at least on some peaks and those who went to visit them shared their power. The prophet Ezekiel really got this idea going. Though it was not clear he had this vision on a mountain, what he described is a phenomenon seen in mountains. Ezekiel saw an enthroned man surrounded by a rainbow and a wheel burning within a wheel. He described what he saw as the Glory of the Lord. In Earth Wisdom, Dolores LaChapelle notes that this kind of vision only seemed to appear when the subject was veiled by cloud. She indicates that, though it may be hard to prove, the phenomenon may have been a sun halo. A sun halo, or what is also called a “glory,” is a visual effect produced by unusual conditions of mist and sunlight. This phenomenon is referred to in mountaineering as the Spectre of the Brocken. It was first described by an Englishman who witnessed it on the Brocken, the highest peak in the Harz Mountains, in central Germany. The glory is produced by a backscattering of light similar to how a car’s headlights can be reflected from an animal’s eyes at night. It is a rare natural phenomenon because conditions, such as the angle
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Disciples, Jesus was said to have assumed the brightness of the sun and was ablaze in rainbows. It sounds much like the Spectre of the Brocken. To this day, icons of Jesus wear a halo, the glory of the mountain. Throughout his life, Jesus meditated and consulted God on a variety of peaks. He was on yet another mount when he died.

The Buddha was also bathed in the holy light of the mountain. He, too, saw his figure glowing with rainbow light. Buddha referred to four sacred mountains as the cornerstones of his faith. These mountains have mythical association with the four elements of the Buddhist cosmos: earth, air, fire and water. Temples and monasteries were built on the shoulders of these sacred places. Some became famous if only because on occasion even pilgrims could be lit by the rainbow of their belief. Sometimes acolytes would over-react to the presence of the sacred light. Glowing in rainbow mists, they would throw themselves from the peak into the arms of Enlightenment itself.

Before the very eyes of his disciples, Jesus was said to have assumed the brightness of the sun and concentration of water vapour in the air, must be just right to produce a vivid rainbow halo around a figure moving in the mist. The extent of the glory can be much enhanced when oblique sunlight projects the haloed shadow outward into surrounding clouds. In such conditions, the rainbow figure can appear several kilometres tall as the illusion is projected outward from its source. To witness this is an unnerving experience. Imagine a rainbow taking your shape, radiating outward and projecting your movements hugely into the mist over the surrounding valley. If you didn’t know that it was an illusion created by light and water vapour, you might imagine yourself entering a mid-zone between heaven and earth.

Mount Columbia and Its Glacier

A spectacular glacier flows down the shoulders of Mount Columbia. The Columbia Glacier is the headwaters of the Athabasca River, a major tributary of the great Mackenzie River system.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
For the Chinese, Mount Omei, or O-mei Shan, where the Buddha experienced his glory, is the sacred mountain. This mountain, associated with fire, is located in Szechuan province in what is now China. From its substantial summit, the faithful can see the eastern ramparts of the Himalayas, more than 100 kilometres away. On the top of the mountain’s 3,000 metre peak is the monastery of the Golden Summit. Behind is the Terrace of the Buddha’s Vision. Here, if the conditions are just right, one can look down the nearly vertical 2,000 metre wall and experience the Buddha’s glory.

Buddhism is a religion based on the earth’s inherent wisdom, revering mountains and the spiritual power they could inspire. In what was even then an increasingly crowded world, Buddhists saw in the peaks holy places where one could go to be alone. Christians, burning with a different faith, turned away from the solitude the peaks. They returned half a millennium later, however, to discover in them the solitude and peace that Buddhists had always known.

Despite prohibiting even occasional forays in the mountains, the Christian church continued to have considerable influence over the perception of wilderness. Even at the beginning of the Age of Enlightenment, the clergy were still performing exorcisms on glaciers. In 1708, Johann Jacob Scheuchzer, a Swiss doctor and mathematician, Fellow of the Royal Society and leading authority on the Alps, was still classifying alpine dragons into scientific orders. As late as the eighteenth century, faithful but fearful travellers would wear blindfolds so they wouldn’t have to look upon demonic irregularity of Europe’s awful Alps.

Until the eighteenth century, mountain climbing was virtually unheard of in Western civilization. Before the first ascent of Mont Blanc in 1786, the only Europeans to venture much above timberline were chamois hunters, prospectors and smugglers who used the high passes to avoid customs officials. Even the first ascent of Mont Blanc did not initiate a massive urban exodus to the Alps. The climbing fraternity was still very small and the few guides there were had to rely on farming or a trade to support them when there were no foreign clients paying to be taken up local mountains.

Mountaineering entered the popular imagination of Europeans during the first ascent of the Matterhorn in the summer of 1865. After seven bold attempts, a young British engraver named Edward Whymper and his guides had at last made the peak. But on the descent, a rope somehow got cut and much to Whymper’s everlasting horror, four of his companions fell to their deaths on the glacier a thousand
metres below. There was a tremendous outcry. The average European was appalled that, in a time when all the energies of society were being marshalled together to make the world safe, young people were squandering their lives falling from the cold stone of the Alps. There was talk of banning mountaineering. The threat of a ban, however, simply made more young people want to try it. With the first ascent of the Meije in Dauphiny by Baron Emmanuel Boileau in 1877, all the great peaks of the Alps had been climbed. It had only taken a dozen years from Whymper’s ascent of the Matterhorn for climbers to summit every major European peak by its easiest route.

Climbers then attempted more difficult routes up already climbed peaks. Though the leading alpinists of the time were serious British amateurs, growing interest in the aesthetic rewards of high altitude exploration stimulated the demand for professional mountain guides. The bulk of this guiding activity remained confined to the tourist resorts of the Alps. But it would not be long before mountaineers would be looking for other ranges in which to ply their newly perfected craft.

**WAY OUT WEST: CONFRONTING CANADIAN MOUNTAINS**

*Human beings have been* living and travelling in mountains for a very long time. The first known mountaineer was discovered in a remarkably preserved state on a glacier in the Otztaler Alps on the Austrian-Italian border in 1991. Otzi the Iceman, as he became known, was found at an altitude of 3,210 metres. His body was radiocarbon dated to be about 5,300 years old. His shoes, clothes and tools indicated clearly that he was well used to life at high altitude.

Canada also has an iceman, though from a much later period. In 1999, three schoolteachers on a sheep hunting expedition discovered the headless body of an aboriginal hunter at the foot of a melting glacier at Tatshenshini-Alsek Park in the St. Elias Mountains near the B.C.-Yukon border. This iceman later became known as Kwaday Dan Sinchi, a name that means “Long Ago Person Found” in the language of the Southern Tutchone, who live in the area. It appears that this man was in his late teens or early twenties when he died travelling over the ice. He had a hat made of woven spruce roots and a cloak sewn of the hides of a hundred ground squirrels. By dating the hat and robe, scientists surmise that this young hunter lost his life on the glacier between 1415 and 1545. This evidence suggests that Aboriginal peoples did travel over glaciated mountain passes long before European contact, at least in the
coastal regions of what is now Canada. It would take nearly 200 years of getting used to the continent before Europeans would acquire the knowledge and the confidence to travel willingly in the high mountain reaches of the West.

Much of the early exploration of Canada was done not on foot but by water. Rivers, rough and unpredictable though they could be, were natural highways through unmapped wilderness. The character of the country through which the earliest explorers travelled is surmised largely from descriptions of the water against which they regularly battled. Cascades, cataracts and boiling rapids are common terms in the journals of those who first explored the Canadian West. Mountains, except where they affected the course of a river or where their lower shoulders presented a broad view of possible routes, were not on the agenda of most early explorers. They were obstacles and the success of an expedition was often measured by how quickly explorers and traders could pass by them.

As travellers became more competent in addressing the demands of mountainous terrain, explorers began to stray from the main trade routes to explore regions outside the domain of maps. Like pioneers in any field, these early travellers simply wanted to do more than had been done before. Freed from the outrageous myths that had surrounded the unknown land, and imbued with a growing European sense of adventure, a generation of highly motivated explorers would gradually shift the reputation of the Canadian mountain West from a one of foreboding to one of celebrated awe.

In the spring of 1827 a Scottish botanist named David Douglas completed the first documented ascent of a major peak in North America. Douglas had joined Hudson’s Bay Company traders and voyageurs as they paddled up the Columbia River toward Boat Encampment at the top of the Big Bend of the river. Leaving their canoes behind, the expedition made its slow way to Athabasca Pass west of present day Jasper. After exhausting himself in the deep spring snow, he halted below the pass on April 30, 1827. His journals do not explain what compelled him to act as he did – they only indicate that the next day he decided to climb a nearby mountain:

After breakfast at one o’clock, being as I conceive on the highest part of the route, I became desirous of ascending one of the peaks, and accordingly I set out alone on snowshoes to that on the left hand or west side, being to all appearance the highest. The labour of ascending the lower part, which is covered with pines, is great beyond description, sinking on many occasions to the middle. Half-way up vegetation ceases.
entirely, not so much as a vestige of moss or lichen on the stones. Here I found it less laborious as I walked on the hard crust. One-third from the summit it becomes a mountain of pure ice, sealed far over by Nature’s hand as a momentous work of Nature’s God. The height from its base may be about 5500 feet: timber, 2750 feet; a few mosses and lichen, 500 more; 1000 feet of perpetual snow; the remainder, towards the top 1250, as I have said, glacier with a thin covering of snow on it. The ascent took me five hours; descending only one and a quarter. Places where the descent was gradual, I tied my shoes together, making them carry me in turn as a sledge. Sometimes I came down at one spell 500 to 700 feet in the space of one minute and a half. I remained twenty minutes, my thermometer standing at 18°; night closing fast in on me, and no means of fire, I was reluctantly forced to descend. The sensation I felt is beyond what I can give utterance to. Nothing, as far as the eye could perceive, but mountains such as I was on, and many higher, some rugged beyond any description, striking the mind with horror blended with a sense of the wondrous works of the Almighty.11

Though Douglas may have inadvertently become Canada’s first mountaineer, his contributions extend far beyond this simple distinction. The altitudes that he calculated for Mounts Hooker and Brown in the Canadian Rockies were of Himalayan proportions. Due to a few passages in his published journal, these mountains would be included on early maps as the highest peaks between Mexico and Alaska.12 His descriptions, though a source of controversy, brought British climbers seeking Douglas’s fabled giants. In the course of that search, the first professional mountain guides would come to Canada. The standard they would set for safety and cool courage would inspire a belief in the guiding tradition. But before that could happen, Canada had to become a country and the Canadian West had to become more accessible.

NATIONHOOD, THE RAILWAY AND THE NATIONAL POLICY

When Canada became a nation in July of 1867, the bulk of Canadians lived in Ontario and Quebec, with a small population in the Maritimes. It became quickly apparent to the newly formed country that the west coast of British North America would be annexed by the Americans if the new government did not hasten to make British Columbia formally a part of Canada. In 1871, Canada promised British Columbia a national railway if it joined its fledgling confederation.

The problems facing the country were hardly over with the driving of the last spike in 1885. The success of the railway was only one part of a larger vision of Canada established by John A. Macdonald and his Conservatives at the time British Columbia had been invited into confederation. Macdonald’s “National Policy” had three central elements.
The first was support for eastern manufacturing; support for raising tariffs to bolster Canadian manufacturing had helped Macdonald get elected in 1878. The second element was a strong desire to settle the West so that its natural resources could support eastern manufacturing. The third was construction of a transcontinental, all-Canadian railway that would provide a physical link between East and West. The railway created an agricultural economy on the prairies and a tourism economy in the Rockies and Selkirks. The basis of tourism was the hot springs on Sulphur Mountain in what is now Banff National Park. Spas in the late nineteenth century were very popular among the well-heeled in Europe and eastern North America.

The Canadian Pacific Railway’s tourism business grew into a full-scale resort operation as its transcontinental passenger traffic increased. Although the federal government and the railway were partners in tourism in the mountain West, the federal government had little money to put into developing the park system and chose to spend what it did have on the hot springs reserve at Banff. As the CPR had a vested interest in developing the parks created around Mount Stephen House, Glacier House, and later at Lake Louise, the company established a trail- and bridge-building program that would result in the creation of facilities appealing to a new breed of mountaineers and tourist-explorers.

A good deal of this new interest in hiking and climbing in the mountains of the Canadian West had been stimulated by a remarkable new book published in England in 1890. Among the Selkirk Glaciers, by William Spotswood Green, documented the author’s visit to Glacier House with his cousin, Henry Swanzy, in the summer of 1888. As no map existed of the area beyond the tracks, Green and Swanzy decided to create one. The intrepid pair hiked and climbed for six weeks, naming peaks and passes and mapping more than 500 square miles (1,295 square km) of rugged mountain terrain. The widely read book inspired British and American climbers to take the train to Western Canada.

Partly as a result of Green’s book, Glacier House became the earliest centre of Canadian mountaineering and tourist-exploration. Tucked neatly into the hemlocks, cedars and aromatic firs on the Illecillewaet River just below Rogers Pass, Glacier House was an ideal location for a mountain resort. The view of the Illecillewaet Glacier from near the hotel was staggering indeed, as were the views of peaks that rose from the surrounding ice stairways that led blue-white to the skyline. In 1891, the prominent American climber Walter Wilcox visited Glacier House
and found it filled with tourist-adventurers “who were accustomed to gather every evening around a blazing fire and read selections from Green’s Among the Selkirk Glaciers just as our forefathers were wont to read a daily chapter from the Bible.”

**AN ACCIDENT WAITING TO HAPPEN**

*Foremost among the early tourist-explorers in Canada’s mountain West was a group of eastern climbers who belonged to the Boston-based Appalachian Mountain Club. The club’s energetic president, Charles Fay, pioneered an enthusiasm for mountaineering not just in the United States but in Canada as well. Fay and his wealthy associates saw in the Rockies a personal vision to be fulfilled. Great peaks could be climbed and history made in climbing them. It was a golden age. Ambitious urbanites simply got off the train and walked toward the summits of unclimbed, unnamed peaks and named them for their friends.*

Without guides, however, and with so little training, early climbers in the Rockies were accidents waiting to happen. On August 3, 1896, Philip Stanley Abbot fell from the upper cliff bands on Mount Lefroy to the col below. He died before Fay and his companions could summon medical help.

Like the accident on the Matterhorn three decades earlier, Abbot’s death caused a stir. Many North Americans wanted to ban climbing to prevent further accidents. Undeterred by the bad press, the small mountaineering community decided it was time to bring professional
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mountaineering expertise to the challenging problem of climbing in the Canadian Rockies. If they could avenge the death of Abbot by “conquering” Mount Lefroy, they reasoned, the image of mountaineering as an uplifting and worthwhile enterprise would be redeemed.

Before the fatal accident on Mount Lefroy in 1896, Fay and Abbot had climbed in Switzerland with Professor Harold Baily Dixon. Dixon was an accomplished academic and a respected member of the Alpine Club in Britain. It had been Abbot’s vain hope that Dixon accompany the 1896 expedition to the Rockies and Selkirks.

At the insistence of Abbot’s father, who was anxious to prove that the mountain on which his son died could be climbed, Dixon agreed to form part of an 1897 attempt on Mount Lefroy. Dixon also invited some influential friends. Among them were George Percival Baker of the Alpine Club, and John Norman Collie who had already made a name for himself in the Alps and in pioneering climbing efforts in the Himalayas. Though competent in their own right, these Englishmen did not come alone. They paid all the expenses of having a professional guide accompany them to the Rockies. That guide was Peter Sarbach of St. Niklaus, Switzerland, with whom both Dixon and Abbot had climbed in the Alps. While his clients made it clear that Sarbach was invited on the expedition to strengthen an already excellent climbing team, Sarbach became the first professional mountain guide to climb in Canada when he arrived at Lake Louise in 1897.

A large international party guided by Sarbach made the first ascent of Mount Lefroy on the anniversary of Philip Abbot’s death on August 3, 1897, and went on two days later to make the first ascent of Mount Green, later known as Mount Victoria. On August 11, a large party guided again by Sarbach arrived at the Bow Glacier, which they crossed to access the Wapta Icefield. After making the first ascent of Mount Gordon, the Americans departed for home. Collie, Baker and Sarbach proceeded north in search of a large peak they had seen from the summit of Mount Gordon. Crossing Bow Summit they entered the valley of what Collie called Bear Creek, later known as the Mistaya River. On August 25, Sarbach guided Collie and Baker to the summit of a 3,155 metre peak near the confluence of the Mistaya and the main branch of the North Saskatchewan River. Collie named it Mount Sarbach in honour of their guide. Though persistently bad weather prevented any further summit attempts that year, the value of professional guides had already been established. The Rockies made a deep impression on the climbers. In 1898, Collie returned with Hugh Stutfield and

Lake McArthur
This stunning body of water located near Lake O’Hara is named for the great Canadian surveyor James Joseph McArthur, who mapped the mountains on either side of the main line of the Canadian Pacific Railway inside what is now the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
Herman Woolley to search for the David Douglas giants, Mounts Hooker and Brown, though the myth of Himalayan sized peaks in the Rockies had already been dispelled; the trio discovered the Columbia Icefield.

**THE ARRIVAL OF THE RAILWAY GUIDES**

A campaign to encourage the railway to consider full-time professional guides in Canada had been launched even before the arrival of Sarbach and the Collie party in 1897. Dr. Joshua Stallard was a close friend of the Vaux family of Philadelphia. George and William Vaux had spent several summers studying glaciers in Rogers Pass with their family starting in 1890. In 1896, Stallard had joined the Vauxes at Lake Louise and had met Charles Fay and Philip Abbot just before they departed on their fatal attempt on Mount Lefroy. In September of 1896, Stallard wrote a letter to the CPR passenger traffic manager responsible for the Rockies, extolling the virtues of Swiss mountain guides, based on climbing experiences in the Alps dating from 1852. Stallard went on to suggest that the fatal accident on Mount Lefroy might not have happened had the Fay-Abbot party been properly and professionally guided.21

Passenger Traffic Manager David McNicoll passed Stallard’s correspondence on to T.G. Shaughnessy, then vice president of the railway, with a letter outlining his support for Stallard’s idea. “As you and I know,” McNicoll wrote, “I have all along been of the opinion that we must provide increased accommodations and facilities in the mountains if we expect people to visit them and stay among them, and I understand a little has been done at Louise, but I think a great deal more needs to be done. The same applies to the different points of interest in the neighbourhood of Glacier Station.”22

In March of 1897, Shaughnessy wrote to Archer Baker, Canadian Pacific’s European Traffic Agent in London, to find out how the railway might engage professional guides for service in the Canadian West.

Senior railway officials like McNicoll and Shaughnessy clearly saw the value of professional guides and were anxious to import them to Canada. The writings of William Spotswood Green plus the photography and glacial research of George and William Vaux had already done a great deal to advertise the natural attractions of the Glacier area to potential tourist-adventurers.23 No one wanted any more climbing deaths. The great engine of the railway’s administration was bent on bringing mountain guides west.
In the autumn of 1898, the Canadian Pacific Railway used the Thomas Cook Company to help locate Swiss guides interested in coming to Canada for a season. Contracts were signed with three perfect specimens from Interlaken. Christian Häsler and Edouard Feuz (the closest approximation in English is “Foits”) would be sent to Glacier House and Charles Clarke would be sent briefly to Banff to promote mountaineering at the Banff Springs Hotel.24

The arrival of Swiss guides at Glacier House caused a sensation. First, there was their unusual appearance. Here were men in tweed jackets, waistcoats, and ties, wearing nailed boots and knickers with long wool socks. Climbing ropes hung from their shoulders and they held ice axes. Though most visitors didn’t know what to make of them, climbers were impressed. “No pair of twin brothers,” wrote Charles Fay, “were more nearly duplicates in raiment, no two guides ever more supplemented one the other in excellencies.”25 Fay got to know the two Swiss guides immediately. With Fay and Herschel Parker as clients Feuz and Häsler made their first ascent in Canada on August 13, 1899. Fay wrote a full account of this famous ascent for the hotel register at Glacier House, which concluded with his assessment of the value of professional mountain guides on new and dangerous terrain:

The ascent of a peak so remote, should properly take three days; one to reach a suitable camping place at the base, one for the ascent, and a third for the return to the Hotel. Our party took but one and one half days, and made a correspondingly forced march.26

On August 20, 1899, George Vaux Jr. visited and commented just as positively on the benefit that professional guides would bring to the Glacier House experience. The presence of guides was making his research into the movement of the Illecillewaet Glacier safer and more productive:

During the year which has intervened since I last wrote in this minute book, Glacier has lost none of its charms.

The most valuable improvement has been the bringing here of the two Swiss guides. Thoroughly safe, and competent in every particular, there is thus afforded to the general visitor the opportunity to get a true insight into the attractions which mountaineering affords. The visit to the Illecillewaet Glacier may now be supplemented by a trip into the ice itself under the guidance of Feuz or Häsler, which cannot fail to delight with its beauty and novelty. Entire confidence may be reposed in the guides, the chief requirement being an implicit obedience of their directions.27
Guides were making the summits accessible to people who could not make it on their own. It did not take long for word to get out that the great peaks of the mountain West could be climbed if you went with a guide.

The decision to experiment with guides at Glacier House at Rogers Pass in 1899 completely changed the rules of membership in the climbing community. Until this time, climbing had been the almost exclusive domain of those who held membership in an alpine or mountaineering club. As there were only a few of these in North America, and none in Canada, it was difficult to acquire the skills and experience necessary to become a competent climber. With resident professional mountain guides available at reasonable cost, it became possible to learn to climb without terrifying or fatal results. With the opening of the 1899 season, anyone who was reasonably fit could step off the train at Glacier House and receive a safe and relatively inexpensive introduction to mountaineering in one of the most stunning alpine settings in the world. One needed no equipment, no membership – only a sense of adventure and determination.

FAMOUS CLIMBERS AND FAMOUS GUIDES

The start of the twentieth century brought more Swiss guides to the Canadian Alps. Karl Schluneggar, Friedrich Michel and Jacob Müller signed contracts with the railway and made their way to the western mountains in the summer of 1900.28

In 1900, Edward Whymper crossed Canada by train and had been much taken by the scenery and nature of the Canadian peaks. The following winter, Whymper proposed to the CPR that he would favour the landscape attractions of the railway and its hotels in newspaper articles and public presentations in England and in Europe, in exchange for an all-expense-paid visit to the Rockies in 1901. As Whymper was the most famous mountaineer in the world, the railway took quick notice of his offer and placed its considerable resources at his command for the entire climbing season of 1901.

To ensure a successful 1901 climbing season, Whymper brought four Swiss guides with him: Christian Klucker, James Pollinger, Joseph Bossonney and Hans Kaufmann (the only one with previous experience in the Rockies).29

The railway, having footed the bill for this expensive expedition, was anxious to see Whymper make the first ascent of Mount Assiniboine, the mountain widely known as the Matterhorn of the Rockies. Privately,
Whymper had no interest in the great stone spike rising out of the Great Divide south of Banff. At 62, he was the first to suggest that his really difficult climbs were already in the past and that a younger man would have to put a stonemason on the dangerous summit of a mountain like Assiniboine.  

Though he had with him some of the most competent guides in the world, Whymper confined most of his climbing to easy peaks in and around the Yoho Valley. Four major first ascents were recorded, up Mount Habel (now known as Mount Des Poilus), Mount Collie, Trolltinder Mountain and Isolated Peak. When Whymper was forced to return briefly to Field to find another horse wrangler, he met a British vicar named James Outram and invited him to join the party. When Whymper concluded his 1901 climbing season after the trip to the Yoho Valley, outfitter Bill Peyto informed Whymper that a bold American attempt on Mount Assiniboine guided by Edouard Feuz and Friedrich Michel had very nearly succeeded. Peyto suggested that he could get Whymper to the mountain in time to capture the peak before another American attempt could be mounted. Whymper wasn’t interested, but James Outram was. Outram hired Christian Hässler and Christian Bohren as guides and headed for the peak. On August 3, 1901 they made the first ascent of Mount Assiniboine, one of the classic mountaineering peaks in the world. It should also be noted that Christian Bohren’s granddaughter, Loni von Rotz of Canmore, made a centennial ascent of Mount Assiniboine in 2001 to honour her connection to her family heritage.

**Arthur Oliver Wheeler**

A.O. Wheeler is a legend in the Rocky Mountains. He wrote the first book by a Canadian on the mountains of the West. He was the co-founder of the Alpine Club of Canada. He mapped the boundary between Alberta and British Columbia. And he was a tireless promoter of mountain adventure everywhere mountains could be found in Canada. Photograph courtesy of the Wheeler family.

**Sweet Elizabeth and the Birth of the Alpine Club of Canada**

One of the first Canadians to be exposed to the burgeoning Canadian alpine aesthetic the Swiss guides inspired was a young surveyor named Arthur Oliver Wheeler, who established an early reputation for cartographic genius with a survey of the Rogers Pass area in British Columbia beginning in 1901. Wheeler was a tireless champion of a uniquely Canadian sense of the alpine.

Despite the fact that Canada was a world centre for mountaineering by the turn of the twentieth century, Wheeler found it very difficult to create interest in an alpine association in this country. Though many clubs all over the world had been formed in the tradition of the Alpine
Club created in England in 1857, Canadians didn’t seem interested in forming an organization of their own.

After several attempts at creating a Canadian organization, Wheeler was ready to accept Charles Fay’s offer to establish a wing of the American Alpine Club in Canada, but only as a last resort. He refused to give up until he had explored every avenue of possible Canadian interest. For three years after the AAC offer, he wrote letters to major Canadian newspapers trying to garner support for a wholly Canadian organization. Ultimately it was a letter from Wheeler to the *Winnipeg Free Press* that hit the nationalistic nerve and brought the notion of a Canadian Alpine Club to life. That letter prompted an article in the paper by a staff writer, who claimed that it would be downright un-Canadian to subject local mountaineers to the dictates of a foreign alpine institution. The article went on to give Wheeler a tongue-lashing for his lack of patriotism and imperialistic zeal. The author of that article was Elizabeth Parker.32

Parker lambasted Wheeler’s idea of affiliation with an American club, which prompted the astute Wheeler to ask for press space and editorial support to promote a separate organization, which became the Alpine Club of Canada. Supported by the newspaper, Parker then set out on a tireless campaign of articles about the club and its objectives. She organized the club’s founding meeting in Winnipeg in March of 1906, at which Wheeler was elected president and Parker the organization’s first secretary. At last a Canadian mountaineering organization existed to incorporate an appreciation of mountains into the evolving culture of this huge new land.33

**THE ACTION MOVES BACK TO THE ROCKIES**

Riding the crest of growing interest in mountaineering in Europe and the United States, the railway advertised these spectacular parks as fifty Switzerlands in one, and promoted the role guides played in enjoyable and safe mountain travel.34

In 1911, the Canadian Pacific Railway built a “Swiss Village” at Golden, B.C. to house the guides and their families in a location central both to the Rockies and the Selkirks.35 Soon a second generation of Swiss guides was working at Canadian Pacific’s mountain resort hotels. These *bergführers* rightly became as famous as the men and women they led on hundreds of first ascents all over the Canadian West. Edward Feuz made more than one hundred first ascents of mountains taller than
3,050 metres, taking with him many of the most famous climbers of his time.36 Clients would come from all over the world to climb with him.

The high profile and enormous success of the Canadian Pacific Hotel mountain guiding program did not go unnoticed by CPR’s chief rival, the Canadian National Railway. In 1924, Canadian National began importing Swiss mountain guides to Jasper Park Lodge. Even though some climbers were arguing that they would have preferred to avoid the cost of professional guides on first-ascent expeditions, almost all of the early first-ascent parties on major peaks always included at least one guide.37

The central focus of the guiding tradition has always been safety. Though there was one particularly close call in the Death Trap at Lake Louise, over the more than fifty years during which CPR offered guiding services in the Canadian Alps, there wasn’t a single mountaineering fatality in the thousands of climbs that Swiss guides led.

**GRUMPY ARTHUR AND THE GREAT SURVEY**

While the North American mountaineering community remained obsessed with the first ascents of unnamed peaks throughout the West, the Dominion Land Survey was already well into the planning stages of a much larger project. Its ambition was to map the entire Alberta-British Columbia boundary from the 49th parallel northward to the 60th degree of latitude at the southern boundary of the Northwest Territories. The Boundary Survey, as it was called, was the most ambitious program of mountain exploration ever undertaken in this country.

A.O. Wheeler was one of the principals involved in the Great Survey. As one of the founders of the Alpine Club of Canada, Wheeler knew as much about the mountains of Canada as anyone. Between 1913 and 1924, the survey mapped the spine of the Great Divide from Akamina Pass at the American border to the 120th meridian. During the survey, hundreds of mountains were climbed, and thousands more described and placed on maps. These maps remain the foundation of what we know about our mountains today. The next step after the creation of accurate and reliable maps would be the construction of refuges in popular places to ensure the safety of climbers and a growing number of hikers attracted to the glories of the western mountains.
ALPINE HUTS

It was around concerns for safety that the first alpine huts were constructed in what are now the Mountain Parks. Abbot Hut was the first. In 1921, Edward Feuz proposed that access to classic climbs on Mount Lefroy and Mount Victoria would be made a great deal easier and safer if a shelter were built on the narrow saddle named for Philip Abbot that separated the two peaks.

Feuz argued that if you could “just get some cement up to the pass and a good stone mason and a few building materials, you could build yourself a hut which would make the place almost as civilized as Switzerland.”

Feuz and fellow guide Rudolf Aemmer drew up the plans and showed them first to national parks officials and then to the railway. There were no takers. It looked like too much work and risk for too little benefit. Feuz, however, was not one for giving up. Finally, he found a supporter in Basil Gardom, Superintendent of Construction and Repairs for CPR’s western hotels.

Gardom translated the Feuz-Aemmer drawings into blueprints calling for a stone building 35 feet long, 19 feet wide and 18 feet high. To Gardom’s associates, the plan was absurd. Building a beautiful guide’s cottage on the shore of Lake Louise was one thing. This they had already agreed to do. But the guides were going to construct a house on the 2,962 metre knife-edge of Abbot Pass. That was another matter.

Abbot Hut was difficult to build. The only route to the pass from Lake Louise was up the Lower Victoria Glacier and through the Death Trap to the summit of the col, a section on the Mount Victoria side overhung by ice that collapsed on to the route at unpredictable intervals. Above this the way was safer, but very steep.

Construction began in the summer of 1922. The only way materials could be transported was by horse. It took cool wranglers to lead the heavily laden packhorses through the crevasses to the Death Trap. Guides carried everything in a sled that had been rigged to a winch, to haul the materials the final steep distance to the col.

Even though the rock for the building was to be quarried on-site, there were still two tons of materials to be ferried to the pass. Everything – cement, lime, bolts, windows, timbers, a stove, tools, beds, mattresses, bedding, cutlery, along with sufficient food to sustain the workers – had to be carried up the Death Trap on the guide’s backs. Trip after trip after trip, guides carried up to 75 pounds (34 kg) per load up the steep defile.
Twenty members of the Appalachian Mountain Club journeyed all the way from Boston to remember Philip Stanley Abbot at the official opening of the hut in 1923. Edward Feuz’s remarks at the opening were brief: “Down in the valley, a house, a big house, is just a big house. But up here, in the ice and snow, with all those beautiful peaks everywhere, this simple hut is home.”

Feuz proudly maintained throughout his whole life that the stone hut on Abbot Pass was the only true alpine hut in Canada. Feuz had achieved his goal. Abbot Hut made climbing possible and safe at Lake Louise.

For 50 years, until Neil Colgan Hut was constructed above Moraine Lake in 1983, Abbot Hut had the distinction of being the highest permanent building in Canada. It was turned over to Parks Canada in the mid 1960s. In 1985, through the efforts of Peter Fuhrmann, Parks Canada turned the operation and maintenance of Abbot Hut over to the Alpine Club of Canada, whose volunteers have restored the building to its original simple elegance.

From these humble origins has arisen a most remarkable backcountry hut and lodge tradition. In his guidebook to huts and lodges in the Rockies and Columbia Mountains, Jim Scott lists 124 different places.
They began skiing on a logging road on Mount Norquay, and later built a small cabin near Norquay Pass. The great success of this first small ski area prompted other explorations for the right combinations of slope and snow, and soon Sunshine and Lake Louise were included in the expanding adventure of skiing in the Rockies. As interest in the sport grew so did the demand for mechanization that would reduce the time spent climbing as opposed to real skiing. A hundred years after the arrival of the first professional mountain guide in Canada, downhill and cross-country skiing have become national pastimes attracting more than a million people to the Rockies each winter.45

GUIDES AND THE BIRTH OF SKIING

While most Swiss guides returned home for the winter, a few were hired by the railway to stay on to maintain the empty hotels. Whenever they could they skied, an activity utterly alien to locals who argued that the snowshoe was the only civilized vehicle through the deep powder of the long Canadian winter.

Through the influence of the Swiss guides, skiing became a popular winter pastime. In 1917, an informal group of young Banff skiers created the Banff Ski Club. They began skiing on a logging road on Mount Norquay, and later built a small cabin near Norquay Pass. The great success of this first small ski area prompted other explorations for the right combinations of slope and snow, and soon Sunshine and Lake Louise were included in the expanding adventure of skiing in the Rockies. As interest in the sport grew so did the demand for mechanization that would reduce the time spent climbing as opposed to real skiing. A hundred years after the arrival of the first professional mountain guide in Canada, downhill and cross-country skiing have become national pastimes attracting more than a million people to the Rockies each winter.45

THE BIRTH OF MOUNTAIN RESCUE

Though the Great Depression and World War II devastated mountain national park tourism, the guiding program at Lake Louise operated until the end of the 1954 climbing season. Faced with changes in travel patterns and visitor interests, the rundown mountain hotels no longer attracted mountaineers. By this time, however, the Swiss guides had already made a formative impact on Western Canadian culture.

Walter Perren and Edmund Petrig were the last Swiss guides hired by the Canadian Pacific Railway hotels. In 1950, Perren came to Canada at the invitation of the CPR to work with Edmund Petrig as a guide at Chateau Lake Louise.46 Legend has it that as soon as Perren stepped off the train in Lake Louise he “stretched his legs” with a climb of the needles between Mount White and Mount Niblock. When, five years

HANS SCHWARZ

Mountain guide Hans Schwarz is a living legend in Jasper. Considered one of the most competent mountaineers of his time, Schwarz climbed Mount Robson twelve times. He also pioneered dozens of new routes and taught two generations of young climbers how to travel safely in his footsteps.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.

where hikers, skiers and mountaineers can find often quite comfortable shelter in the mountainous backcountry of the Canadian West.44
later, the CPR phased out the Swiss guides, Perren planned to return to Zermatt with his Canadian wife and three young sons. The departure of this valuable climbing resource from Canada was prevented when the National Parks Service invited Perren to organize mountain travel and rescue training for park wardens. By 1956, Perren was responsible for the development of warden service mountaineering training and for the testing of aspiring mountain guides. Perren later became Chief Warden of Mountaineering Services, a position that eventually evolved into the role of alpine specialist for the national parks. After Perren’s death, mountaineering legends Peter Fuhrmann and Willi Pfisterer filled the position and Canada’s elite national park mountain rescue program was born.\textsuperscript{47}

**THE CREATION OF THE ASSOCIATION OF CANADIAN MOUNTAIN GUIDES**

With the decline of professional guiding at the railway hotels it became necessary to reassess the accreditation processes for the granting of guiding licenses. Until Perren reorganized the system, licenses had been granted by the national parks service on the basis of a one-page questionnaire. Perren immediately expanded the requirement to include a four-day comprehensive exam consisting of one day of rock climbing, a two-day mixed climb and a day of oral and written examinations.

In the late 1950s, Perren proposed the creation of a mountain guides association that under the combined auspices of the Alpine Club of Canada and Parks Canada would undertake responsibilities for guide training and certification. The Association of Canadian Mountain Guides was formally created on May 23, 1963.\textsuperscript{48}

Many candidates for guiding licenses seriously underestimated the standards for obtaining an ACMG guide certificate. High initial failure rates stimulated heated debate about the applicability of European standards to Canadian climbing. As a result of this debate, a more rigorous program was introduced in 1968 that included apprenticeship and accreditation of assistant guides, and a code of guiding ethics. In 1972, the ACMG was welcomed into the International Federation of Mountain Guides Associations. Today, success rates in ACMG courses are rising and more Canadian-born guides than ever are employed in the Canadian mountains, where they guide hikers, rock-climbers, ice-climbers, mountaineers, ski-tourers and heli-skiers from all over the world. The ACMG currently boasts a membership of more than six hundred guides,
many of whom are fully accredited combined summer and winter mountain guides. The association maintains its historical ties to Parks Canada and the Alpine Club of Canada, but mountain guiding is now an industry in its own right, centred within the Mountain Parks and in surrounding heli-skiing resorts.

Canada has come a long way in the development of its own mountain culture since the arrival of the first Swiss guides more than a century ago. While railway and government surveyors, horse packers, guides and outfitters had an influence on our attitudes about our mountains, their contributions differed from those of the Swiss guides. While they did climb, early surveyors and mapmakers were not usually as focused on aesthetics as they were on the hard science of data collection. While horse guides did explore miles of mountain terrain and did a great deal to position early climbers for first ascents, they seldom climbed themselves.

Through their quiet but formative influence, Swiss guides taught Canadians the real value of the overwhelming heritage of peaks that nature left for them to climb and enjoy. They also helped bring into existence a local mountain culture worthy of and utterly respectful of place. As a result of the Swiss influence, Canadians were able to show visitors from all over the world how to appreciate their mountains. It was not just where we lived that mattered, but how we lived in association with the landscapes around us that made the culture of the mountain west unique.
It wasn’t until the late nineteenth century that the combined influence of the Romantic poets and the advent of the railway began to alter the perceptual archetype of wilderness. The principal vehicle for the evolution of a mountain landscape aesthetic was the notion of the sublime. The sublime was generally taken to mean a pleasing dread that was felt when the forces of nature overwhelmed the familiar, with an aesthetic transcendence that permanently altered one’s worldview. The rapturous terror that travellers experienced in mountain landscapes was an ultimate expression of the sublime. Giddy young Romantics started visiting mountain landscapes in droves to experience the gloom and the glory of the high places.

The evolution of the Romantic mindset was timely, indeed. The ideals of Goethe, Shelley, Wordsworth and Blake were readily absorbed into the expectations of Victorian travellers already ecstatic with the challenge of exploration in the suddenly expanded geography of hope that was the New World. Such exploration would be made much easier by train.

In 1885, CPR president William Cornelius Van Horne thought that the burgeoning aesthetic of the Romantics could “fuel” the engines of the
railway’s great westbound trains. Almost overnight the lone land of the
West, with its stupendous peaks and roaring rivers, became a symbol
of the purity of experience that Romantic poets celebrated. Van Horne
touched an aesthetic nerve that brought people from all over the world.
Locals were stunned when flocks of foreigners started arriving by train
to experience the beauty. All that the locals had seen, at first at least,
were rocks and trees.

Until the railway was completed in 1885, few painters made their way
as far west as the Rockies. The country was simply too remote and dan-
gerous to visit. When the railway was completed, it began to hire artists
to interpret the grandeur of the mountain West. Famous early Canadian
painters like Lucius O’Brien, F.M. Bell-Smith and Marmaduke Matthews
focused artistic attention on the mountains surrounding railway hotels
at Banff, Lake Louise, Field and Rogers Pass.1 While the significance of
the work of these painters was immediately recognized within a small
circle of Eastern aficionados, most Canadians never saw these early
paintings. These works are of great importance to us today, however,
because they represent the foundation of Canadian artistic landscape
sensibilities especially as they relate to the mountain west.

As cheap colour lithography had yet to be developed, early railway
advertising depicted what is now the Mountain Parks by way of black
and white engravings. Though advertising engravings were largely
based on photographs, many were stunning works of art. Among
these best of these early engravings is one entitled Rocky Mountains,
Near Canmore that appeared in a lavishly illustrated fifty-page Cana-
dian Pacific pamphlet entitled The New Highway to the East (1888). The
production of this pamphlet was personally supervised by Van Horne
himself who was then Vice President of the CPR.

Images for this brochure were made by the Montreal photographic
firm, William Notman and Son Photographic Studio, which sent two
photographic parties to the Rockies in 1871 and 1884.2 Led by Alfred
Selwyn, Director of the Geological Survey of Canada and CPR chief
engineer Sandford Fleming, the 1871 expedition made photographs of
the proposed route the tracks would take through the mountains in the
west. The resulting brochure was composed of half-tone reproductions
of photographs and engravings made from Notman and Son photo-
graphs by American Bank Note Company artists.

Remarkable in their detail and accuracy of perspective, these fine
reproductions tell us a great deal about ecological state a century ago of
what is now this World Heritage Site. A particularly beautiful engraving
based on a Notman photograph of the Three Sisters illustrates what the Bow Valley looked like before the town surrounded the main line of the railway. Though the valley has been burned over, it is still wilderness. A thin steel line snakes coldly through the few remaining spruce while overhead an overcast sky threatens summer rain.

It was not long after these engravings that the exciting new medium of photography began to influence the way people thought about Canada’s western mountains. Mary Schäffer was already an accomplished photographer and well established watercolourist when she undertook her first expedition in search of Maligne Lake in 1907. That year, G.P. Putnam and Sons published *Alpine Flora of the Canadian Rockies*. Written by Stewardson Brown, curator of the Herbarium Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia, the book was illustrated with photographs and watercolours by Schäffer. The first artistic images we have of Maligne Lake and the Maligne Valley are the fine hand-tinted photographs that Schäffer made into lantern slides so that she could show her friends the wonders of what local First Nations called Chaba Imne. These, along with images taken by the Vaux family, Walter Wilcox, and later by Byron Harmon, remain among the most cherished historical photographs of the Rockies.

The moment it became possible to print black and white photographs cheaply the world of seeing was utterly transformed. Byron Harmon
Richards demonstrate today the capacity to represent the powerful and immediate sense of perspective and place that the Vaux family and Byron Harmon captured a century ago. It could be argued that the true sense of mountain place is as well preserved in contemporary painting as it is in photography. But even painting continues to wrestle with the scale and nature of Canada’s western mountains.

Generations of Painters Trying to Get the Rockies Right

Fortunately, the Canadian Pacific Railway didn’t exist just to serve tourists. At the turn of the century, the railway brought settlers west by the thousands with the promise of a new start in the West. Professional artists were among these immigrants. By the 1920s, a widening circle of artists were living in a number of Western Canadian cities. Art societies began pressing for the creation of art schools and galleries in bigger centres like Edmonton and Calgary. Once established, these schools attracted well-established Eastern Canadian and European-trained artists and instructors. Many of these established painters were reluctant to abandon the European influence that, in their minds, gave credibility to their work. A few, however, began to adjust their techniques and pictorial approaches to the massive, colourful environments of the mountain West.

Among the first Canadian artists to allow themselves to be influenced by the unique character of the Canadian landscape was the Group
of Seven. They formed in Toronto in 1914, and included Lawren Harris, J.E.H. MacDonald, A.Y. Jackson, Frederick Varley, Frank Carmichael, Arthur Lismer and Frank Johnston. Though their initial departures from the mainstream of Canadian painting were brutalized by critics, it gradually became apparent that these painters were creating a uniquely Canadian way of seeing. Here, at last, were paintings that mirrored the immensity and loneliness that were foundations of the Canadian spirit. There was no warmth in these paintings and little sentiment or humour. The emotional appeal of these paintings lay in sobriety and austerity that were at the heart of the grandeur of Canada. What the Group of Seven painted was the essence of the sublime – and there was no more sublime a place in all of Canada than the mountain West.

Members of the Group of Seven began employing their bold new styles in representing the Rockies in 1924. In the late summer and early fall of that year Lawren Harris visited Jasper with A.Y. Jackson. They used Jasper Park Lodge as a centre for expeditions to the Colin Range and the Tonquin Valley. Harris and Jackson also explored the Maligne Valley. They painted at Maligne Lake, Opal Hills, and Coronet Creek in what are now called the Queen Elizabeth Ranges. Harris would return again and again to Jasper and to Mount Robson creating some of the finest mountain paintings ever done in Canada.

The Rockies began to establish a national profile in the arts when members of the Group of Seven became summer instructors at the Banff School of Fine Arts (now the Banff Centre for the Arts). One of the most prominent painters on the faculty of the school during the 1930s was A.Y. Jackson. He and J.E.H. Macdonald were the only members of the group to establish a lasting relationship with the communities of Banff and Canmore.

Perhaps the most influential painter and teacher to have come to the Rockies was Walter Phillips. Walter Joseph Phillips was born at Barton-On-Humber, Lincolnshire, England in 1884. At twenty-eight, Phillips was building the reputation for artistic competence that would follow him for the rest of his life. By 1912, however, Phillips was ready to leave England. He and his wife chose Winnipeg by sticking a pin into the centre of a map of Canada. After arriving in June of 1913, Walter was appointed Art Master at St. John’s Technical High School in the city’s multi-racial North End. Initially, Phillips painted in the European style he had learned in England. Gradually, however, the Canadian landscape began to impose itself. Soon he was painting the prairies as they were, rather than as a European was trained to see them.
In 1947 Phillips illustrated Frederick Niven’s *Colour in the Canadian Rockies*. The work in this book was a testament to the artist’s remarkable capacity to render rock and water and light into images that radiated an inspired sense of mountain place. It also stands as one of the very best early books about modern travel – particularly on horseback – in the expanded geography of what are now the Mountain Parks.

In the summer of 1940, Phillips began teaching at the Banff School of Fine Arts. In 1941, Walter and Gladys Phillips moved to Calgary where he became an instructor at the Institute of Technology and Art. In 1943, they moved again, this time to St. Julian Road in Banff. Walter painted in their living room.

Phillips spent the next fifteen years in Banff teaching, painting and making woodblocks of the magnificent scenery of the Rockies. During that time, he became a tireless champion of Canadian art and artists. Through his writings, Phillips introduced a generation of artists who made formative contributions to Canadian culture through their painting.

Phillips was irritated by the fact that many artists residing in Canada were slaves to techniques that did not allow them to give themselves to the nature that surrounded them. Phillips admired painters who let the landscape speak for itself. One of his favourite painters was Thomas Fripp. Fripp was a well-trained English watercolourist who immigrated to British Columbia in 1893. Phillips delighted in Fripp’s capacity to reproduce the delicate, opalescent harmonies of changing mountain weather. Phillips pointed out that, though the art aristocracy in Toronto found him reactionary, Fripp had been able to get the mountains right. If you knew and understood the alpine, then Fripp’s sense of cold drama would stay with you.

Phillips also admired Carl Rungius. Born in Berlin in 1869, Rungius was already an established wildlife artist by the time he immigrated to the United States after a hunting and painting expedition to Wyoming in 1895. In 1910 Rungius was invited to the Canadian Rockies by outfitter and guide Jimmy Simpson. Though Rungius painted in the Rockies every summer for the next twenty-five years, his work was virtually unknown in Canada. In 1933, Phillips drew the attention of the Canadian public to Rungius by proclaiming his mastery in portraying the spirit of Canada’s mountains and wildlife.

Phillips also admired Alfred C. Leighton. Leighton was born in Hastings, Sussex, England in 1901. After suffering serious injuries in a plane crash during World War I, Leighton briefly became a toy designer before
establishing a studio to make architectural models. A model he built of the port of Liverpool came to the attention of the Canadian Pacific Railway, and in 1924 Leighton became Chief Commercial Artist with the CPR. In this capacity Leighton designed brochures and advertising promotions.

In 1925, Leighton made his first trip to the Canadian West to paint mountain scenes for the railway. In 1929, Leighton decided to move to Canada and became Director of Art at the Institute of Technology and Art in Calgary. It was here that he befriended Walter Phillips, who helped him establish the school as a prominent force in artistic development on the prairies.

Word of the exciting artistic culture associated with painting in the Rockies quickly spread, attracting new talent. Nicholas de Grandmaison was born in southern Russia in 1892. After immigrating to Canada in 1927, he began painting the portraits of trappers, traders, Métis and Native peoples in The Pas, Manitoba. When his friend Alfred Leighton fell ill in the fall of 1931, de Grandmaison took over his instruction work at the Institute of Technology and Art. Walter Phillips wrote that de Grandmaison painted Indians in a way that inspired others to see their sophistication and abiding dignity. De Grandmaison’s timeless portraits define our relationship to history and place to this day.

One of the great teachers of the Group of Seven tradition was Illingworth Kerr. Kerr was born in Lumsden, Saskatchewan in 1905 and was taught art by his mother before travelling to Toronto to study under Arthur Lismer, J.E.H. Macdonald, Frederick Varley and J.W. Beatty. When Kerr returned to the prairies, he made it his ambition to encompass a complete interpretation of the prairies in his work. He wanted to do for the prairies what the Group of Seven had done for northern Ontario and the Rockies. In 1947, Kerr came to Calgary to direct the Art School of the Provincial Institute of Technology and Art. Under his guidance, this school later became the Alberta College of Art and Design, and influenced and trained hundreds of local painters and sculptors. Somehow, Kerr still found time outside his administrative responsibilities to paint and, later in life, generated impressive results in the Rockies.

The increasing presence of the work of these artists encouraged Canadians to believe in the powerful aesthetic possessed by the landscapes in which they lived. Inspired visual affirmation of the qualities of place contributed to the gradual emergence of a mountain culture in which respect for the landscape was uniquely commensurate with the
degree of protection the landscape was afforded. While cultural homogenization was occurring almost everywhere else, in the Rockies, how locals lived remained inseparable from where they lived. It soon became apparent that it was exactly this quality that made the Rockies such a desirable place in which to live and such an unforgettable place to visit.

By the mid to late 1970s there were a lot of artists living in and around the Rocky Mountain parks. Now there are dozens of excellent galleries in Canmore, Banff, Lake Louise and Jasper. Art galleries promoting art related to this expanded area have created far more than just sales outlets for local painters and artists. They have become an organizing principal around which a remarkable art culture has cumulatively developed and emerged in this region. Due to good art galleries there is a healthy, vibrant and successful art community that flourishes through the celebration of the natural landscape values protected within the Rocky Mountain Parks. Painters of the calibre of Alice Saltiel Marshall, Marilyn Kinsella, Donna-Jo Massie, Max Elliott, Leona Amman, Michael Cameron, Robert Sinclair and Robert Genn – to name just a few – have established considerable reputations for their portrayal of landscapes in the mountain West. Many of the best contemporary mountain artists are women, and there is an emergence of outstanding work by First Nations artists such as Terry McCue.

Since landscape art is now a vital part of Canadian mountain culture, much is expected of it in terms of how it affirms our culture. This suggests that the role of art in our society must be held constantly to account. It is reasonable to ask how influenced has our art been by genuine experience of the mountains of Canada, as opposed to its own internal stylistic traditions. We might also ask if art is keeping up in its interpretation of the changes that are taking place in our mountain places. How much of our mountain art is simply pretty picture making and how much of it is telling us what is actually happening to the mountain places that we love?

There has never been a time in our history when we have needed art more to help us re-affirm the connection to place that is required to balance our needs with those of the landscapes that have defined us. In order to help art perform this service, we need to confirm and encourage a link between what mountain art portrays, and our experience of place and how it is changing. In order to do so successfully, it may be useful to look deeply into literature as well as art to confirm our appreciation of place.
Imagine wet pines. Wood smoke. The yellow of camp firelight under a hide roof in the rain. Wrapped in a buffalo robe, you are listening to stories. It is the history of your people that you are learning. Words and songs, smells and sounds; stories made inseparable in memory between the teller and the places in which each story was told.

What if, like all but two of the Native peoples of North America, you were born into a culture without the written word? What would it be like to be charged with the responsibility of passing ten thousand years of your past from your generation to the next through the spoken word? Would you remember the stories? Would you be able to tell them again?

If this were how you lived would it matter if you suddenly found yourself in the presence of alien others who had the written word? What would it be like if the first written language to which you were exposed wasn’t yours? What if these new words re-named your world? What if these new words remade the geography of where you lived? How would you deal with words for which, as with smallpox, you had no experience or immunity; words that without your permission reduced the radius of your once wide world to the solitary boundary of the self? What if the language you were forced to learn obliterated
you? Could you trust words that betrayed you? Could you continue to
tell stories that extinguished the fire among the pines, then carried the
dripping forest away?

The earliest writings, like the earliest paintings, were created by
visiting foreigners for audiences at home. As Native peoples in the West
had no written language of their own, early European exploration
accounts served to create a new image of the mountain West that largely
excluded local presence and pre-contact history. Native people had no
way to defend their interpretations of place from the explosive influence
of the popular print media of the day. A few words written in a journal
by a hasty traveller had more impact than the oral traditions passed
down through thousands of years of Native presence in the West.

Most of the very early Europeans who made their way west to the
Rockies were fur traders. As trade was their central focus, theirs is
largely a language of commerce. We know how many furs were taken
here and there, and we can learn distances between features along the
rivers. But for the most part the journals of the fur trade are as spare and
empty as the lonely miles that separated the traders’ posts.

As has already been noted, the most famous of fur trade explorers
was David Thompson. Thompson first came to the Bow Valley near
Canmore in the autumn of 1800 and spent the next dozen years culti-
vating Native knowledge of the mountain passes that crossed the Great
Divide. The conditions under which Thompson travelled were often
deplorable. At the end of a long day’s push into unknown mountains, he
must have had difficulty summoning the energy to write. That he was
the first to write about many of the places he visited imbues his work
not only with historical but literary importance.

As dryly and matter-of-factly as Thompson tried to describe it,
the West could hardly be contained by his prose. It is January of 1811.
Thompson has found his way to the summit of his second great gap in
the spine of the Rockies, Athabasca Pass:

\[\text{strange to say, here is a strong belief that the haunt of the mammoth is about this defile, I questioned several, none could positively say, that they had seen him, but their belief I found firm and not to be shaken. I remarked to them, that such an enormous heavy Animal must leave indelible marks of his feet, and his feeding. This they all acknowledged, and that they had never seen any marks of him, and therefore could show me none. All I could say did not shake their belief in its existence.}^{1}\]

David Thompson was cautious in his literary creation of the Rockies.
Under the sway still of the Church, he is representative of those early
generations of explorers who thought mountains abodes of evil remote from the blessings of God. This same attitude prevailed widely among travellers during the fur trade. Ross Cox reports that a “rough-spun, unsophisticated Canadian” in the party, which included Gabriel Franchère, that crossed the Rockies in 1814 spoke for many who crossed the Rockies early in the nineteenth century. “I’ll take my oath, my dear friends,” he wrote of Athabasca Pass, “that God Almighty never made such a place.”

**ARTICULATE ADVERTISING ATTRACTS LITERATE TOURISTS**

Though there was a good deal of technical writing done on the subject of the Canadian Rockies during the railway survey era, a real literature of place didn’t emerge until tourists rode the first trains west. In those heady days before transcontinental train travel became commonplace, surprisingly good writing about the Rockies was even found in advertising. Copywriters in the employ of the Canadian Pacific outdid one another with superlatives describing the scenery surrounding grand new railway hotels.

In 1888, Canadian Pacific published a brochure entitled *The New Highway To The East*, which was a paean to the glories of travelling across Canada as a direct route to the Orient. In it was some highly compelling writing:

> *Passing three emerald lakes, deep set in the mountains, we follow the west-bound stream down through a tortuous rock-ribbed cañon, where the waters are dashed to foam in incessant leaps and whirls. This is Wapta or Kicking Horse pass. Ten miles below the summit we round the base of Mount Stephen, a stupendous mountain rising directly from the railway to a height of more than eight thousand feet, holding on one of its shoulders, and almost over our heads, a glacier, whose shining green ice, five hundred feet thick, is slowly crowded over a sheer precipice ... and crushed to atoms below.*

The railway created our modern image of western mountains and established a new standard for travel writing. The educated and the literate flocked west. For a time the reality of the Rockies was actually equivalent to image portrayed through advertising. Visitors went home wild-eyed with the glory of Canadian peaks.

Walter Dwight Wilcox was an early tourist-explorer who wrote in an enduring way about the Canadian Rockies. After graduating from Yale University, Wilcox spent his summers in the remote wilds of the
Canada’s mountain West. In 1893, he and a few companions spent most of the summer camped on the north shore of Lake Louise.

Wilcox wrote two influential books about the Rockies. His earliest work, *Camping in the Canadian Rockies*, was published in 1896 and went through several editions before appearing in an enlarged format entitled *The Rockies of Canada* in 1900. In 1909, Wilcox published his *Guide to the Lake Louise District*, a popular and definitive trail aid that quickly went out of print. Consistent with his time, Wilcox tried hard to be scientific in his descriptions:

> The lake is little more than a mile long and about one-fourth of a mile wide. The outline is remarkably like that of a human foot. Forests come down nearly to the water's edge on all sides of the lake, but there is a narrow margin of rough angular stones where the ripples from the lake have washed out the soil and even undermined the trees in some places. The water is a blue-green color, so clear that the stones on the bottom and the old water-logged trunks of trees, long since wrested from the shores by storms and avalanches, may be discerned even in several fathoms of water. The lake is 230 feet deep in the centre, and the bottom slopes down very suddenly from the shores.

The success of Wilcox’s books inspired Canadians to begin to think in a new way about their own mountains. If American tourists could write popular books about the Canadian Alps, then surely Canadians could do the same.

The first successful Canadian mountain writer was Arthur Oliver Wheeler. After years of working with the Dominion Land Survey, Wheeler wrote the definitive work on the history of exploration and mountaineering around Rogers Pass. When *The Selkirk Ranges* was published by the Department of the Interior in 1905, it was immediately recognized as Canada’s first homegrown mountain classic. As already noted, it was in the following year that Wheeler co-founded the Alpine Club of Canada. Though the club’s principal aim was to get Canadians climbing, it also encouraged Canadians to contribute to the *Canadian Alpine Journal*, Canada’s first and longest-running mountaineering periodical. The first issue of the *CAJ* was published in 1907. Mountain writing in Canada hasn’t looked back since.

**WRITER-MOUNTAINEERS**

The second major work of 1905, *In The Heart of the Canadian Rockies*, was written by a British vicar who had visited Canada over the course of several summers while convalescing from “mental fatigue.” While
the state of James Outram’s mental health may have at some time been tenuous, there was no doubt about his mountaineering abilities.

Outram first visited the Rockies with his brother in 1900. As has already been indicated, in 1901 he became the first to climb Mount Assiniboine. In 1902, he returned again to make the first ascent of Mount Columbia, then as now one of the most remote peaks in the Rockies. Later in the summer, he and guide Christian Kaufman made the first perilous ascent of Mount Bryce, far and away the most dangerous climb undertaken in the early period of mountaineering in the Rockies.

This book is best accompanied by Climbs and Explorations in the Canadian Rockies by Hugh Stutfield and Norman Collie. Collie discovered the Columbia Icefield in 1898 and competed fiercely with Outram to be the first to climb the giant peaks that rose from the silent ice. Skilled naturalists, these early climbers exhibit powers of detailed observation in their writings that surprise and impress even today.

Ontario geologist Arthur Philemon Coleman’s explorations are beautifully documented in The Canadian Rockies, New and Old Trails, published in 1912. Coleman also published a monogram on the glaciers
of the Rockies and Selkirks in 1914, and a major textbook on glacial geology in 1926. These books became windows through which Canadian students began to understand the wonders of their own mountain landscapes.

These early works, though rare now, are a delight to read even as reprints. There is a hint between each of the carefully crafted lines that the nostalgia that has grown up around the early days of climbing and exploring in the Rockies may be as attributable to good period writing as it is to the expansive character of the land. Certainly, these books describe a simpler time. It was easier then to be alone amidst the grandeur of our mountains and to be influenced by their aesthetic.

**GREAT STORIES AND STORYTELLERS**

**THOUGH MUCH OF THE EARLY** literature of the Rockies was about mountaineering, there was also a large body of excellent writing that focused on the simple joys of experiencing place. The first “soft” adventure classic published in this century was Mary Schäffer’s elegantly written account of an historic horse trip from Lake Louise to Jasper in 1906. *Old Indian Trails of the Canadian Rockies*, which first appeared in 1911, is a chronicle of the difficulties Schäffer encountered on her way to acquiring a life-changing appreciation of these mountains. Perhaps because Schäffer was not in the competitive business of climbing, her book offers details about travel seldom present in the accounts of more ambitious mountaineers.

Though it was written thirty-six years before a road penetrated northward from Lake Louise, *Old Indian Trails* is still the best guide ever written on the Icefields Parkway. An American by birth, Mary was so affected by her experiences in the Rockies that, after the death of her husband, she gave up a cultured life in Pennsylvania and moved to Banff permanently in 1913. In so doing, Schäffer became the town’s first notable woman writer.

By the 1920s, the grand landscapes of the Rockies were turning quite a number of tourists into serious writers. Of these, James Monroe Thorington is the most prominent. A successful Philadelphia ophthalmologist, Thorington began climbing extensively in Canada in 1921. After a number of bold first ascents, Thorington became a self-appointed expert on the history of mountaineering in Canada. In 1925, he published a simple narrative of his adventures with books and mountains. *The Glittering Mountains of Canada* was soon recognized
as a masterpiece of mountain writing. Quoted widely even today it is considered required reading for anyone who really loves the Rockies.

Though *The Glittering Mountains of Canada* established his reputation as a mountain writer, it was not Thorington’s final contribution to Canadian letters. In 1935, Thorington published the journals and reminiscences of the famous Austrian guide Conrad Kain. *Where the Clouds Can Go* gives a complete firsthand account of pioneering ascents on Mount Robson and dozens of other peaks scaled by Kain and his clients between 1910 and 1930. This book inspired a lot of people to climb – and to write.

Perhaps the best book about the forgotten years, however, was written by a Banff boy. Ralph Edwards was a horse packer who guided a number of early mountaineering expeditions into the backcountry of the Rockies. Near the end of his long life, Edwards published his memoirs in a book called *The Trail to the Charmed Land*. You still see it around. It is a plain little book with the silhouette of a pack string in the mountains on the cover. It is a great classic of Canadian mountain writing.

**POETS AND NOVELISTS**

**Ralph Connor** The first novelist to have lived in the Canadian Rockies was the missionary Rev. Charles William Gordon who lived in Canmore from 1890 until 1893. Gordon wrote abundantly under the pen name of Ralph Connor and, in the first two decades of the twentieth century, was a prominent figure in Canadian letters. His first three novels – *Black Rock*, *The Sky Pilot* and *The Man From Glengarry* – sold more than five million copies, making him as popular in his day as Margaret Atwood is in our time. Though none of his novels deals specifically with mountain themes, *Black Rock* (1900) and *The Patrol of the Sundance Trail* (1914) both take place in locations very familiar to present-day residents of the Bow Valley.

**Howard O’Hagan** This Jasper resident is a writer that many literary scholars believe has been unfairly ignored. Too often his work is associated just with the Jasper and Mount Robson areas, which were rich sources of his literary inspiration. Reading his work, however, is rather like combing the tailings left behind from twenty years of mining the gold of Western Canadian literature, and stumbling upon a huge and unexpected nugget. It is like coming around the bend on a November trail and finding a fiery paintbrush still in perfect bloom.
Howard O’Hagan was the son of Dr. Thomas O’Hagan, who was for twenty-five years the only doctor in Jasper and is credited with the founding of the community’s first hospital. As Howard O’Hagan was born in 1902, he was 22 when his father moved to Jasper. He received a law degree from McGill University in Montreal in 1928 but returned regularly to see his parents. His stories were inspired by summer jobs in the Jasper backcountry.

Critics have suggested that *Tay John*\(^1\) may have been influenced by O’Hagan’s interest in the work of Joseph Conrad. The story is about a partly mythical Métis Messiah whose destiny is to lead his people from the Rocky Mountains back to the Pacific Coast. *Tay John* does not accomplish this goal. He does, however, become Tête Jaune, a legend in the Jasper area of the Rockies, after whom Yellowhead Pass is later named.

While there will never be a true history of this quasi-mythical figure, O’Hagan’s novel gives the reader a powerful sense of what the country was like up to and immediately after the Grand Trunk Pacific put its civilizing stamp on the northwest. Despite its remarkable attention to period detail, *Tay John* is not just an historical novel. The protagonist is as much metaphor as he is a character. He stands as a symbol of the bridge the Métis built between Native peoples and invading Europeans. He is also an important link between the landscape and the interloper foreigners whose sense of place, established in Europe or in the East, did not flourish when transplanted to the thin soil of the mountain West.

Until Sid Marty appeared on the Rocky Mountain literary scene in 1973 with a book of poems entitled *Headwaters*,\(^1\) O’Hagan’s *Tay John* must surely have been the most important and influential book written on Jasper. Many critics, including Patricia Morley, who offered the introduction to the 1974 New Canadian Library edition that brought the book out of obscurity, have portrayed O’Hagan as one of the country’s most important mountain writers. There can be no doubt that O’Hagan got the sense of the Rockies right.

**Earle Birney** As soon as people started travelling in the country for pleasure, poetry became a widespread medium for expressing sense of place in the Rockies. Much of the earliest poetry, however, suffers from being too tightly confined by European and American structural conventions. Mountain poetry in this country was not set free from these conventions until Earle Birney began to write about the Rockies in the 1920s.
Alfred Earle Birney was born in a shack near the Langevin Bridge in Calgary on May 13, 1904, but grew up in Banff. Birney’s most famous poem, *David*, was written in Toronto in 1940. It is an epic account of the death of a climbing partner in an accident on a talon of rock called “The Finger” on the Sawback Range.

Recognized now as a classic in Canadian literature, *David* was a tribute to Birney’s close friend David Cunningham Warden, who fell to his death in the Coast Mountains north of Vancouver in 1927. The setting in the poem, however, is the Rockies. It is the first great and enduring work written about Banff.

Birney was a master of powerful evocations of mountain place. The reader revels in his sunalive weekends, the joy he had in his lengthening coltish muscles, valleys that were steps to the sun’s retreats. How can one forget a peak that was thrust up like a fist in a frozen ocean of rock that swirled into valleys the moon could be rolled in? Can’t you just see the marching ranges flagged by the fading shreds of shattered storm cloud? You can smell “gentian and saxifrage spilled on the moss.” It takes your breath away when you realize you, too, have learned to “read the scroll of coral in limestone and the beetle-seal in the shale of ghostly trilobites.” One’s mouth puckers around Birney’s sunhot raspberries. What mountaineer does not remember when ice “in the morning thaw was a gurgling world of crystal and cold blue chasms, and seracs that shone like frozen saltgreen waves.” Who will forget David, after the accident laying on the ledge “still as a broken doll”?

Through *David* and other fine poems including *Bushed* and *Leaving The Park*, Birney found his way into anthologies and school texts that established him as the poet laureate of our mountains. His works started an avalanche of writing about the Rockies.

From the 1970s through the 1980s dozens of books were written about the Rockies. Historical accounts, coffee table picture books, exhibition catalogues, memoirs and guidebooks followed one another in profusion. The literature from this period, however, is almost devoid of novels.

**Jon Whyte**  The 1980s, however, were great years for poetry in Banff. This was the heyday of Jon Whyte, a poet and historian who held court over the environmental conscience and literary tradition of the Bow Valley for twenty years. Though he died in 1992, his influence continues to shape the literature of the Rockies today.
The scion of one of the area’s bedrock pioneer families, Jon Whyte was born in Banff, Alberta on the Ides of March 1941. He remained in Banff until 1956 when he moved with his mother to Medicine Hat. After receiving a B.A. and an M.A. in medieval English at the University of Alberta, Jon then advanced to Stanford University in California. While at Stanford, he pursued a second master’s degree, this time in communications. As part of his course work for this degree Jon completed a short biographical film on the early horse packer and guide Jimmy Simpson, a film that would later become a local classic in the mountain history genre.

In 1968, Jon returned to Banff permanently where he was able to apply his considerable mental prowess to the writing of poetry and prose that reflected a profound appreciation for life in the Canadian Rockies. As the manager of Banff’s famous Book and Art Den, Jon took it upon himself to react productively to the paucity of good natural and human history writing in Western Canada.


One of the finest of his mountaineering poems, *The Agony of Mrs. Stone*, was written about the death of Winthrop Stone on Mount Eon in July of 1921. It proved that Jon Whyte could tell you stories that were fifty years old and give them the urgency and the drama that made them seem they happened only yesterday.

As the Curator of Heritage Homes at the Whyte Museum of the Canadian Rockies, an institution founded by his aunt, Jon also committed himself to the encouragement of other local writers in their efforts to come to grips with the history and culture of the Rockies. The mountain writers that he influenced included Brian Patton, Bart Robinson, Sid Marty, Peter Christensen and many, many others. He also collaborated with historian Ted Hart in a number of publications, including a masterwork on the life of painter Carl Rungius.
Whyte was also a source of inspiration for some of Canada’s best poets, including local intellectual powerhouse Charles Noble. But Jon did not confine his interest in art to the Rockies. Over the twelve years that Jon worked at the Whyte, he held court over an entire generation of visiting Canadian and foreign artists, writers, musicians and thinkers. Jon also knew many of the world’s most active mountaineers and guides. Using the Whyte Museum of the Canadian Rockies as a vehicle, he was able to continue to elevate the culture of Banff from that of a transient tourist town to a cosmopolitan centre for landscape and art appreciation. As much as anyone in this area’s history, Jon Whyte made good writing a part of our local heritage.

Thomas Wharton  Thomas Wharton is an Alberta writer and the author of a novel entitled Icefields. Spare and simple, like the glaciers and frozen peaks he describes, Wharton’s writing mirrors the beauty of the high alpine landscape. Only the important features relating to the nature and character of place stand out.

Wharton’s characters are similarly constructed. They are reduced to the elemental spareness of the ice over which they wander. Subject to only the most fundamental emotions, we see them come to grips with themselves by coming to grips with the ice and rock and pure light of the icefield upon which his remarkable story unfolds.

Wharton has chosen historical figures that mean a great deal to the history of Canada’s mountain national parks, as the prime movers of his spare but haunting story. One of his prime characters was drawn from the adventure narratives of the Earl of Southesk, who travelled through the remote wilderness of what is now Jasper in 1859. Wharton then superimposed Southesk’s narrative on the adventures of Norman Collie, who with Hugh Stutfield and Herman Woolley discovered the Columbia Icefield in the summer of 1898. The narratives of these two figures also overlap with those of a famous woman mountaineer, American climber C.S. Thompson, a poet horseman from England, an entrepreneur who initiated snowmobile rides on the glacier, and the leader of the 1925 Japanese Expedition to Mount Alberta.

The key character in Icefields is Dr. Edward Byrne. The story begins when Byrne falls in a crevasse on the Arcturus Glacier and discovers the image of a frozen angel in the ice. He returns to England haunted by his accident and by the remarkable woman who has tended him during his convalescence in a settlement near Jasper. After abandoning everything
that formerly mattered in England, Byrne returns to the mountains to pursue a growing passion for glaciology. In time he learns enough about the motion of the Arcturus Glacier to determine when the angel of the ice and the pack he lost in the crevasse might emerge at the terminus. Twenty-five years pass before Byrne finds his pack. Meanwhile some interesting things have happened to the angel in the ice, to his Jasper friends and to the landscape in which they live.

With *Icefields*, Wharton demonstrates that literary craftsmanship, engaging storytelling and a profound knowledge of subject are still the basis of a well-expressed sense of mountain place.

**Sid Marty** If there is one living writer who has defined the Canadian Rockies for our most recent generation it is Sid Marty. Published in 1978, his classic *Men for the Mountains* was the first work of real literature about the link between where and how people live in the mountain national parks. Marty’s earthy poetry compares with the earlier works of Earle Birney in its power to define the unique mythology and unforgettable imagery of the Canadian Rockies in the public imagination. Marty’s later works *Leaning on the Wind* (1995) and *Switchbacks* (1999) have further established his enduring place in the Canadian literary canon.17

In the tradition of the best literature, Marty’s *The Black Grizzly of Whiskey Creek* succeeds simultaneously on a number of levels.18 It is important history that captures local life within the unique context of regional ecosystem dynamics. It is an important addition to our ongoing understanding of how best to manage our relationship with the black bears and grizzlies with which we share our national park landscapes. Finally, it is an extraordinary, uniquely Canadian story that took twenty-eight years for Marty to tell.

*The Black Grizzly of Whiskey Creek* is about a series of bear maulings that took place in Banff townsite in the late summer of 1980. While Sid Marty is thorough in providing the historical context required to understand what led to the maulings, nothing prepares the reader for the horror that builds through the book as the events of that summer unfold. The facts and details of what happened are so terrifying that it would have been easy for the book to become just another grizzly story where the killers are hunted down and the world is made safe again by eliminating yet another dangerous predator. But that would not have satisfied Sid Marty.
Sid Marty
The artist is the one individual in our society who is not afraid to pay the price for standing up for his or her beliefs. Sid Marty’s powerful and evocative writing has defined the unique mythology and unforgettable imagery of the Canadian Rockies in the world’s imagination in our time. In many ways, Sid is the conscience of the mountain West.
Photograph by R.W. Sandford.

Marty wants the reader to understand what happened within the larger reality of how difficult it is to manage national parks in an era where commercial interests and visitor experience rather than ecosystem values drive management agendas. The author wisely and competently balances the tension between the wilderness and the city by constantly casting the events in the context of how they might have been perceived by the two protagonists in the story, a very large black bear and a giant black grizzly around whom the plot and all human activities in Banff revolved that summer. This makes for a book so filled with suspense that it is hard to put down.

Though elements of it are terrifying, this story is told with exemplary sensitivity and eloquence. Marty reminds us that the spirit of the great bear that resides at the heart of what makes Banff unique as a world tourism destination. The Black Grizzly of Whiskey Creek reminds us that it is the spirit of the bear that defines our humanity within the context of place in the mountain West. Beyond the beauty of the peaks, it is the presence of the bear that makes where and how we live special, and makes us and the Rockies utterly worth visiting.

While the writings of highly considered authors such as Sid Marty and Thomas Wharton have for reasons of their literary merit been read widely outside of the region they describe, it is interesting to note that the best-selling publication in the history of the Canadian Rockies is not a novel but a field guide. Because the ecosystems of the Mountain Parks are still relatively intact, they are possessed of such extraordinary biogeographical diversity that it is difficult to know sometimes what exactly one might be looking at, even from the most ordinary viewpoint. Locals will explain that if you want to know what is actually around you there is one book you cannot be without. That book is Ben Gadd’s Handbook of the Canadian Rockies. 19 This 831-page tome is an encyclopaedia of the geology, plants, birds, animals, insects, history and trails in the Canadian Rockies from Waterton Lakes National Park to the Yukon. It is a fully illustrated masterwork in the tradition of the great compendiasts of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. It is a reference work that no traveller or mountain writer worth his or her salt should be without.
The other book no one who cares about the Rockies should be without is *The Canadian Rockies Trail Guide*, by Brian Patton and Bart Robinson. Its updated editions make this book just as much of a classic today as it was when it was first published in 1971. It is a way into the wilderness, to the heart of place.

**WHERE OUR LITERATURE MIGHT TAKE US**

Despite some very fine writing, mountain literature in Canada over the last two centuries has confined itself largely to description of place as a backdrop to narrative storytelling. In other words, it is largely a literature all about us.

While some authors like Sid Marty have commented critically on over-development and mismanagement of mountain places, our literature has been largely about what the landscape has done to and for us, not what we have done to the landscape. While some Canadian writers, such as Don Gayton, Kevin Van Tighem, and the writers involved in the Waterton Writers Workshop, explored the overlapping domains of human and ecological history, the environmental situation in Canada does not appear to have reached a state that would warrant the concerns that exist in parts of the United States.

That said, environmental pressures here are mounting quickly and we are only now developing art and literature that tells the story of what happened to the mountains while we were establishing our identities in them. This, however, is a domain that will undoubtedly grow in significance in the coming century. If we want to create a literary culture worthy of place, we will have to hear from more women and more First Nations perspectives. With so much happening in the Rockies, we do not want for things to write about. If nothing else, we may wish to write about what we witnessed in this world, before it’s gone.
When Canada decided to participate in the United Nations International Year of Mountains initiative in 2002, there were many in the extended tourism community who maintained that the UN global objectives related to the protection of mountain ecosystems and watersheds didn’t appear to have widespread application in this country. There was a sense that compared to other places in the world, mountain regions in Canada were virtually pristine and human impacts here had been relatively light. Research undertaken in the context of that UN initiative questioned that assumption. It was surprising to many to discover that human influence has cumulatively affected every mountain ecosystem in this country. Nor is our influence likely to diminish in the future.

Through events that celebrated the International Year of Mountains, participants were able to put into relief the kinds of attitudes and habits we need to cultivate in order to address the challenges we face. One way of reaffirming the importance of mountain places to global ecological and cultural heritage is to remember how our own experience of mountains has shaped our attitudes, behaviour and identity. By analyzing how locals come to have a “sense of place” and by examining what
people search for when they travel, we confirm the valuable role that knowledge and understanding can play in making the world meaningful to others.

We also discovered that in many communities, all of these aspects of heritage are under some sort of threat. Natural landscapes throughout the mountain West are suffering from increased human presence, a number of important wildlife species are having difficulty sustaining themselves, and ecosystem health in many areas is in decline. Strong historic connection to place is also under threat. Where once our mountain communities were artifacts of a time when the dominant force in human life was nature, our communities are becoming more and more like the cities our visitors left behind.

We have more distractions, which means that we have less time to spend in the landscape. Visitors are also becoming more alike in their interests. Many of our visitors are from cities; their expectations are increasingly urban in nature. As their demands change, we change the places in which we live, in order to meet their expectations. We alter place, thereafter place alters us.

Along the entire spine of the Rockies, from Canada to the United States, communities are losing their unique character and becoming more and more like the cities the people who live in them sought to escape. Memory of what the West was like in the past is vanishing. Huge numbers of people are moving into many of our mountain areas either permanently or on a part-time or weekend basis. Most have little understanding of how dramatically these mountain places have changed in the last century.

As we struggle to grow our tourism potential in the wake of declining resource industries, we are beginning to realize that what is now happening cannot be stereotypically characterized as passionate environmentalist versus insensitive developer. The challenge is broader, and in some senses deeper. As American writer Rick Bass put it, “we owe a debt of love and thanks to the country that makes us; and that it is up to us to make sure that the last good parts of it are not divided up into halves and then quarters and then eighths, then further divided into the invisibility of neglect or dishonor.” This, however, is easier said than done.

We are struggling now in the mountain West to retain the connection to place upon which our very identity depends, upon which many locals have found their reasons for living here. If we cannot stand up for place, then we may lose what is most essential about ourselves, for when
the West is gone there will be no place left for many of us to go.
When there is no place for us to go, there will be no place for visitors to go either.

Few Canadians want the myth of our wilderness West to end. Many people are prepared to make real sacrifices and compromises to ensure that creatures such as wolves and bears survive. Through careful consideration of what makes our circumstances unique more and more people who live in communities in and around our mountain national parks can make where and how they live the basis of what distinguishes this region in the world tourism marketplace. At a time when natural habitat loss and species extinction worldwide are occurring at an unprecedented rate, surviving intact ecosystems and cultures closely associated with their perpetuation are rapidly becoming the world’s most precious and desired future resources.

In order to sustain our tourism economy, our ecological ethics cannot simply reside in marketing slogans. Hard facts have to be addressed. People will not travel from afar to visit landscapes that are as compromised and crowded as the ones they have at home. They come because they want to experience a world that has not been dramatically altered.
or disturbed. They come because they want to see wild animals whose existence is an embodiment of functioning natural processes they associate with the Canadian West. Wolves and grizzlies have great value in the world’s imagination. But it is not just wolves and bears that must be protected. It is also important to protect enough uncrowded, open space to allow ourselves and our visitors to have meaningful communion with place.

Protection of functioning ecosystems should not be just a national park or World Heritage Site imperative, but one that should apply everywhere people live on this planet. Our mountain national parks are a crystal around which a new sense of long-term economic stability can be established and radiate outward. That stability will be defined in large part by how well our intact ecosystems slow and moderate the effects of landscape change in the West, and the impacts of global climate change. By preserving what is important about our heritage, as it has been defined locally, we protect what is unique about our way of life. By standing up for place, we retain our cultural authenticity at a time when it is being compromised and homogenized worldwide. We also stand up for the natural places that are at the heart of our identity as a people. In so doing we protect the ecosystems that give meaning and provide stability to our culture. By preserving our way of life we are more likely to have tourism on terms that we and our visitors can accept over the long term. By preserving place, and local connection to place, we assure that sustainable tourism is not just a marketing slogan but also a way of life.

In his book, *Becoming Native To This Place*, Wes Jackson argues that, in North America at least, it has never been a goal to become native to where you lived and to establish deep ties to all aspects of place. He claims that now, almost too late, we are beginning to perceive the necessity of establishing such relationships. Jackson argues that due to huge increases in human populations and the profound nature of our cumulative effects, we have to affirm local responsibility and commitment to the places in which we live if we are going to save what is worthwhile and unique about our experience of this amazing continent. Jackson argues that the sense of place to which we might aspire has not only been altered but also severely compromised by substantial change in many of the places in which we live. In order to preserve even the possibility of enduring sense of place, Jackson contends that we have to slow down our aimless, wandering pursuit of upward mobility at any cost and find a home, dig in and aim for some kind of enduring relationship...
with the ecological realities of the surrounding landscape. Only in this way, according to Jackson, can we cultivate any sort of cultural or environmental sustainability.

Localness was redefined in the West the moment the land was divided and sub-divided into rectangular plots that allowed settlement to proceed in a manner consistent with methods of topographical survey. Until surveyed, all lands were essentially a borderless commons. The division of land into carefully defined rectilinear units facilitated private ownership. Land became a commodity with little connection to the greater space around it or to the ecosystem of which it was formerly part.

One of the reasons our mountain national and provincial parks are important to us is that they have remained a commons. They have not been as subject to the tyranny of the survey grid as other parts of the country. The land is open and expansive as we remember land ought to be. These places remind us of the thrill this continent must have provided to the first Europeans who came west. In our mountain parks, it is not just the peaks that impress us. It is all that geography piled up on itself, unfenced, unowned and unownable. We love our mountains in part because they defy the rectilinear patterns that have crept up the valleys from Europe. We also love these places because they appear undisturbed. The great “shouting, neighting, lowing, grunting, buzzing, barking and meowing invasion” largely by-passed these places. As such, they appear unchanged, pristine somehow in the way they might have been when humans first set eyes upon them.

If the ecological history of this continent teaches us anything, it is that we can no longer afford to be the kinds of people who look upon where they live as a source of mere raw material out of which to fashion our livelihoods and our prosperity. As Wes Jackson has pointed out, we have to be devoted to where we live for its own sake and do whatever we can to protect and restore it.

The preservation of the unique character of where and how we live in and around the Mountain Parks cannot be achieved by just talking about it. It takes careful consideration and clear-decision making to wrestle the direction our history is taking us back into alignment with a vision of the West we want. The days of bold, sudden changes in land-use and other habits ended when our national railways finally succeeded in fulfilling their ambition of settling the West. We have to rely now on small increments of positive change applied over longer periods of time to define progress toward the ideal of creating a culture commensurate with landscape in the Rockies.
Fortunately for the mountain parks and for the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site as a whole, there are a growing number of people who understand that the work of building a culture worthy of the grand landscapes of the mountain West is the work of whole generations, and not just the responsibility of land managers responsible for parts of a larger whole. One such person is Ian Syme, who at the time of this writing worked for Parks Canada in Banff National Park.

Though his responsibilities as Chief Park Warden for the Banff Field Unit focus principally on management issues in the south part of Banff National Park, like many others Syme has worked in jobs that have allowed him to be familiar with every part of the Mountain Parks. His experiences are not only typical but also highly representative of those of a generation of men and women who have committed themselves to the protection and understanding of the landscapes and ecological processes we have managed to save in the Rockies.

At a presentation to the Rosenberg International Forum on Water Policy in 2006, Syme explained that in 1980, the year he started with Parks Canada, the population of Calgary was only 650,000. As the mine in Canmore had recently closed, its population was still only 3,100. Banff had a population of barely 4,400 and only a couple of hundred people lived at Lake Louise as its famous railway hotel was still closed in winter. At that time only 2.9 million cars travelled on the Trans-Canada Highway through Banff National Park each year.

Back in the 1980s, however, backpacking was still very popular. Wardens travelled extensively in the backcountry, regulating the impacts associated with 20,000 camper nights a year. Syme remembers also that garbage was not well managed and dumps then were still places where locals and visitors alike could see bears. That also began to change after a series of terrible bear maulings that resulted in a death inside Banff townsite in September of 1980, described by Sid Marty in his book *The Black Grizzly of Whiskey Creek*. The grizzly bear population in the park at the time was estimated to be between fifty and seventy, and some argued the bears were largely concentrated around dumps in Banff and Lake Louise.

One noticeable difference in 1980, Syme recalls, was a greater acceptance of wildlife mortality. At that time there was an average of 100 to 125 automobile collisions with elk every year, mostly on the Trans-Canada Highway. It was also a period during which park wardens still stocked many lakes. At one time or another almost all of the lakes in the
of wolves that were at the time considered vermin and shot on sight, had grown to nearly 3,000 by the late 1930s but declined in numbers after decimating much of this new range. In 1980, the automobile was effectively performing the predatory role for elk and other ungulates. In reality it was an elk-automobile-caribou ecology that operated in the absence of fire. This, Syme is quick to note, was a great deal different than the buffalo-wolf-caribou-fire-First Nations ecology that predominated in the region now encompassed by the Mountain Parks at the time of European contact. The region had, in fact, been altered dramatically by less than a century of “scientific” management. There were some 2,500 elk along the eastern boundary of Banff Park, which hunters like to encroach upon in the fall. There was also a considerable amount of poaching.
Ten years later, in 1990, Ian Syme was no longer a backcountry warden but an area manager responsible for Parks Canada’s Lake Louise operation. By 1990 the population of the city of Calgary had grown by 50,000 people, or about 7.7 percent, to 700,000. The population of Canmore had grown some 71 percent to 5,300 and the town of Banff had grown by 57 percent to 6,900. Car traffic through Banff National Park was up 17 percent, to 3.4 million vehicles a year.

Syme also remembers that it was around this time that wolves returned, all on their own, to the Bow Valley. A small pack suddenly appeared and began preying on elk. Numbers of elk had grown completely out of proportion, and the elk were an easy target for the hungry pack. For their part, the wolves must have thought they had died and gone to heaven, says Syme. But the cars and trains that were also killing the elk, began to take a sizable toll on the wolf population.

While the wolf drama was playing itself out, Parks Canada was busy decommissioning backcountry fire roads that, in essence, effectively expanded the wilderness areas of the mountain national parks by hundreds of square kilometres. This respite for the landscape, however, was brief, lasting only as long as it took mountain bikers to reclaim these areas as front-country that could be accessed in a short time from highway trailheads.

Tangible protection gains were also made between 1980 and 1990 on the park boundary as the Province of Alberta moved toward restricting access along the eastern slopes of the Rockies. This reduced poaching and

---

**Spruce Grouse**

Spruce grouse are territorial and highly protective of their young. When defending their territories they are highly courageous. It is not unusual for a 1-kilogram grouse to attack a 100-kilogram human and successfully drive the intruder away. This, of course, makes for some amusing trail stories. This tactic, however, is less successful with coyotes and wolves.
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allowed for easier movement of wildlife throughout the eastern portion of the Mountain Parks and the larger central Rockies ecosystem that extends far beyond national park boundaries. The province also created Kananaskis Country, a multi-use mountain reserve offering recreational opportunities in a protected area adjacent to Banff National Park.

By 1990, Parks Canada had also begun to change its views with respect to the role of fire in the on-going management of mountain ecosystems. Eighty years of almost maniacal fire suppression had led to huge fuel build-ups in many areas of the mountain national parks. In the absence of wildfire, natural plant community succession had slowed to such an extent in parts of the region that concerns were being expressed about the overall vitality of the mountain ecosystem.

Though in its infancy, a prescribed fire program had been established in Banff by 1990. Syme remembers that at the outset of the program the Warden Service had been calling such fires controlled burns even though they knew at the time that lightning fires of even fifty hectares or less generated circumstances that were not always controllable. “So, as they say,” Syme quips, “go big or go home.” Parks Canada fire specialists began creating burns exceeding a thousand hectares, utilizing landscape and firebreaks to control the spread of the flames. The gamble paid off, in that fire ecologists working with Parks Canada are now recognized as being among the most experienced and respected professionals in this important and rapidly developing field.

Bear problems were also being addressed by 1990. All garbage was now collected in bear-proof containers. Dumps were closed and garbage from towns like Banff was now transferred to landfills outside the park.

Tensions between Parks Canada and national park communities were also being addressed. An agreement was signed in 1990 that allowed the Town of Banff to incorporate itself as a provincial municipality. Most of the municipal government powers were transferred to an elected town council. The first mayor elected was Leslie Taylor, a former acting Banff park superintendent. While the town remained under regulation by Parks Canada, the newly formed town council gained control of tax revenues and re-investment. One of the central controls Parks Canada retained was the need-to-reside clause relating to who could own homes and actually live within the national park community. The premise of this regulation was, and always has been, that only those with a bona fide need to live in the community, based on the work they are doing or have done, will have the right to own and occupy a home inside a national park town. It is this regulation and this regulation
alone that prevents anyone with money from simply walking into town and buying up property in one of the most desirable mountain places in the world. While there are loopholes that need to be closed and new scams that attempt to undermine the intent of this regulation, it essentially works to control both the size and composition of the community. The same process operates in Lake Louise, Field and Jasper, and in other national park communities across Canada.

While hardly a perfect system, it has prevented the blatant buy-up witnessed in other mountain communities such as Vail and Aspen, Colorado, and Canmore, Alberta that results in loss of community and eventually diminishment of place as those who are responsible for the establishment of the character and culture of an area are driven out by rising real estate prices, increasing taxes and the loss of the connections to place that originally attracted them.

In describing the changes that took place between 1990 and 2000, Ian Syme is forced to admit that, as Chief Park Warden, he had a hand in guiding the direction of park policy with respect to the management and protection of the Mountain Parks. By 1990, the population of Calgary had grown by 150,000 to 800,000 people, an increase of 21 percent in only ten years. The population of Canmore had grown over the same period to 10,500 people, an increase of some 98 percent; it had tripled in only two decades. During the same period the population of the town of Banff rose by 800 residents to 7,700 people, an increase of only 11.5 percent over the decade. Visitation to Banff National Park was up 1.2 million to 4.6 million vehicles a year, an increase of 35 percent no doubt attributable to the increased size of both Calgary and Canmore.

Syme also observes that Canmore was no longer a local residential community. It had, in fact, become what Banff couldn’t be due to the need-to-reside rule. As Syme explains, Canmore’s proximity to an international airport permitted it to become a mecca for wealthy second-home owners from around the world. While both essentially remained tourism towns, Banff increasingly relied on a booming Calgary population and an important but less and less reliable foreign tourism market to fill its hotels and restaurants, but Canmore aligned itself with weekenders who stayed in condos, time-shares or second homes. These people drove from Edmonton or Calgary on weekends or flew in once or twice a month from London, Hong Kong, Chicago, Toronto or Los Angeles.

Some say that Canmore never knew what hit it and, as a result, was unable to learn from what had happened over the previous century.
In Banff. In the mid 1990s, it had become apparent that incremental growth of the town of Banff had begun to threaten the ecological integrity of the entire Bow Valley, one of the most important ecosystems in the entire Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. When the Bow Valley Study was completed in 1996, it recommended that a cap be placed on commercial development in Banff townsite and population growth be controlled in order to preserve the natural qualities that made the community so unique. While there was grumbling among the business community in Banff, the study’s recommendations were adopted and now Banff is a better place because of it.

Syme points out that by 2000 there were other developments that were taking place, which further strengthened rather than diminished the ecological integrity of the Mountain Parks. Without a great deal of fanfare, the Province of Alberta created a number of wildland parks along the southern boundary of Banff National Park. Soon a buffer zone existed that not only protected the southern reaches of the World Heritage Site but also expanded the area of the central Rockies ecosystem that was protected through either federal or provincial designation. But, as often happens, elements of the public found new ways to reverse conservation gains by way of new fads and popular technologies.

Backcountry travel patterns changed in significant ways: overnight backcountry visitation dropped from 20,000 camper nights a year in 1980 to 15,000 in 2000. As Syme likes to point out, this does not seem like much, but this decline took place during a period in which regional population grew by more than 250,000 people and the number of vehicles entering the park nearly doubled. One might go so far as to suggest that backpacking was becoming passé, and was being replaced by the vastly more mobile activity of mountain biking, which allowed visitors to travel far more quickly into the backcountry and to have greater potential interaction with wildlife.

Other extraordinary invasions were also taking place. As a result of warmer winters, pine bark beetles were beginning to appear in Alberta. The outbreak had begun in British Columbia, suggesting that climate change impacts had already begun to make their presence known in the mountain West. This invasion, only a few years later, threatened forests throughout Alberta, British Columbia, the Yukon and Northwest Territories.

During this time, another problem emerged in Banff. The brief rise in wolf populations caused elk to respond to increased predation by seeking safe habitat in and around the townsite. This development created an
interesting but very volatile situation in which elk swarmed downtown parks and green spaces, grazed on lawns and established a habit of scaring the hell out of both locals and visitors alike as they defended the space that in their minds marked individual and herd territory.

At the same time, Parks Canada was also addressing at long last the sewage treatment problems created by increased local human populations and exploding visitation in its communities. As a result of significant phosphorous and fecal coliform count reductions, Parks Canada soon learned that you can’t do anything without affecting something else. While the new water quality standards did a great deal to restore original pristine aquatic ecosystem conditions in the Bow River, they at the same time reduced the nutrient base of the downstream water to the extent that trout populations in the river at Canmore were reduced.

In the 1990s, the Trans-Canada Highway was twinned as far west as Castle Junction. In order to reduce wildlife mortality on the widened highway, the entire thirty-kilometre stretch was fenced to prevent animals from getting on to the road. The decision was also made to incorporate animal overpasses rather than the underpasses that had

**COW MOOSE**  
Moose populations in the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site are slowly recovering from a twenty-year decline, associated with parasite-related diseases, during which moose almost disappeared from many of the mountain parks. The connected nature of this system, however, facilitates recovery of troubled species over time provided their numbers don’t become too small.  
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been employed in the first stages of the twinning between the park’s eastern gate and the Banff townsite.

This decision was made as a result of years of monitoring wildlife movement through the underpasses, research that revealed some very telling trends. The problem that emerged was that predators like wolves were not at all averse to hanging around underpasses to wait for elk driven out of town by park wardens.

Syme pointed out that by 2000 the mitigation toolbox that included fencing, wildlife overpasses and underpasses, and wildlife movement corridors around Banff townsite was now the most comprehensive and advanced in the world. Scientists and engineers, Syme explained, come from all over the world to examine the design and function of the Trans-Canada Highway mitigation system.

Syme concluded his presentation by talking about what the park was like in comparison to when he started as a park warden twenty-five years before. Calgary, he pointed out, had just reached the one million mark in population and five times more people were living in Canmore just outside Banff National Park. A city suddenly exists right on the boundary of Canada’s first national park. It makes little difference that 25 percent of Canmore’s “residents” are “recreational homeowners.” Their numbers are growing and are expected to do so until the population of Canmore doubles again, which is expected to happen by 2030 when there will be no longer be any land left to develop immediately adjacent to the park. The population of Banff, however, has been capped as a result of the Bow Valley Study, at 8,500. Its current population was about 7,800 people, up only 77 percent since 1980, which compared to Canmore was hardly anything at all. The number of vehicles entering Banff National Park was 4.7 million, which represented an increase of 93 percent since 1980.

Perhaps because he has played such an active role in addressing many of Banff Park’s problems over the last quarter-century, Ian Syme was proud of the way the Mountain Parks have managed their way through these problems. Syme offered that the elk problem in Banff was resolved by reducing their population in the Bow Valley to between 250 and 300. This was done in part through natural predation, through live capture and relocation to other mountain national park areas and where necessary, through culling. Syme was also justifiably proud of how successful the Trans-Canada Highway wildlife mitigation program had become. Carefully collected data indicated that between 1996 and 2006, there had been over 70,000 wildlife passages under and over
the Trans-Canada Highway. Predator use of these pathways was also increasing. In 2005, grizzly bears used the underpasses and overpasses 89 times. Long-term research was now indicating that carnivores such as bears, wolves and cougars need an additional one to two years on average before they use the overpasses.

In part due to this and other management strategies, Syme reported that the grizzly bear population in the mountain parks had stabilized or risen slightly to between fifty and seventy animals. That was the good news. The bad news was that the mountain caribou was in serious trouble throughout its range in both Banff and Jasper National Parks. As far as Syme knew, there were only three left in Banff National Park. Syme’s gut feeling was that higher wolf populations associated with artificially high elk populations had resulted in heavier predation on caribou in Banff, but in Jasper the situation was different. It appeared that loss of habitat outside the park combined with various human-use pressures such as forestry and snowmobiling were combining to affect both the range and the food sources of this rare species.

One of Syme’s last remarks touched on perhaps the greatest threat presently facing the mountain national parks. Observing that the pine bark beetle had been advancing into Banff National Park, warden service fire specialists modelled “ideal habitats” for these invading pests and proactively used prescribed burns to prevent their advance. Their major achievement was to use the hot dry summer of 2003 to safely burn a large area between Banff and Canmore, to significantly reduce the potential of the pine bark beetle to advance into this highly favourable habitat.

Ian Syme paused before offering his final reflection on his long career in the Mountain Parks. “Yes, there has been a lot of change,” he said, “and there is a lot more on the way. But it is still good here – very, very good.” With those few words, Syme confirmed that the value of what we saved remains every bit as important as that of what we have built. From the point of view of environmental stability, what we saved might well save us. Syme also said everything that needs to be said about what it was like to commit a lifetime of being shaped by and becoming worthy of place. Life is still good here in these mountains – very, very good. Keeping it that way, however, will require diligence.
While Ian Syme has good reason to be pleased with the long history of achievement of the national parks service in the Canadian Rockies, there are developments occurring on the boundaries of the World Heritage Site that have everyone who lives in the region concerned, at the most fundamental level of relationship to place.

Human population movement has become so rapid and commonplace that it is easy to forget how stationary people used to be and how connected to place we once were. But the lingering attachment we have to where we were born is not something we can easily dismiss. When Europeans first arrived on the Great Plains and in the mountains of the Canadian West, the experience was so alien and the landscape so confronting for many it was as if they were landing on the moon. It took generations for settlers to become at home in this new place. The process required closing a circle of experience, livelihood, and story that resulted in the gradual creation of a history, a specific literature of place, and finally the creation of art that affirmed connection to place. As Ronald Rees suggested in his landmark book *New and Naked Land: Making the Prairies Home*, this creates an ideal past and nostalgia for earlier, less complicated times in our lives and in our history.¹ This
nostalgia often has as much to do with an unsatisfactory present as it
does with how a former way of life has been idealized in memory. More
and more this appears to be true in communities in and around the
Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks.

Settlers in the mountain West today must suffer the difficulty of
coming to terms with the circumstances and climate of an utterly new
place, just as their ancestors did. Fitting in and becoming a local takes
time and, just as on the plains, there appears to be a process by which
newcomers come to terms with their emerging identity in the Rockies.
In a very general way the process is similar to the one Rees describes.
Experience of a new and sometimes dangerous landscape, and the
trials of making a living, spawn personal stories. Stories coalesce into
legend that, in time, becomes the foundation of local history. History in
time spawns literature. Literature, in turn, begets art and art confirms
the experience of place. It is a clumsy process in which they are many
false starts and wrong turns. In time, however, we interlopers gradu-
ally apply enough persistence and patience to the project of localness to
complete the self-reinforcing cultural circle that allows us to claim the
difficult and often dangerous Rockies as home.

Since the Mountain Parks were designated as a World Heritage Site
in 1990, we have recognized that we could make our commitment
to understanding, appreciating and experiencing where we live the
foundation of a sustainable future in the Canadian West. The American
philosopher Wendell Berry once claimed that you can’t know who you
are unless you know where you are. In other words, a sense of belong-
ing to a place matters to our identity as individuals and as communities,
and to our economy. In the world of comfort and distraction that has
replaced the natural world, however, sense of place is harder to find and
harder to keep. Real grounding in place is vanishing from our culture. It
is this grounding, however, that we must protect if we are going to make
where and how we live the basis of our tourism future.

Though it can help a great deal, you can’t acquire or keep a sense
of place by just reading about it. To be truly open to the uniqueness of
country you have to involve yourself in it physically. Acquiring grace in
the mountains is often as much a matter of “letting go” as it is a matter
of conquering the country or conquering your own soul. Though he
was not a mountaineer, one of the most articulate spokespersons on
how we can be transformed by place in the twentieth century was
T.E. Lawrence.
Better known as Lawrence of Arabia, Thomas Edward Lawrence was an Englishman who possessed what he called “an English love of desolate places.” In his book *Seven Pillars of Wisdom*, Lawrence describes becoming so exhausted, so thirsty and so sun-baked that he could no longer hold his will on his ambitions or his mission. His ego drained out of him. He became will-less and cultureless with exhaustion and hunger and thirst. And at the moment when he could no longer apply his fierce intellect to his task, something amazing happened to him. In a moment of sublime openness, the desert in all its light and wind, in all its timelessness and unity washed over him. He could no longer resist the eternal beauty of the wind and the sun. In an instant the desert changed – and so did he.

Many people have had similar experiences in the Rockies. You find yourself too physically tired to keep the wilderness at bay. You arrive at a point where all you can hear is your own deep breathing, your heart thumping and the hissing silence of the world. When these sounds subside, you begin to hear again, but you start listening for other things. When the great engine of cultural homogenization we carry around...
inside us runs out of gas, there is a profound moment when we can be overwhelmed by light and wind and sun. Suddenly we see nature, not as something alien but as a unified whole out of which we have emerged.

You can call the acquisition of a sense of place a mindset change. You could call it a paradigm shift or a transcendence of thought and perceptual form. It has also been called epiphany, or aesthetic arrest. Provided that the great engine of cultural homogenization doesn’t start up again too soon, the epiphany can last. If it does, a staggering realization sometimes forms inside us. It is the realization that we are not the centre of everything, that all of nature is holy, too.

It was epiphany of this kind that Aboriginals sought in vision quests. It is this kind of epiphany that today makes ordinary people give up everything they are doing in their lives to move to the mountains and be part of them. It is epiphany of this kind that is at the heart of an inspired sense of place. It is our desire to share such epiphany that makes it worth living in and visiting these mountains.

The American writer Wallace Stegner was among the first in North America to call the nostalgia created in our own minds by the landscapes in which we live “a sense of place.” Sense of place, as defined by Stegner, was composed of three essential elements.

The first is unique geography. A person can only appreciate a sense of place where they lived if they see the geography of where they lived as special. As I have said so often, it is hard not to feel that way here. The geography of the Rockies leans in on you, it is hard to ignore. Even unseen in darkness and storm, the mountains exert a presence. This presence is sometimes subtle, but it can be profound. Often people don’t know the physical landscape is reaching into them and making them locals, by gradual association if not by choice. But if you stay long enough you see it.

The second is a remembered and celebrated history. This history is most often personal or family in nature. You have to have a history in that place. Perhaps you remember the first time you were overtaken by the smell of pines. Or perhaps you recall the excitement and fear that accompanied your first encounter with a bear. You remember the stream where you caught your first fish. History starts with us as individuals and then radiates outward toward others. We find our place in local history and then become a mooring for others to do the same.

The third step in coming home to place is related to how personal history merges with the larger history of a community and region. It is the application of personal history to contemporary meaning. In
reaching this stage in the adoption of place, you suddenly see yourself as part of a continuum in the life and experience of the community in which you have chosen to live. You are part of that continuum and it is part of you. You see how you live reflected in where you live. Suddenly geology and topography have relevance. Suddenly you see why ten thousand years of Native presence matters. You understand the impact of the coming of the railway, not just on your community but also on your life. You see history as a continuum that not only includes you but also affects how you and your neighbours live in your time.

The establishment of this relationship often requires the skilled storytelling of elders, or the informed and enthusiastic interpretation of archaeologists, historians, naturalists and artists. And behind all of these we find the guiding hand of community leaders whose role it is to employ public policy to quietly alter the DNA of place in order to create community adaptability to changing circumstances over time.

It is in recognition of all of these people that I propose a fourth element of a refined appreciation of where we live be added to Stegner’s list. Every real place possesses a cast of genuine local characters. These are people steeped in the geography, history and meaning of place, who become crystals around which aesthetics are articulated and passed on through time. It is these people who have made sacrifices that have made them truly worthy and utterly representative of where they live. These are people of such unique character that you immediately want to emulate their sincerity and connection to what is truly meaningful about where they live. In these people, sense of place has become a form of grace. The moment you meet them you want to be like them.

To be truly open to the uniqueness of country you have to involve yourself physically in it. Sense of place is only established when a relationship to a specific landscape or culture captures you and makes you a local by choice. Living in the mountains, we are still close enough to the roots of our past that we may yet hear the siren call of the great lone land. But as urban lifestyles continuously accelerate, people increasingly desire the monumental in nature without having to spend hours or days to find it in the landscape or in themselves. Even a landscape compromised by logging or mining is a relative wilderness for someone who comes from Toronto, Tokyo or New York. People are flocking to natural and semi-natural tourism destinations and resort communities because the urban places they live in are becoming more and more crowded. In many cases, the urban invasion is making the places they flock to uninhabitable, too. Pleasant tourism terms like “amenities migration” do
not accurately portray what’s happening, particularly in the mountain West. The wealthy everywhere in the world are, in fact, becoming a first wave of environmental refugees seeking the highest quality of life in non-urban environments. Many of the last best places are under siege. When the original character of place is gone, and when the presence of more and more people makes it harder for those who come to enjoy that character, the kind of people who come change. Marketing no longer stresses place, but instead focuses on material culture. Shoppers replace hikers and these shoppers justify more shops. Now that a local grounding in place is gone, the tourists hurry on. They have no reason to visit places that are as compromised as what they already have at home. They just pass through on their way to the next last best place.

In Canada, we have a habit of leaving places when they no longer suit us. We realize our world has changed when we can no longer relate to ourselves by way of where we live. Instead of staying and defending what is important about where and how we want to live, we pack up and leave. Banff was good once. When it changed, people who cared about place and community moved to Canmore. Now that Canmore has changed, people who seek place are looking at Golden. People in Golden are looking at Fernie and Nelson. We seem to be always searching for what we can’t have or keep. Wherever we go, we bring with us the problems we were trying to escape.

We have to expect our communities to change. In fact, we need them to change. But growth doesn’t have to mean diminishment and loss. It is possible to balance tourism and use with ecosystem protection. We can save where we live, but only if we love it and are not afraid to act on that love. The American writer Terry Tempest Williams feels our culture is teaching us “to hoard our spirit so that when a landscape we care about is lost, our hearts are not broken because we never risked giving our love away.” The onslaught of public relations and aggressive self-interest in our society has made us fear and suspect our deepest feelings of connection within us. “By bottling up our cravings and our love and confining them within,” Williams writes, “we keep ourselves docile and loyal and obedient and we settle for or accept the inevitability of loss of what is at the root of our connection with place.” It is time to argue that loss is not inevitable, for we have the means to stop dispossessing ourselves of the qualities of place that mean the most to us.

Given the uncertainty of our global environmental and economic circumstances, now might be a good time to reconsider what kind of West we want. We have one of the few places in the world where it is
still possible to create a culture that is utterly worthy of place. True sustainabilty is still possible here. But we have to stand up for it. In making our stand for where and how we live, we must reach into our hearts for the deepest expression of what our landscapes and local culture mean to us. We have to find words for our epiphany; and then find the courage to stand by them. The epiphany that is place in the Rocky Mountains is defined geologically by the flow of water and culturally by the flow of history. Perhaps it is time to revalue both.

**WATER AND THE MOUNTAIN WEST**

Even the most casual traveller will observe that, despite their superficial similarities, each of the parks that compose the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site are different. The unique nature of each of the protected areas is the basis of the different way of characterizing the biogeography of the Mountain Parks that is presented in this book. This book contends that it is slope and not the artificial boundaries associated with protection status that most truly defines the unique character of each of the protected areas that together comprise the headwaters province that we know as the Great Divide. The west slopes of the Rockies are very different from the east slopes, in terms of
weather and climate, and therefore in terms of biodiversity. The north slopes of this great continental watershed divide are different again from what we see and experience further south. This leads to the notion that perhaps we should reconsider the way we categorize the individual geographical elements that compose this great World Heritage Site. Instead of simply noting that they are found in either British Columbia or Alberta, we may wish to group the Mountain Parks by commonalities of watershed and direction of river flow, for these criteria more than any others are at the heart of their similarities.

These mountains are the water towers of the entire western half of our continent. If we truly want to understand this place we have to realize that, at its very foundation, the Rockies are all about slopes and divides. With this in mind, one way to re-contextualize the Mountain Parks as a biophysical and cultural unit is to examine it in the context of watershed. In this light, the Magnificent Seven can be reordered into three regions: the North Slope from which waters flow into the Arctic Ocean; the West Slope from which waters pour ultimately into the Pacific; and the East Slope, down which water splashes into rivers that flow into the Atlantic Ocean at Hudson Bay. This ordering has the advantage of mirroring perfectly substantial differences that happen to exist in ecosystem composition on each of these three slopes, and also allowing us to return to perceptions of the mountain West that existed before our direct physical experience of the landscape was interrupted by new forms of straight-line travel like the train and the car.

We may even ultimately wish to extend this idea in the direction of re-thinking the geography and culture of the mountain West, for it is a region defined less by political boundaries than by watersheds. Each of the protected areas that compose the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site has been defined by what water is and what water does in its own unique geological and geographical circumstances. This is very easy to see in Banff National Park, where warm water from deep within the earth became the foundation of Canada’s national park system.
THE EAST SLOPE

Flowing Toward the Atlantic
Beyond being the birthplace of Canada’s national park system, Banff will always deservedly be famous for the grandeur of its many unique natural features. There is perhaps no place more beautiful on this planet than Moraine Lake. While we have allowed a huge hotel to belly up to the shore of Lake Louise, there are still times of the day when the lake and surrounding peaks radiate the glory that is at the heart of its original fame. Bow Lake is stunning. Peyto Lake is amazing almost beyond imagination. There are places in the backcountry that still hum to the rhythm of an earlier time when humans didn’t threaten to overwhelm the world. Banff still has its timeless beauty but at the same time it has its problems. Because this was our first national park, it is here more than anywhere else in the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site that what we have built most threatens what we have saved. In many ways that is why it is so interesting to visit, and such an extraordinary place to live and challenging place to work.

The creation of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site allows us to examine Banff in a larger, more interesting context. Within the context of this World Heritage Site’s role in protecting a regional mountain culture associated with a regional ecosystem
dynamic, Banff is still important but it does not occupy the privileged place it once reserved for itself as the first and last word in mountain tourism in the Canadian West.

While Banff National Park is historically important to the still-developing notion of national parks, and remains crucially important in terms of how it manages the myriad problems that stardom at an early age has presented in later life, its star no longer outshines the larger accomplishment that is the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. If this book seeks to do anything it is to suggest that the areas surrounding Banff are deserving of equal attention and visitor interest. In many ways this was to be expected. Appreciation of their grandeur and historical significance of the surrounding protected areas
is finally catching up to the reputation Banff spent millions of dollars to cultivate. While the other parks in the World Heritage Site may not have the visitor amenities of Banff, they don’t have its headaches either and in the larger scheme of the mountain West they are perhaps more representative of the importance of what we saved as opposed to what we built, in terms of both our material and aesthetic culture.

The world now has the experience to see that Banff was, in many important ways, a founding experiment from which the other national and provincial regions have learned much about what it takes to even contemplate managing a landscape for all time. That said, you can’t say you have really been to this World Heritage Site if you have only been to Banff. But this is hardly the end of the story. Banff could be the centre again if it ceases to so desperately and jealously pretend to its former position in the Western Canadian tourism universe and begins to see itself, instead, as the centre of a larger biophysical and cultural region that is of far greater interest to visitors, and of far more importance to the world than the sum of its parks.

Banff is well positioned to be the centre of understanding of the larger World Heritage Site ideal. It is the first national park in the system. It is a place where nationally significant history and grand landscapes have converged to create an icon of Canadian identity. It is also where leading-edge management ideas are tested in difficult and demanding circumstances that mirror less what a national park should be than what the real world outside national parks is actually like. It is a place where history truly matters.

**EARLY FIRST NATIONS PRESENCE**

The contemporary transient nature of the town of Banff suggests an aura of impermanence that is inconsistent with the history of the valley. Due to the disproportionate number of young people who live there, Banff has a reputation for being a party town. Undesirable consequences have followed in the wake of this developing image. Close
proximity to a prosperous city of a million people, ready availability of
drugs, and a comparatively high crime rate in combination with a high
incidence of sexually transmitted disease have made Banff townsite a
tourism destination that is not only at odds with itself but also some-
times at odds with place and with its own history.

In Banff townsite there is a sense that history just happened. Yes-
terday. The day before. On the weekend. The hysteria of fast living
seldom permits our gaze to stray from the mirror. It could be argued
that resorts such as Banff, where people come and go in seasonal tides
associated with the number of tourists there are in the area, should
not be expected to have a history much beyond that created by a few
“pioneers” who saw the tourism potential of the area and exploited it.
The history of the Bow Valley is not like that, however. This is a place
with nationally significant history and heritage. History here extends
gracefully backwards into a less frenzied time and into a different way
of thinking about mountains.

Archaeologists have found seven distinct layers of human occupation
at the Vermilion Lakes site dating from 10,400 years ago. Archaeologi-
cal inquiry aside, we know little of these first people. After ten thousand
years their campfire rocks have almost ceased to speak. When they
do, however, they tell us that for the greatest span of human time in
these mountains, people had a different way of being than ours. Their
attention was not rushed from one interest and excitement to another
as ours is today. Their lives were governed by obvious motives that
related directly to where and how they lived. Over the ten millennia
that people have lived in the Bow Valley, the climate of the area changed
dramatically. The Native peoples who lived here had a culture and a way
of life that allowed them to respond to quick changes in the environ-
ment and maintain themselves. One wonders if we will be able to do the
same.

Though it was thought for a time that prehistoric peoples never lived
in the mountains until they possessed the horse, local First Nations
have long argued otherwise. People were camping on the shores of the
Vermilion Lakes six thousand years before the pyramids in Egypt were
built. How many perfect lake reflections would have been witnessed
over more than two million days? How many times would the sky have
turned red at sunset? How many times would lightning have spirally
scarred the pines?

In 3000 B.C. the Sumerians discovered the healing qualities of
mineral water. By that time summer residents of the Bow Valley may
already have been using local hot springs for five thousand years. Because they left no written record we know little of these people. This does not mean they were unimportant. It only means that what they knew has returned to the circling winds.

Despite their long history and presence in the Bow Valley, there isn’t a large First Nations presence in contemporary Banff. You see artifacts of their material culture at museums and shops but you don’t often see the people who created these objects. The only time a Native people’s presence is felt at all in Banff is in the summer when a few gather at the old Luxton Museum for the annual Indian Days celebration. Though there are a few notable exceptions, most locals don’t know much about First Nations presence in the Rockies. What they do know, however, has replaced that presence. It would be reasonable to suggest that there is no adult who lives in Banff who hasn’t been introduced to the history of the Canadian Pacific Railway. There is good reason for this, for it was the railway that built the town.

STEEL OF EMPIRE: THE RAILWAY YEARS

Canada did not become a country on its own. It did so to prevent its lecherous southern cousin from seeking the innocent hand of Miss Canada. So real was the fear of American annexation of the West Coast of North America that the British kept a fleet of warships at Victoria to protect Vancouver Island from imminent invasion. In 1871, a nervous four-year old Canada offered British Columbia a transcontinental railway if it would join its fledgling confederation. No one knew how much such a railway would cost or where the money would come from. But the Americans had one and that was enough. To consolidate a continental nation you needed to lay tracks from sea to sea.

You can tell a great deal about a nation by the way it celebrates its railways. The year is 1869. The place is Promontory Point, Utah where the last spike of America’s first transcontinental line is about to be driven. Americans already understood that history was drama. A telegraph wire
was attached to the last spike and another to the sledgehammer that was to drive it in. When the Golden Spike was tapped into place, telegraph lines broadcast news of the completion of the line to the entire world.

When you examine the photographs of the event, the completion of the Canadian Pacific Railway didn’t generate a lot of smiles. For some, the driving of the last spike on the Canadian Pacific Railway at Craigellachie, British Columbia, Canada, November 7th, 1885, was the end. For others, like railway executive William Cornelius Van Horne, it was just the beginning, the beginning of a nation and the beginning of unlimited possibility in the West. What troubled these men most, however, was the realization that they had built the line in the wrong place.

In order to keep the line far enough south to prevent the Americans from building spur lines into Canadian territory, the CPR had been forced to build its transcontinental line over two impossible passes, Kicking Horse Pass near Lake Louise and Rogers Pass in the Selkirks. Neither of these passes would have been used if Sir Sandford Fleming had had his way. In his mind there was only one reasonable pass through the Rockies and that was Yellowhead Pass. But Fleming didn’t get his way – and the story of why he didn’t is the story of Canada.

CASTLE MOUNTAIN
James Hector named Castle Mountain in the summer of 1860. He was also the first to identify the horizontal strata that compose this huge massif as bedded sea floor sediments laid down over millions of years in an ancient inland ocean. In so doing, Dr. Hector brought “deep time” to the Rocky Mountains.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
As the country set out to translate a vision into operational fact, the surveying work of three generations of Western explorers became nationally important. As routes through the mountains of the West were the least understood links in the railway, mountain passes drawn by David Thompson and James Hector were pored over with nationalist zeal. Armed with copies of these maps, a route-finding expedition led by railway engineer Sandford Fleming came in 1872. What was required was a pass route of low enough incline to get trains over, yet close enough to the American border to assert strong territorial claim. By 1878, options for routes through the Rockies had been reduced to just four passes: the Kicking Horse, the Kootenay, the Vermilion and the Howse. As it was closest to the United States border, the railway syndicate chose Kicking Horse Pass as the final route through the Rockies. Because it didn’t yet know of a route through the Selkirk Mountains to the west, the Canadian Pacific Railway had to wait until 1882, and the discovery of Rogers Pass, to have its choice vindicated.

Once initiated, railway construction advanced with great speed across Canada. By late summer 1883, tracks had advanced up the Bow Valley from Calgary to a railway camp at Padmore on the Kananaskis flats. As the tracks advanced west, a divisional maintenance and refuelling point for the railway was established at Canmore. The relentless advance of the tracks soon brought the railway into the vicinity of Cascade Mountain. It was here that the series of hot springs observed by James Hector was noticed by railway workers, who drew in the sulphurous stink of the therapeutic pools and made a direct link between money and water. It was their claims to these springs that would eventually lead to the creation of Canada’s first national park at Banff. In a very real sense, the history of our national parks system is a water story.

**IN AND OUT OF HOT WATER**

In the fall of 1883, the main line of the Canadian Pacific Railway had been laid to within a few kilometres of Kicking Horse Pass on the Great Divide. The work was abandoned for the season as winter came on. Some of the workers decided to stay in the valley to prospect and trap for furs. Among them were Frank McCabe, a twenty-six-year-old section foreman, and two friends who worked under him on the railway, William McCardell, also twenty-six, and his younger brother Thomas. While camped below the waterfall on Cascade Mountain, they decided they would like to cross the river to explore Sulphur Mountain,
or, as it was unofficially known at that time, Terrace Mountain. As the river was low at that time of the year, they built a crude raft and poled to the other side of the Bow. While moving up a particularly boggy slope on the mountain, they were surprised to find that the water that created the bog was actually warm. At the base of a cliff they found a large basin of steaming water, partially blocked with fallen timber. From the basin there emerged the strong smell of sulphur.

Far to the east another historic moment was passing: the naming of Banff. One interpretation of this event has William Van Horne rising at a meeting of the directors of the Canadian Pacific Railway to name the locale adjacent to the Bow River near the site of Siding 29 Banff, after Banffshire in Scotland, the ancestral home of railway directors George Stephen and Donald Smith. Parks Canada historian Bill Yeo calls this an old wives’ tale. He has been arguing for years that Banff Station was named in September of 1883 by the CPR Land Commissioner. Yeo claims that the commissioner used dozens of Scottish names and sprinkled them liberally over stations all the way from Winnipeg to Vancouver. The name Banff, Yeo claims, just happened to be sprinkled, well, on Banff. McCabe and the McCardell brothers built a rough shack at the springs that they allowed other railway workers to use. Though they later argued otherwise, it doesn’t appear that it immediately occurred to them to lay legal claim to the hot springs until after other railway workers had built similar shacks at various places along the base of Sulphur Mountain. Later investigation also cast doubt on William McCardell’s initial claim that, at the time of their discovery, he and McCabe had cut a statement of ownership on the springs into a nearby tree stump. Details of the discovery of the springs changed as they were told. McCabe later swore that it was January of 1884 before they were able to approach a Calgary surveyor by the name of A.W. McVittie for directions as to how to make an application to the government of the day for recognition of their claim. From McVittie they learned that a legal survey was required to make such a claim and that a survey in that remote part of the country would probably cost a whopping one thousand dollars, which the railway workers could ill afford.

More than a year passed before anything more happened with respect to the claim. On March 20, 1885, the Minister of the Interior received a letter from McCabe, William McCardell, Archie McNeil and C.W.N. Sansom applying for the legal title to the hot springs located 3.2 kilometres southwest of the Banff train station, which, at that time, was located at the base of Cascade Mountain. A few days later another
Calgary Land Office. Keefe claimed he had discovered the hot springs at the present Cave and Basin on October 16, 1884, and that he had blazed a route to it that still remained. Two weeks later Pearce received another letter from Keefe claiming that McCabe and Seebring were trying to bring his claim into dispute. Pearce advised Ottawa to take no action on the claims until Keefe could authenticate his claim. But other claimants kept coming out of the woodwork. Willard Burrel Younge wrote the government to claim that he had discovered the springs and built a shanty there in the winter of 1875. His claim was supported by affidavits from such historical luminaries as the Rev. John McDougall and Andrew Sibbald of Morley.

As these claims piled up in government offices in Calgary, officials in Ottawa were designing a solution of their own to the hot springs problem. A former Conservative member of parliament visited the springs and recommended to the Prime Minister that this valuable asset be controlled and administered after an American model that saw the federal government recognize the national significance of such resources. By July of 1885, Deputy Minister of the Interior Alexander Burgess was actively seeking information on the process the Americans
used to set aside Arkansas Hot Springs, a national reserve similar in nature to the one Canadians potentially had in Banff.

Meanwhile, back in Banff, while William McCardell was away earning money by cutting railway ties, Frank McCabe was busy cutting ties of his own. Anxious to escape the valley and an unhappy marriage, McCabe unwittingly sold his and McCardell’s share in the springs to a politician of questionable reputation named D.B. Woodward who attempted to redeem the claim without even paying for it. The deal erupted into a political scandal that led, through a number of unlikely turns, to a clear realization that the government did not want the valuable springs to fall into the hands of men like the first claimants. The matter was settled by an inquiry held in Banff by William Pearce, which started off by recognizing no claims on the springs but ultimately recommended compensation for improvements. On November 28, 1885, just a few weeks after the driving of the last spike, an Order in Council was passed in Ottawa reserving just over twenty-six square kilometres on the north slopes of Sulphur Mountain as Canada’s first national park reserve. The “discovery” of hot springs in Banff was of immediate interest to the Canadian Pacific Railway, which was very interested in offsetting the high costs of building the line through the rugged mountains of the West. The railway planned a series of luxury hotels at strategic locations to break the long journey to the Pacific and make it possible to haul fewer dining cars up the steep passes that crested the tallest of the western ranges. Mount Stephen House was built at Field, and Glacier House grew elegantly out of the cedars and hemlocks at the summit of Rogers Pass. The grandest of the railway hotels, however, was planned for Banff.

Construction of the first Banff Springs Hotel was to begin in the spring of 1886. While plans were being completed for the grand hotel, Van Home arranged for the analysis of the spring waters’ chemistry. The medicinal qualities of these waters were highly praised and the reputation of their curative powers spread quickly and widely. Even without facilities, sufferers of every imaginable debility were coming to soak in the waters. After Dominion Land Surveyor P.R.A. Belanger completed a survey of the new reserve, two government leases were let for use of the springs. Upper Hot Springs leases were offered at the bargain price of fifteen dollars per tub per year.

One of the first to capitalize on the curative qualities of the water was Dr. Robert Brett, a surgeon with the CPR who would later become Lieutenant Governor of Alberta. Brett immediately recognized the
In the fall of 1886, Brett built the Grand View Villa at the Upper Hot Springs. It was a three-story luxury hotel with accommodation for fifty guests and forty patients. The astute Brett also experimented with an early form of souvenir sales. He created the Sanitarium Bottling Works and put “Banff Lithia Water,” on sale all over the resort. It was a hot item with tourists who required material evidence of their visit to Banff to show their friends. Brett later built a hotel on the present site of the Banff National Park administration building.

When the Banff Springs Hotel opened in the summer of 1888, it changed the complexion of the Bow Valley. While the latter was still very much a wilderness, there was suddenly civilization in the centre of it. Railway advertising proved very effective. Soon visitors from all over the world were coming to Banff to wander its mountain trails and to bathe in the curative waters of the springs. Visitors and locals alike often have difficulty reconciling the railway’s crucial role in the creation and development of Canada’s first national parks with the later difficulties that these circumstances created in the management and protection of wilderness. From a century down the line, it seems as if the conflict between preservation and use has been sewn into the very fabric of our national parks system. The contradiction of creating national parks around major railway lines is inherently obvious today. The fact of the matter is that without the political and financial acumen of the Canadian Pacific Railway, Canada would have had to wait a long time to create its national parks system and, by the time it might have been
ready to create it, many of the country’s nationally significant landscape areas might have already been developed.

Van Home’s brilliant railway hotel scheme sought to create enclaves at all the most beautiful places between the prairies and the Pacific coast. That many of these hotels were situated in nationally significant reserves would only contribute to their attractiveness. The existence of these reserves, moreover, would allow the railway hotels some measure of control over the competition and over the pesky squatters who seemed to gather wherever the railway put down permanent roots. Nor can the economic contribution of the railway and its hotels be discounted. At a time when the country was struggling to stay fiscally afloat, railway tourism generated considerable income. Railway hotels in Banff and Jasper remain as economically important today as they were a century ago. The big thing that has changed in the Rockies over the last century is not the significance of the railway hotels, but the size and impact of the towns that have grown up around them.

THE CREATION OF A NATIONAL SPA

When Canada’s first national park reserve was created around the hot springs on Sulphur Mountain in 1885, a park system of the kind we have now was not even a consideration. The concept of protecting extant ecosystems was still many decades in the future. The 26-square-kilometre reserve at Banff was set aside for one thing, and one thing only: to protect the baths. Victorian society was obsessed with “taking the waters.” An entire cultural code had already grown up around the great spas of Europe, like Baden-Baden, Aix-les-Bains, Bath and Bad Gastein. There was much more to visiting a spa during the Victorian age than there is now. Visits to spas were carefully regimented. Custom ordained the right time of the year to visit a particular spa, propriety and social standing dictated the character of the people with whom you associated when you were there, and the spa doctor carefully prescribed the cure one should take. The cures ranged from lounging in the hot mineral water, drinking volumes of the water in bottled form, or subjecting oneself to hot mud poultices and near-scalding steam baths and plunges. It was widely felt that dedicated commitment to the pursuit of a “cure” would inevitably lead to better or even restored health. The federal government saw immediately that the hot springs at Banff could elevate Canada into the elite league of mineral bathing and used
the creation of a national park as a device for raising the profile of the curative waters.

An important feature of the upper-class spa experience was the opportunity to tour interesting nearby natural surroundings. Though sightseeing was not to interfere with the rigorous schedule of the “cure,” it was held that the restorative capacity of the waters was complemented through the uplifting sublimity of nature at its best. Wealthy guests, however, were not interested in roughing it. They expected a complete complement of elegant urban amenities, regardless of the spa’s remoteness. They also expected to be entertained and amused while they were there. Many desired hiking trails, observation platforms and even zoos filled with exotic animals typical of the region they were visiting. Sophisticated visitors also expected opera, theatre and chamber music with dinner, followed perhaps by dancing. The object of tourism during the Victorian Era was not much different than it is for many people today. To travel was to experience the familiar in an unfamiliar but spectacular setting.

The Canadian government set out to create a fashionable spa at Banff. Their first priority was to develop and then enforce strict controls on the nature of development in the new park. As the status of a given spa diminished in accordance with the ability of “commoners” to take the waters in what had previously been the solitary preserve of the high society, the government wanted to prevent cheap hotels and tasteless service establishments from being built in Banff. When the town of Banff was surveyed by George Stewart in 1886, all these considerations came into play.
John Hall to Banff to report on the progress of development of the new hot springs facilities. Prior to his departure from Ottawa, Hall had heard word that the federal government was planning to pass an act that would create a national park around the springs. “Permit me to suggest,” he ventured, “that the act should contain very stringent provisions for the protection of fish and game and, if possible, under existing treaties, should prohibit Indians hunting in the park.”

Regulations of this kind were deemed necessary to the maintenance of a proper atmosphere at a wilderness health resort. Passage of the Rocky Mountains Park Act of 1887 enabled the federal government to manage the hot springs reserve as it saw fit.

In 1887, Thomas White sent John Hall to Banff to report on the progress of development of the new hot springs facilities. Prior to his departure from Ottawa, Hall had heard word that the federal government was planning to pass an act that would create a national park around the springs. “Permit me to suggest,” he ventured, “that the act should contain very stringent provisions for the protection of fish and game and, if possible, under existing treaties, should prohibit Indians hunting in the park.”

In 1887, Rocky Mountains Park, as it was named, was officially expanded to 673 square kilometres. The park was “set apart as a public park and pleasure ground for the benefit, advantage and enjoyment

**Consolation Lake**

When American Walter Wilcox first visited Moraine Lake in 1899, he held it by virtue of the huge moraine that damned it to be a place of such rocky barrenness that he wanted to call it Desolation Lake. Conversely he held this small nearby lake to be so much brighter of disposition that he claimed it to be a consolation. As with Lake Agnes, the wild character of Consolation Lake does not appear to be adversely affected by the numbers of people who visit it. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
of the people of Canada.” This legislation empowered the government to make regulations to preserve and manage all local flora, fauna and minerals, to control the management and utilization of the hot springs, and to control mining activities, trade and leaseholds within the park. Unfortunately, however, it was already too late to protect some elements of the natural environment that surrounded the springs. It is regrettable that locals of the time did not have a complete sense of what it took to preserve a national park as an “ark.” Some of the management strategies adopted at the time were to have serious implications as the park grew to embrace surrounding country.

**EARLY PARK MANAGEMENT IDEALS**

The comfort, convenience and amusement of park visitors were important considerations for early park managers like George Stewart. Not only was Stewart, as superintendent of the park, expected to put down “rowdyism and unseemly behaviour” among locals, he was expected to clear all dead trees in the area to lower the risk of fire, to continually upgrade roads in areas where prospective leaseholders wished to build homes, keep meteorological records in order to apprise visitors of the wonders of mountain climate and seek out new attractions to amuse visitors. Developing government policy regarding wildlife management similarly reflected the perceived needs of the tourist.

In 1886, the former Commissioner of Fisheries, one W.F. Whitcher, was asked by Minister of the Interior, Thomas White, to conduct an investigation into the park’s flora and fauna and to make recommendations concerning proper husbanding of these resources for the benefit of the tourist. Whitcher’s investigation revealed that serious wildlife deprivations had already occurred in the area surrounding the proposed park. Whitcher felt that a strong management policy was necessary to ensure preservation. His policy proposals, however, encouraged highly selective management. He believed that preservation policies should apply only to such herbivores as elk, deer, sheep, goats, squirrels and hares, as well as “countless innocent and gay plum-aged birds,” that “form part and parcel of living ornaments interesting to visitors on every public reservation.” Whitcher was even prepared to extend the preservation ethic to black bears. But that was where he drew the line. Whitcher believed that predators should not be permitted to survive on public lands. He decried the “lupine, vulpine and feline vermin that prey upon furred and feathered game with savage
To Whitcher the title of “vermin” covered a lot of animals we now consider essential to ecological integrity in mountain wilderness. Among vermin, he included wolves, coyotes, foxes, lynxes, weasels, wild cats, porcupines, badgers, eagles, falcons, owls, loons, mergansers, kingfishers and cormorants, all of which he recommended be destroyed wherever possible by park staff. On the aquatic conservation side, Whitcher argued that it was imperative that devices such as dynamite explosions, nets, and “the improvidence of Indian fishing” be outlawed in national reserves.

Whitcher also recommended “improvements” on the natural beauty of Banff, such as the introduction of wild rice in the Vermilion Lakes. He also advocated the construction of dams on selected waters to permit fish restocking. He recommended rainbow trout as the species of preference for introduction of game fish. All of these things Whitcher recommended with the idea of improving the attractiveness of the hot springs reserve to visitors. We now know, however, that many of these proposals did not take into account the realities of natural systems. The elimination of predators in the park, combined with the introduction of exotic elk from Yellowstone in the 1920s, damaged natural environments in the Canadian Rockies far beyond the boundaries of the park.
Impacts of the decision to eliminate park predators still haunt the park today. Whitcher’s wild rice can still be found around the Vermilion Lakes and the introduction of game fish destroyed much of the natural aquatic environment. A century later we are confronted with the realization that the natural environments of many national park lakes can now only be restored through the wholesale destruction of introduced species and the reintroduction of natural species taken from lakes and streams outside the park boundaries.

TOWARD A TOWN IN BANFF

Once the attraction potential of the hot springs was realized, it fell upon the federal government to construct an infrastructure of roads, bridges and services that would make the reserve into a credible national park. The responsibility for developing a townsite in the park fell once again to George Stewart, who in 1886 had undertaken the first survey of the town that would become Banff.

One should never underestimate the power of those who design and build community infrastructure. It was around the first roads, bridges and zoning considerations that the town as we know it today developed. When Stewart came to Banff in February of 1886, what existed in terms of settlement was concentrated at Banff Station at the foot of Cascade Mountain. When Stewart first stopped at Banff Station, the town adjacent to it was called National Park, a name it would keep until 1888. Stewart’s immediate tasks were to survey a road from the Banff siding to the Cave and Basin, determine the exact boundaries of the new reserve, and to plot the locations of two townsites. The first of these towns was to be at Devil’s Lake or, as it is known now, Lake Minnewanka, which was expected to blossom into a major tourist attraction. The second of these towns, located on the banks of the Bow, was to be the service centre for the hot springs. Stewart completed these preliminary projects by the end of the winter of 1886.

The biggest problem was access to the lower hot springs at the cave and basin. The rough path that connected the train station with the river was difficult even for those in good health and impossible for invalids who were coming in increasing numbers to soak in the pools. Stewart had his men clear a good road to the river and then construct a timber float bridge across the Bow to the springs. This temporary structure was replaced by an iron truss bridge in 1887. It is this bridge that is featured in many of the early photographs of Banff Avenue and Cascade
Mountain. It was not long before carriages were carrying the ill from the train station right to the springs over a good road system that was soon expanded to include Bow Falls.

Stewart then turned his attention to the planning of the town. Stewart wanted to separate a downtown business community from an exclusive residential area he planned for the other side of the Bow on the lower slopes of Sulphur Mountain. The townsite as he envisioned it straddled a main street leading from the train station to the river. As it would lead from the town, which he initially named National Park, to the Banff Station and Post Office, Stewart called this street Banff Avenue. On either side of Banff Avenue, streets radiated outward in a grid pattern. As Stewart favoured high density in the commercial sections of the town, Banff Avenue lots were narrow with limited backyard space. In 1888, Stewart had also planned a new byway called Station Street in his design (what is now called Lynx Street) that connected a new train station with Bow Falls and the newly completed Banff Springs Hotel. The town was expanded and renamed Banff in 1888.

Stewart also turned his attention to creating better access to the Cave and Basin. The only improvement to cave access since its discovery was a fourteen-metre ladder thrust through the natural opening at the top of the basin. Though a group of Calgarians petitioned against it, Stewart proposed to tunnel through the cave wall from the abutting terrace, adding, in his terms, “much to the attractions and natural curiosity of the Cave, as well as affording a perfectly level and easy mode of access to its waters.” The cave access to the original spring, designed by George Stewart, remains today one of the most visited sites in Banff National Park.

HORSING AROUND IN THE ROCKIES

Railway advertising and word of mouth testimonials given friends by visitors to the Rockies gradually established an international reputation for stunning scenery and authentic local hospitality. Wealthy visitors from all over the world were riding the train across Canada and increasing numbers of them were stopping to enjoy the hot springs and take excursions into the fabled wilderness that crowded right up to the tracks at Banff.

The outfitting, horse packing and guiding industry that made early Banff so famous grew out of an earlier era of railway exploration and construction. The requirement for moving large numbers of workers
They offered. Some conducted explorations on their own, and with tourists, into the unmapped regions of the Rockies that lay far beyond the tracks and the park boundary. Still others became famous because their attitudes about the mountains and about tourism shaped the future of a developing Banff in ways few could ever have suspected. Some of them are remembered simply because they were characters in their own right, whose combined stubbornness, wit and charm made them inseparable from an image Banff had of itself and wanted to portray to the world.

There are many reasons an aspiring Banff local may want to be knowledgeable about this early horse-packing community in the park. These people were simple, straightforward, tough, experienced and for the qualities of character the mountains brought out in those who travelled through them. These are not bad attributes even for a modern local to aspire to.

The earliest of the important horse guides in the Rockies was Tom Wilson, to whom the reader will be better introduced in the chapter on Yoho National Park. His discovery of Lake Louise and Emerald Lake made him an important historical icon. After the railway was completed, visitors interested in explorations beyond the tracks were attracted to the vast backcountry of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Horses are still popular for trekking through the Western hospitality trail rides offered. Some conducted explorations on their own, and with tourists, into the unmapped regions of the Rockies that lay far beyond the tracks and the park boundary. Still others became famous because their attitudes about the mountains and about tourism shaped the future of a developing Banff in ways few could ever have suspected. Some of them are remembered simply because they were characters in their own right, whose combined stubbornness, wit and charm made them inseparable from an image Banff had of itself and wanted to portray to the world.

The earliest of the important horse guides in the Rockies was Tom Wilson, to whom the reader will be better introduced in the chapter on Yoho National Park. His discovery of Lake Louise and Emerald Lake made him an important historical icon. After the railway was completed, visitors interested in explorations beyond the tracks were attracted to the vast backcountry of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Horses are still a popular way to travel. The equipment and the manner in which people travel on horseback have not changed much over the years.

Horse travel
Because of the distances and the number of river crossings required in the vast backcountry of the Canadian Rocky Mountains, horses are still popular for trekking. Horses are still popular for trekking through the Western hospitality trails. They are simple, straightforward, tough, experienced and for the qualities of character the mountains brought out in those who travelled through them. These are not bad attributes even for a modern local to aspire to. Some conducted explorations on their own, and with tourists, into the unmapped regions of the Rockies that lay far beyond the tracks and the park boundary. Some conducted explorations on their own, and with tourists, into the unmapped regions of the Rockies that lay far beyond the tracks and the park boundary. Some conducted explorations on their own, and with tourists, into the unmapped regions of the Rockies that lay far beyond the tracks and the park boundary. Some conducted explorations on their own, and with tourists, into the unmapped regions of the Rockies that lay far beyond the tracks and the park boundary.
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the early 1890s Peyto had begun working as an apprentice guide for Tom Wilson in Banff. It wasn’t long before Peyto impressed the dudes he took into the backcountry. Peyto’s renown as an excellent horseman was rivalled only by his reputation as a character. One of Peyto’s most famous Banff escapades involved capturing a live lynx and wandering casually into a Banff bar with it tied to his back. After noting the presence of a few miners with whom he was known to have had certain disagreements, he released the lynx and sat back to enjoy the havoc the cat unleashed upon the bar. The lynx later became a prime attraction at the Banff Zoo located then on the lower slopes of Cascade Mountain. While he was notorious for his local pranks, Peyto was also justifiably famous for his explorations, not the least of which involved a 1895 visit to the lake Walter Wilcox named in his honour the following year.

One of the unsung heroes of the early guiding community was Ralph Edwards. Born in Ramsgate, Kent in 1869, his wanderlust took him to Canada in 1888. Edwards took a mining job in Canmore before coming to work with Tom Wilson in 1894. From then on, Edwards dedicated himself to the trail life. Jimmy Simpson was another famous early horseman. He came from Lincolnshire, England in 1896 and built his famous lodge on the shores of Bow Lake in 1921. It was through men like these that many visiting explorers were able to put their names, and the names of their friends and loved ones, on the permanent map of the Rockies.5

It should also be noted that the great age of horse travel is not over in Banff. You can still hire outfitters and guides to take you into the backcountry. Some of the people who lead these trips are committed to horse travel as away of life. Through them you can relive a vital part of our mountain past. You can travel in a manner that has not changed in two

THAT WHICH WAS LOST IS FOUND

Lost things continue to turn up in the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. This rough cabin, built by pioneer outfitter and early park warden Bill Peyto nearly a century ago was accidently rediscovered during the construction of a wildlife overpass just a few kilometres away from Banff townsite. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
The concept of travel was born and with it a need for a new kind of place to stay. Enter the bungalow camp, inexpensive roadside accommodation that became the precursor of the “motor hotel” or “motel.” These camps, fashioned after an American model, were usually composed of from ten to twenty-four small cabins, a store, a service station and a lunch counter, designed to serve the needs of families travelling by car. Rates usually ranged from one to four dollars per night, depending on where the camp was located and on market demand. Seeing patterns of visitation change, the Canadian Pacific Railway built bungalow camps at Lake Windermere, Radium Hot Springs, Vermilion Crossing and Castle Mountain. By 1936, other private bungalow camps had been established at Tunnel Mountain near Banff, Johnston Canyon, Storm Mountain, Lake Louise and Moraine Lake. For motorists who wished to sleep in tents, the government constructed the first campgrounds.

Laying the Foundation for Modern Tourism

The democratization of automobile use changed visitor patterns in Banff National Park. While wealthy foreigners financed the age of train tourism in the Rockies, regional visitors powered the age of the car. Instead of the international trans-continental train clientele that Banff was used to, the car brought motorists from Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta who did not have the means to stay at expensive railway hotels in towns like Banff. Moreover, this new class of visitor was as interested in driving as it was in stopping to see the sights. A new concept of travel was born and with it a need for a new kind of place to stay. Enter the bungalow camp, inexpensive roadside accommodation that became the precursor of the “motor hotel” or “motel.” These camps, fashioned after an American model, were usually composed of from ten to twenty-four small cabins, a store, a service station and a lunch counter, designed to serve the needs of families travelling by car. Rates usually ranged from one to four dollars per night, depending on where the camp was located and on market demand. Seeing patterns of visitation change, the Canadian Pacific Railway built bungalow camps at Lake Windermere, Radium Hot Springs, Vermilion Crossing and Castle Mountain. By 1936, other private bungalow camps had been established at Tunnel Mountain near Banff, Johnston Canyon, Storm Mountain, Lake Louise and Moraine Lake. For motorists who wished to sleep in tents, the government constructed the first campgrounds.

Lake Louise

A great deal of extraordinary geology comes together in one place at Lake Louise. Above it are the giant peaks of the Great Divide. These peaks are framed by a huge cirque that opens up at the end of the lake to reveal six significant glaciers. The lake glows turquoise in the summer light as a result of the fine glacial flour carried into it by the melting ice. If all this were not enough, the lake is small and protected enough from the wind to become a perfect morning mirror.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
The growing North American fascination with the automobile soon changed visitor demands. As bungalow camps spread all over the Rockies, visitation increased and the length of visitor stays dropped. While the average stay in the Banff Rockies might have been as long as two weeks in the 1890s, automobile travellers often only stayed overnight. While visitors were obviously seeing a lot more geography during their visits to the mountains, they were doing it more superficially, from inside their cars. Protracted journeys into the wilderness began to decline. The wilderness began to shrink back from roads that soon reached into even the remotest of valleys.

The Depression caused an extended period of involuntary restraint for the federal government during which it had to find innovative ways to further its mandate and finance its operations. By putting thousands of relief workers on large public works projects, the government was able to help the country through its desperate times. Some of the largest of the nation’s relief projects involved road construction in Canada’s mountain national parks. Much of the infrastructure of Banff National Park as we see it today was created during the Great Depression. The list of relief projects and Public Works Construction Act projects for 1931 alone numbered sixteen different major initiatives. The Banff–Calgary road was improved, a new building was constructed at the Upper Hot Springs and the Cave and Basin, extensive new trail systems were developed in the park, enthusiasm for skiing resulted in the completion of the Norquay Road, and an airstrip was built outside of Banff townsite. The Banff–Jasper Highway was built from 1933 to 1939, and officially opened in 1940. By 1936, a new park administration building and gardens had been constructed on the site of the old Bretton Hall, which had burned down in 1933. Between 1939 and 1940, when the depression came to an end, visitation to the park tripled due to better economic times as well as better roads and facilities in the park.

When World War II came to an end, Banff could, at last, take advantage of these investments. The expansion and improvement of the park’s
road system and a growing post-war prosperity led to unprecedented annual visitation. Encouraged by aggressive and highly targeted government publicity focused on the value of outdoor recreation, visitors came from all over Western Canada and the United States to enjoy the wonders of Banff. For a decade the park held the recreational needs of visitors above the interests of landscape preservation. Though this focus would change gradually through the 1960s in response to a growing environmental lobby, the next five decades would still see spectacular development in Banff. In 1945, the population of Banff was about 2,000 with tourist accommodation for 5,600. If one wanted to add Tunnel Mountain Campground to the formula, Banff townsit could accommodate about 7,500 people at any given time during the summer season.

Five decades later, the town’s resident population had grown to the level of its former total visitor capacity. To put it another way, the number of people you would have found in Banff on a crowded weekend in 1945 were now living in the town permanently. Other changes had taken place, too. In 1994, the total visitation to Banff National Park was a whopping 4.6 million per year. But only two-thirds of these people were using the park. A total of 1.4 million of these visitors didn’t even stop in Banff or anywhere else in the park. In 1994, that still left 3.2 million to visit the town and park every year. At that time 76 percent were Canadian, 58 percent were from Alberta, and 17 percent were American, while the remaining 7 percent came from overseas. In that year there were 650 licensed businesses in Banff Park. Visitors spent roughly $750 million a year staying in Banff’s 3,600 hotel rooms, 125 restaurants, 220 retail outlets and three ski areas. In high season during the summer, there were often 25,000 people in Banff, qualifying it as a small city by provincial standards.

While visitation to Banff and to the Canadian Rockies continued to grow through the final years of the twentieth century, the numbers of visitors declined dramatically throughout the first decade of the new millennium as terrorism threats, high fuel prices and economic uncertainty eroded tourism globally. Despite these problems, Banff remains a very popular tourist destination for one very good reason: the town is a centre for the enjoyment of some of the most interesting and aesthetically rewarding experiences that people can have in mountains anywhere in the world. Banff also remains interesting and important due to the sustained and largely successful effort that continues to be made to perpetuate the park’s natural ecosystem functions in the midst of a rapidly changing West.
Keeping Banff National Park in some sort of wild condition and in good ecological health is no easy task. It requires a great deal of knowledge, dedication and no small amount of good technical and scientific skill. It also requires political will and the committed cooperation of locals. Unfortunately, park management is no longer just a matter of leaving Banff as it is and allowing nature to take care of itself. So much has happened in Canada’s first national park that it is now impossible to allow some natural ecological processes to unfold on their own.

Anyone living and working in Banff will soon become aware of a number of apparent contradictions in the way the park has been developed and operates. On one hand, the contemporary mandate of the national parks of Canada argues that the preservation of wilderness is the central reason for the existence of parks like Banff. On the other hand, we find a city inside the park, wholesale development at Lake Louise, and a railway and a national highway right through the heart of the park’s most ecologically productive valley. Even Parks Canada itself has declared “visitor experience” its highest priority. One might rightly ask, “How can all this be?”

The fact of the matter is that Banff National Park did not come to be what it is today overnight. The Banff we see and experience today has its roots in the past. As a culture we have not always held natural landscape to be as valuable as we do today. We have not always had the scientific understanding we now have of the ecological elements that make up the living framework of a wilderness. Different views of why parks exist and how they should be managed and used divide us still today. We are also living with the consequences of well-meaning but ultimately serious mistakes made in park management in the past. Lest one be unduly surprised and alarmed by this, it is important to understand just how much we have learned from Banff. It was our first national park. It was created at a time when no other national parks existed as models upon which we could base appropriate management and care. We had to learn by doing. We now have in this country one of the finest national parks systems anywhere in the world. Much of that is due to hard lessons we learned in Banff. Many of these lessons have to do with boundaries and how boundaries affect jurisdiction that ultimately affects ecosystem function.
Keeping Things in Their Place: Shifting Political Boundaries

As the twentieth century dawned, a conservation ethic gradually began to inform the consciousness of a growing Canadian professional class. As this occurred, increasing political pressure was applied to the challenge of defining and evolving the national park ideal in Canada. It took years for this issue to finally erupt into government action. This is not to say that nothing had been done since 1887 to forward the national park concept as it relates to Banff. Yoho and Glacier parks were created in 1886, and in 1892 a reserve around Lake Louise was established. But the issue of wildlife conservation had yet to be resolved. In 1902, the federal government responded to concerns about the disappearance of “big game” in the Northwest Territories by extending the boundaries of Rocky Mountains National Park, as Banff was known then, to 11,396 square kilometres, nearly twice its current size. The expanded park area now included the reserve at Lake Louise and the watersheds of the Bow, Red Deer, Kananaskis and Spray rivers. In other words, the park then extended from the current boundary of Banff National Park past the town of Canmore right to the Kananaskis River.

SASKATCHEWAN GLACIER

At six kilometres in length, the Saskatchewan is the largest glacier that flows out of the Columbia Icefield. This massive valley glacier can be seen in its entirety from the top of Parker Ridge. The viewpoint is accessible by way of a short, gentle trail from the Icefields Parkway, and begins just south of Banff’s boundary with Jasper National Park. Many consider the Parker Ridge Trail the best short hike within the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
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In 1908, the administration of national parks was placed under the Superintendent of Forestry and a forest and game protection service was created. Howard Sibbald was appointed chief game guardian of Rocky Mountains Park in 1909. It was Sibbald who recommended to Park Superintendent Howard Douglas that portions of the foothills region in which timber-cutting berths and grazing permits had already been granted should be excised from the park. He also argued that existing park boundaries were impossible to locate because they fell on ground that had not been surveyed. As the cost of a survey was at that time prohibitive, and outfitters were already lobbying for hunting rights in the newly expanded park, the government decided to give up much of the front range area in exchange for a land swap that would include areas north of the existing park in the North Saskatchewan River Basin.

In 1911, the Rocky Mountains Park Act was replaced by the Dominion Forest Reserves and Parks Act. This act reduced Rocky Mountains Park to 4,662 square kilometres, just over a third of its former size. Soon after the Parks Act came into being, responsibility for national parks passed from the Forestry Branch of the Department of the Interior to the newly created Dominion Parks Branch headed by the formidable J.B. Harkin.

In 1917, the watersheds of the Panther and Red Deer rivers were restored to the park, increasing its area to 7,125 square kilometres. The park was once again expanded in 1929 to include 2,528 square kilometres of mountainous terrain south of Sunwapta Pass that had formerly been part of Jasper. With the addition of 267 square kilometres around

**ON THE WAY TO THE DEVIL’S THUMB**

Though it appears compact on most topographic maps, the Lake Louise area has so much relief and so many interesting trails, one can hike there for years and not see everything. Some of the trails lead to access routes used only by mountaineers. This route accesses the Devil’s Thumb, a stone tower overlooking Lake Agnes and Lake Louise. Photograph by Vi Sandford.
Mount Moloch in the same year, the park was now just less than 10,000 square kilometres. Boundary changes didn’t come to an end until the passage of the National Parks Act of 1930. This important act, still the principal legislative device for preserving national parks in this country, set a new standard for the quality and nature of the landscapes Canada wanted to protect. Upon passage of the act, lands not conforming to the new criteria were withdrawn from newly named Banff National Park. These included the Kananaskis Valley, which had been badly scarred by fire; a portion of the Spray Lakes watershed which had been identified as having hydro-electric potential; most of the Ghost River watershed; much of the Red Deer River watershed, and a large area in the angle of the Cline and Siffleur rivers which had been included in the transfer from Jasper in 1929.

A major adjustment was also made in the size of the park in 1933 to accommodate a park gate on the Calgary-Banff Highway, and again in 1949 when fifty-four square kilometres were removed from the vicinity of the Goat Range above Canmore to accommodate hydroelectric development. Not taking into account the area of the now autonomous Town of Banff, the fifty-kilometre-long and half-kilometre-wide right-of-way of the Trans-Canada Highway and the main line of the Canadian Pacific Railway, plus the areas carved out for development at Lake Louise, the size of this park is about 6,641 square kilometres, or about 2,565 square miles. As any local will tell you, however, there is no reason, other than political will, for these boundaries not to change again.

In retrospect we now realize that it was unwise from an ecological perspective to separate Banff National Park from the prairies to the east. That is where the wildlife was concentrated in the past. What we have protected in mountains is just a fragment on the edge of the former range of most of the animals we try to protect. The wildlife connections between the plains and the mountains, however, have been severed. We have to be satisfied with the pieces we have saved.

There is also the issue of water. While the Rocky Mountains contribute generously to the water supply of the prairie lowlands, the Great
Plains are essentially semi-arid. At present all the rivers in the dry south of Alberta from the Montana to the Red Deer are fully allocated. The Bow River – the main river in the southern part of the park – is, by Western Canadian standards at least, a heavily utilized watercourse. The Bow is 657 kilometres long. From its headwaters at Bow Lake to where it joins the Oldman to form the South Saskatchewan River, it falls some 1,260 metres. The Bow drains some 25,000 square kilometres or about 4 percent of Alberta’s total land area. It provides 3 percent of the water that flows on the surface of the province, but in so doing provides water to 33 percent of the province’s population. Though it may not appear so, especially to managers from water-scarce countries, the Bow is arguably the most heavily developed watercourse in the province.

There are fifteen major dams or weirs on the Bow and its tributaries. Some 45 percent of Alberta’s irrigated land is in this basin. There is a great deal happening here and the public policy challenges are significant. How do you balance already entrenched demands for use – population growth, expanding oil industry needs, new realizations relating to the crucial importance of environmental services provided by aquatic ecosystems, emerging concerns about reduced flows and increased climate change impacts – against a frontier sense of optimism and abundance? How do you prevent current water use entrenchments from limiting social and economic development in the future? How is protection of the East Slope of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site going to contribute to solutions to a growing water availability crisis on the southern prairies in Canada?

To create the West we want we have to stop seeing the Rocky Mountain parks as a mere collection of fragments pieced together under different and sometimes conflicting jurisdictions and dominated in the popular imagination by Banff. It is time to think about what it means to have saved the larger whole. This great reserve really is greater than the sum of its parks. Properly protected and managed, and perhaps expanded to include already existing precious buffer zones, the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site could become a crystal around which we build a better and more sustainable West. After all, anything is possible in a geography of hope like a World Heritage Site, and Banff is just one park in that grandly expanded site.
THE NORTH SLOPE

Flowing Toward the Arctic
The Birthplace of Western and Northern Rivers
The Columbia Icefield and Jasper National Park

Jasper has always seemed different and special to me. For a very long time I thought it was the many friends I had there, but then I realized there was even more to it than that. The people in Jasper, as everyone in Banff will attest, are, well, different. I have been wondering how they are so and why this came to be.

It took me twenty-five years and hundreds of journeys over Sunwapta Pass to realize that, if you concentrate hard, you can actually sense a difference in what the landscape feels like when you cross the divide from Banff to Jasper National Park. While you see the same high mountains in the area of Sunwapta Pass that you see in Banff, there is a perceptible sense that the valleys that radiate out from them will open into an immensity that is different from what you experience further south in the Rockies. It is then that one is struck by the realization that Sunwapta Pass is, in fact, a Great Divide in its own right, quite different from the one that has paralleled the road all the way from Lake Louise. From the summit of Sunwapta Pass, the continent slopes down to tidewater. But this is not an east-west divide. From the Columbia Icefield to the town of Jasper, the Icefields Parkway drops down from the north slopes of the Rockies into one of the greatest watersheds on Earth. The waters that

The headwall of the Athabasca Glacier
If it were not already classic by virtue of its elegant form, the Athabasca Glacier possesses another feature that makes it a very interesting and beautiful study. The ice descending from the icefield from which this outlet valley glacier is derived also falls over a series of three spectacular cliff faces, causing the tumbling ice to shatter and fracture into awesome icefalls. The vertical distance from the top of the first icefall to where the glacier finally flattens out is around 1,000 metres.
Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
gather in this great basin do not flow into the Atlantic or the Pacific as they do further south. The Sunwapta, the Whirlpool, the Miette, the Snaring, the Snake Indian and the Athabasca are rivers of the north. They flow through an eternity of taiga and tundra into the polar sea.

No wonder the air smells and tastes different in Jasper. It is not surprising that the plant communities are not the same as they are in adjacent Banff. No wonder there are grizzlies here. No wonder ravens are the most obvious birds. We should not be surprised there are caribou here, and wolves. The outstretched arms of a gigantic inukshuk, the Rockies of Jasper face north. Timberline falls like a tilted curtain as it follows the cold to the pole. It is from the north that the weather comes. The people of Jasper are different than the people of Banff because Banff is part of the West and Jasper the North. Jasperites are northerners. Isolated and independent, they have been shaped by their exposure to the pole.

The two great natural heritage features in Jasper National Park, the Columbia Icefield and the Maligne Valley, are so spectacular and so geologically interesting that either could have qualified for World Heritage Site designation in its own right. These features are the essence of the amazing natural history that composes the north and northwest slopes of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Both were created by water.

A SEA OF ICE AND SNOW: THE COLUMBIA ICEFIELD

By any standards, the Columbia Icefield is a substantial geographical feature. It is a high basin of accumulated snow and ice that straddles 325 square kilometres (roughly 125 square miles) of the Great Divide separating British Columbia from Alberta. Eleven of the twenty-two highest peaks in the Canadian Rockies are found in, or in close proximity to, the Columbia Icefield. The highest mountain in Alberta, Mount Columbia, 3,745 metres, sticks out of the north edge of all this ice. Much of the basin itself is over 3,000 metres in altitude. The Columbia Icefield is located just east of a major gap in the Columbia Mountains of British Columbia, making the Great Divide the first major obstacle to moisture-laden winds blowing eastward from the Pacific. At least ten metres of snow, more than thirty feet, fall on this basin every year, more snow by far than falls anywhere for hundreds of kilometres to the north or south. The snow and ice in this basin is in many places more than 300 metres, or a thousand feet deep. Steep cliffs of ice cap even the summits of the icefield mountains to a depth of as much as 100 metres.
Cold statistics, however, do not begin to embrace the cold fact of so much ice. Ultimately, references to a human-centred world are inappropriate in this place. Snow that fell four hundred years ago is melting at the glacier’s snout. The black peaks seem to lean over us. There is a different sense of time here. The day is the smallest unit, the season next, then the year. Beyond the year there is only the timelessness of epochs, the incomprehensibly vast passing of the geological seasons, mountains rising and falling, ice ages coming and going, and the appearance and disappearance of entire rafts of life. Confronted by eternity, we feel small here before the grumbling ice. Epiphany is possible here, a sense of aesthetic arrest.

THE DISCOVERY OF THE COLUMBIA ICEFIELD

A CENTURY AGO, THE CANADIAN ROCKIES were known only to locals. Beyond the thin steel line of the national railway, the limitless wilderness of the mountain West spread to every horizon. If anyone had seen the country’s great icefields, they had left no records. The location and heights of the country’s most spectacular mountains were still unknown.
It was a most unlikely group of people who undertook the first explorations of the great stone divide that formed the spine of the continent in Canada. For the most part, they could be considered tourists. They took the train West on their holidays and explored the country as time and money permitted. While they were competent and inspired, it was their wealth and leisure that granted them the opportunity to do what locals could not do, given the demands of a pioneer culture that insisted that the most practical things related to basic survival be done first.

The three adventurers associated with the discovery of the Columbia Icefield were Hugh Millington Stutfield, Hermann Woolley and John Norman Collie. Stutfield was a wealthy British stockbroker who through careful and considered investment was able to retire early from the London Stock Exchange and pursue his interest in travel. He was also a crack shot with a rifle and shotgun, a talent that later allowed him to save his fellow climbers from a difficult predicament in Canada with respect to supplies. It was this same talent, however, that caused him to be hunting instead of climbing when the full extent of the Columbia Icefield was discovered in 1898.

Woolley was a pharmaceutical chemist and the head of a large Manchester drug firm. He was also an amateur boxer of note and a strong climber. Collie was best known as a chemist. He also had a fine reputation as a climber. A biography of Collie records that he made a total of seventy-seven first ascents in Skye, the Scottish mainland, the Lake District, the Himalaya and the Canadian Rockies.

Fortunately for us, however, Collie was as good a geographer as he was a scientist. Over four visits to the Canadian Rockies between 1897 and 1902, he mapped more than three thousand square miles (7,770 square km) of Canada’s mountain West. He was also a climber of note in the Rockies a decade before mountaineering became popular in the region. While Stutfield and Woolley deserve to be remembered for the parts they played in finding the Columbia Icefield, a hundred years after this historic discovery it is Collie we remember best.

Collie first heard about the Canadian Rockies as a result of Philip Stanley Abbot’s 1896 accident at Lake Louise. Collie participated in the Anglo-American expedition that climbed Mount Lefroy on the anniversary of Abbot’s death. Two days later Collie and Sarbach made the first ascent of Mount Victoria with Charles Fay and Arthur Michael. The climbers then went to the Bow Lake area where, on August 11,
they made the first ascent of Mount Gordon. With three new ascents to celebrate, the Americans had run out of time and had to go home.

Following the departure of part of the team, Collie, George Baker and Swiss guide Peter Sarbach planned to visit Mount Assiniboine, which had acquired the reputation of being the Matterhorn of the Rockies. Collie changed these plans, however, on the basis of something he had seen to the north from the summit of Mount Gordon: a fine double-headed snow peak with large glaciers pouring down its east face. They also looked upon a giant that loomed cold and sharp into the clear August skies further north. This peak, they surmised, was Mount Forbes, which they guessed to be somewhere near 14,000 feet (4,267 m) in height. The sight of this mountain triggered something in Collie that would change his plans for the remainder of the summer of 1897 and bring him back the following year.

The image of Mount Forbes brought an old legend to life in Collie’s mind. It was a legend that grew from the journals of David Douglas who, in 1827, had been the first man to climb a major mountain in North America. Douglas had told the story of two giants that guarded Athabasca Pass in what is now Jasper National Park. These stone monsters,
which Douglas had named Mount Hooker and Mount Brown, were said to be Himalayan-sized peaks that lay to the north, beyond Mount Forbes. Collie decided to find and then climb them. During the winter of 1897–98, Collie could not get the Hooker-Brown problem out of his mind. Through careful research, Collie learned that many competent explorers had already applied themselves to the mystery of the two mountains and had published excellent accounts of their findings.

The entire issue surrounding the legendary heights of Hooker and Brown began with the explorer and mapmaker David Thompson, who was the first European to traverse Athabasca Pass in 1811. Thompson, perhaps from an incorrect boiling-point determination, estimated the 5,735 foot (1,748 m) summit of the pass to be about 11,000 feet (3,353 m). Ross Cox and Thomas Drummond, who crossed the pass in 1814, compounded Thompson’s mistake by calculating the summits of the two major peaks in the area of the pass at 16,000 to 18,000 feet (4,877 to 5,486 m). When botanist David Douglas crossed the pass in 1827, he recorded in his journal that he became desirous of climbing one of the two peaks at the crest of the pass and set out to climb the mountain on the left or west side that appeared the taller. In his journals he did not identify any peak by name or elevation. Nor did he claim that
the peak he had climbed was the tallest in North America. Quite con-
trary to what he claimed when he got home, his journals clearly admit
that there were many other mountains in the area of the pass that were
higher than the one he climbed.

Douglas returned to England in 1828 and began the transcription
of his journal notes into an account he hoped to present before the
Royal Horticultural Society. It is at this point that the account begins to
diverge from his notes and from fact. His published transcriptions argue
that, instead of climbing the mountain on the left or west side of the
pass, he climbed the one on the north side of Committee’s Punch Bowl,
the small lake at the summit of the famous trade route. He claimed the
mountain to be 17,000 feet (5,182 m) instead of his original estimate of
18,000 feet (5,486 m), and for some unknown reason claimed this peak
to be “the highest yet known in the Northern Continent of America.” He
then named the two Athabasca Pass mountains for botanist colleagues
William Hooker and Robert Brown. With a few strokes of a pen, Douglas
sent three generations of geographers and mountaineers on a wild
goose chase searching for these two fabled peaks. Though Collie prob-
ably sensed that the mystery of Hooker and Brown had already been
solved, he decided to take up the adventure.

On July 31, 1898, Collie and company left Laggan with a large pack
string organized by local outfitter Bill Peyto. The expedition decided to
follow Peyto’s 1897 suggestion of reaching the drainage of the Saskatche-
wan by way of the Pipestone and Siffleur valleys, which permitted them
to avoid the timber jams and bogs of the lower Bow Valley.

On August 17, their twentieth day out from Laggan, the expedi-
tion was camped on the watershed that separated the Saskatchewan
and Athabasca rivers. Opposite their camp, a huge glacier-clad peak
beckoned to them. Late in the morning on August 18, 1898, Collie and
Woolley started for the summit of Mount Athabasca. Soon they were on
the east side of the peak and climbing. The ridge gave them little trouble
until it gave way to rotten, eroded rock. They then took to the glacier
and made their way up to a large basin just below the summit. Then
they cut steps for two hours along a line that was so steep they couldn’t
change places to relieve the tedium of step cutting. Finally, they arrived
at a small platform just below the summit where a chimney led them to
a very trying pitch that allowed them access to the summit ridge. The
peak itself would have been reward enough, but the view slowed their
minds. Collie later described what he saw:
The view that lay before us in the evening light was one that does not often fall to the lot of modern mountaineers. A new world was spread at our feet: to the westward stretched a vast ice-field probably never before seen by the human eye, and surrounded by entirely unknown, unnamed, and unclimbed peaks ... But it was towards the W. and N.W that the chief interest lay. From this great snow-field rose, solemnly, like ‘lonely sea-stacks in mid-ocean,’ two magnificent peaks, probably about 14,000 ft. high, keeping guard over those unknown western fields of ice. One of these, that in shape reminded us of the Finsteraarhorn, we have ventured to name after the Right Hon. James Bryce. A little to the north of this peak, and directly to the westward of the peak we were on (Athabasca Peak), rose probably the highest peak in the Canadian Rocky Mountains. Chisel-shaped at the head, covered with glaciers and ice, it also stood alone, and I at once recognized the great peak I was in search of; moreover, a short distance to the northeast of this peak another, almost as high, also flat-topped, but ringed round with sheer black precipices, reared its head into the sky high above all its fellows. At once I concluded these must be the two lost mountains Brown and Hooker.

Having climbed the difficult north face, the tired climbers opted for an easier descent. Following the summit ridge to an adjacent horn, they descended what is now the classic route up this popular mountain.

On August 20, two days after the first ascent of Mount Athabasca, Collie, Woolley and Stutfield camped on the Athabasca Glacier just below the last of its three great icefalls. At 3:00 a.m. the following day they used head lamps to make their way up through the deep crevasses and jumbled seracs to become the first to stand on the icefield proper. They were heading for Mount Columbia, the chisel-headed giant Collie had seen from the summit of Mount Athabasca. As the day warmed and the snow on the surface of the icefield began to thaw, they realized that Mount Columbia was too far away to reach in a day. Instead they climbed a great arc of snow on the edge of the icefield, which they called the Dome. Back in England it would occur to Collie that, on the top of what is now called Snow Dome, they were standing on the only peak in North America where the snows, when melted, found their way into three different oceans. This peak is a triple divide, the apex between the drainages of the Columbia, which flows into the Pacific, the Saskatchewan, which flows into the Atlantic at Hudson Bay, and the Athabasca, which makes its gradual way north to empty into the Arctic Ocean.

Collie surmised correctly that the chisel-headed peak they had named Columbia, and its neighbouring flat-topped giant, which they named Alberta, could not be the legendary Hooker and Brown. Though Collie went on looking, he never found Douglas’ fabled giants. In all his adventures, which included six expeditions and more than twenty first
ascents in Canada, he never found anything that rivalled the grandeur or the geographical significance of the Columbia Icefield. Visitors today often feel the same way.

**FILLING IN THE MAP: COMPLETING THE EXPLORATION OF THE COLUMBIA ICEFIELD**

Norman Collie’s 1898 exploration of the Columbia Icefield area raised more questions than it answered. Collie and Stutfield had been greatly impressed with the size and nature of the Icefield giants and had first-ascent designs on Mounts Columbia, Bryce and Alberta. Unfortunately, the distance from any base camp in the Saskatchewan or Athabasca River valleys made these mountains simply too distant for a practical one-day attempt. The climbers acknowledged that Mount Columbia might be climbed if an easier route through the triple icefall on the Athabasca Glacier could be found. Stutfield, however, offered that the entire Columbia Icefield group of mountains might be far more accessible from the western side, where massive glaciers did not make it impossible to bring horse camps closer to the peaks they wanted to climb. So it was in 1900 that Stutfield and Collie planned a third expedition to the Rockies with the aim of exploring the remote mountains between the main ranges of the Rockies and the Columbia River. The expedition, however, proved to be a disaster. The climbers did not take into account that the forests on the wet side of the Great Divide would be dense and impenetrable. The party spent a month beating their way
up the Bush River only to find themselves a full fifteen miles (twenty-four km) away from the Columbia Icefield.

Disappointed by their failure to climb even one decent mountain during the summer of 1900, Collie gave the Rockies a miss in 1901 and went instead to climb in the Lofoten Islands in Norway. In the meantime, however, the Canadian Pacific Railway advanced their plans to turn the Canadian Rockies into the Canadian Alps. In 1901, the railway brought Edward Whymper, the conqueror of the Matterhorn, to the Rockies to promote mountaineering in Canada. This angered Collie, who wrote to Charles Thompson to complain that Whymper was going to hog all the first ascents.

Though Whymper did not prove a threat to Collie’s first-ascent plans in the Columbia Icefield area, another British climber did. After teaming up with Edward Whymper briefly, James Outram made headlines in Canada and abroad with the first ascent of Mount Assiniboine in September of 1901. Collie resented Outram as much as he did Whymper if only because he had played no role in the exhausting early exploration of the Rockies, but was managing simply to make first ascents of mountains already found and mapped by others. When Collie returned to the Rockies in 1902, he was no longer interested in exploration. He wanted to make first ascents in the icefield he had discovered. Competition between these two climbers would lead to full exploration of the Columbia Icefield and first ascents of many of its most prominent peaks.

In the summer of 1902, Outram got into the field two weeks before Collie. Realizing he had only a short time before Collie arrived, Outram advanced immediately up the main branch of the Saskatchewan to the Alexandra Valley with the intent of stealing the first ascent of Mount Columbia, the giant of the Columbia Icefield. Near the base of Mount Alexandra, which dominates the head of the valley, Outram and his guide Christian Kaufmann ascended a high ridge to survey the surrounding peaks. There they saw before them the edge of the great icefield discovered by Collie and Woolley four years before. They saw also the narrow, three-pointed ridge of Mount Bryce and, as the clouds parted, the exquisite summit of Mount Columbia. They saw, too, that they were still a difficult and trying distance away from both.

On July 19, 1902, Outram and Kaufmann set out from their camp at 2:20 a.m., in just enough light to see the shadows of the trees in the valley. In an hour they felt the coldness of the glacial ice. At 5:00 a.m. they roped together to thread their way through the maze of crevasses and soon looked out over the eternity of ice and snow that forms the
windswept névé of the icefield. The mountain looked no closer than it had from the valley floor. It took the climbers nearly four hours of continuous walking to reach the bergschrund out of which the peak of Mount Columbia rose into the cold, indifferent sky. They made their gradual way up the arête to a sheer and icy escarpment that was the last obstacle to the summit. At just after 2:00 p.m., Outram “planted the Union Jack on the broad, white platform that crowns the summit, the highest point in Canada from which the British flag has ever floated.” Then they faced the careful descent and the long slog back over the ice to camp. Just after midnight, after 22 hours of strenuous walking and climbing, they at last stumbled into their tents.

Between 1902 and 1919, there was virtually no exploration done in the area of the Columbia Icefield. During that time, the efforts of mountaineers were concentrated further south at Lake Louise and beyond. While a few expeditions made their way north from Lake Louise to Jasper, they avoided the difficult Columbia Icefield section. In time, however, civilization began to catch up with the remoteness of the West. In 1913, a survey to delineate the boundary between Alberta and British Columbia was initiated by the Office of the Surveyor General in Ottawa. During the first three years, the survey concentrated on the southern Rockies between Akamina Pass and Mount Assiniboine. By 1918, the survey had advanced past Thompson Pass to within sight of the Columbia Icefield. In July 1919, a climbing party ascended to the Columbia Icefield and began to survey a line across it to Mount Columbia. The survey was undertaken by R.W. Cautley of the Alberta Land Survey and Arthur Oliver Wheeler of the British Columbia Land Survey.

The next major expedition to the Columbia Icefield was led by the prominent American ophthalmologist James Monroe Thorington, and his friend Dr. William S. Ladd. Their guide was an Austrian named Conrad Kain, who was already famous for leading the first recognized ascent of Mount Robson. A 1922 expedition to the Freshfields had whetted James Thorington’s appetite for the northlands. Throughout the following winter Thorington and Ladd spent hours pouring over the few available maps and photographs of the region they called the “Alexandra Angle.” This country that they considered “a land lost behind the ranges” included the peaks along the Continental Divide between Howse Pass and Mount Columbia, all of which were encompassed by the uppermost drainages at the headwaters of the North Saskatchewan River. Though some of the earliest expeditions had made brief incursions into this blank space on
only half that distance to the Thorington party, the North Twin is a full twelve miles by air (nineteen km) from the shoulder of Mount Castleguard. It took them several hours just to reach the head of the Athabasca Glacier and the base of Snow Dome. They were still only half way to the North Twin. After circling widely to avoid crevasses at the head of the Columbia Glacier, Thorington began to see things that weren’t there: Fatigue mirages – momentary illusions – began to appear; for an instant I was convinced that the dark line of a distant crevasse was a staff planted on the summit of North Twin; and I berated Conrad for bringing us so far only to let us be cheated of a first-ascent.4

Thorington’s observations of mirages on the immense plateau give an idea of just how big the Columbia Icefield really is. It is big enough to
create its own weather and optical effects. His observations were confirmed the following year by the Field expedition and have, from time to time, been reported by climbers right up until the present. Bushes and trees constantly seem to present themselves at various places all over the icefield as climbers pitilessly observe their own slow progress over the eternal snows.

Only after the party stopped at 2:00 p.m. for lunch, after nearly eleven hours of walking across the ice, had they reached their mountain. Before them was a stunning scene. Framed by the North Twin and ice-deep summit bulges of Mount Stutfield, the climbers peered in silent awe at the unclimbed, cliff-walled summit of giant Mount Alberta. They reached the summit of the North Twin at about 4:20 p.m. But as too often happens in mountaineering, the climbers had reached the summit only to be greeted by dense cloud. Robbed of the view, they had to be satisfied with the first ascent, the last of the unclimbed 12,000-foot peaks in the Columbia Icefield area, and the first traverse of the Columbia Icefield from the Castleguard Valley to the head of Habel Creek. As any climber will tell you, the summit is only halfway to the goal. The arduous return journey from the North Twin is one of the epics of early mountaineering in Canada. Thorington described it as if it were a dream:

_Someone, following in our track, may one day understand that journey back across the icefield’s vastness. For an analytical mind, it will at least afford insight of the psychology of fatigue: the half-hour in a blizzard, obscuring the trail and exhausting us; the clearing at sunset, with crimson and orange light banded against masses of lead-blue storm clouds behind The Twins; mist and snow-banners wreathed about and trailing from Columbia and catching up the light— we three mortals in the middle of the field, in all its immensity, struggling on in insufficiently crusted snow until the light failed._

Twenty-three hours after leaving camp, the climbers fell on the grass beside the campfire and ate breakfast as the sun rose on the peaks surrounding the Castleguard meadows. This had been the longest mountaineering ordeal to date in the Canadian Rockies.

In 1923, Thorington had, in effect, dropped a gauntlet to other climbers by announcing that Kain, Ladd and he had set a “new long-distance and altitude record in Canadian mountaineering.” Their claim had been established by climbing, in five successive days, the North Twin (the first ascent and a journey of 54 kilometres, or more than 30 miles), Mount Saskatchewan (another first ascent and a journey of 27 kilometres,
Relishing the stimulating physical exercise and the grand views, William Field proposed that the party climb the North Twin while they were in the vicinity. Feuz agreed, suggesting that since they were going to be late getting back to camp anyway, they might as well make a full day of it. Fred Field complained that he’d already had enough but was overruled. William Field and Feuz had their way.

The return trip from the North Twin was something of an exercise in masochism. As had happened to the Thorington party the year before, the climbers had to return over the icefield during the hot part of the summer day. The usual mirages began to appear, just as they had to the eyes of Thorington and his party. Lawren Harris saw bushes and trees growing out of the ice and claims to have seen groups of people watching their “piteously slow progress on that interminable march.”

In just over twenty-four hours the climbers had walked 58 kilometres (about 36 miles) and climbed two of the highest peaks in the Columbia Icefield group. They were clearly worthy of an additional and most remarkable discovery they made just inside what is now the northern boundary of Banff National Park, just on the edge of the Columbia Icefield: a
discovery that in its own right would have later qualified the region to become a World Heritage Site.

**THE DISCOVERY OF THE CASTLEGUARD CAVES**

Though many other outfits had camped in the Castleguard area and observed how meltwater disappeared through cracks and fissures in the bedrock of the meadows, none had hitherto discovered where that water went. Exploring the timbered slope below their camp late one afternoon, two members of the 1924 William Field party heard a rumbling underground, then a river burst forth from the side of the mountain below them. Further exploration revealed a substantial cave mouth out of which the water was issuing in torrents. Two days later the water subsided, at least for a time, and the party was able to explore the cave for some two hundred metres until the cave floor dropped into an abyss. The mystery of the Castleguard Cave system would haunt scientists and cave explorers for more than fifty years until research initiated by McMaster University geologist and caver Derek Ford led to the realization that the twelve-kilometre-long cave system ended under the Columbia Icefield itself. It is one of the longest cave systems in Canada.

The cave system is now closed to the public for reasons of safety. Even the entrance to the cave system can only be accessed by experienced and well-equipped mountaineers. Travelling within the system itself can be extraordinarily dangerous as flooding is common and unpredictable. The Castleguard Caves remain one of those places we are happy to know exists and pleased to have protected within a World Heritage Site. It is not, however, a place that will ever be visited by many people.

With the Field expedition, the great days of pioneering mountaineering expeditions in the Columbia Icefield area came to an end. While they discovered much else as well, what the early explorers and mountaineers essentially discovered was the birthplace of western rivers. The organizing principle of this World Heritage Site is watershed. We see a century later that almost every aspect of the mountain landscape is an expression of what water does in upwardly tilted geological circumstances. All of the rest of the wonder – the shapes of the peaks, the colour of the lakes, the rich forest and alpine ecosystems – all follow from the fact

---

**THE CASTLEGUARD CAVES**

Underlying the Castleguard Meadows is the longest cave system in Canada. Beginning in 1967, Derek Ford of McMaster University led a series of winter mapping explorations, which ultimately revealed the extent and character of the 20-kilometre-long Castleguard system. Ford and his colleagues discovered that the Castleguard Caves actually terminate under the Columbia Icefield. Because of the extreme hazard, the cave system is now closed to public access.

*Photograph courtesy of Dr. Derek Ford and Parks Canada.*
of abundant water. To see the World Heritage Site in this context is to understand its significance not just to the West but also to the world.

**SNOW DOME: CRADLE OF THE WESTERN WATERSHED**

The Athabasca Glacier receives its name from the Athabasca River, a major Western Canadian watercourse whose origins begin at the Columbia Glacier that flows from the northern rim of the Columbia Icefield. (By some accident of geographic place naming, the Athabasca Glacier gives birth to the Sunwapta River that joins the larger Athabasca some fifty kilometres downstream before that great river flows by the town of Jasper.) *Athabasca* is a Cree word that means “place where the reeds grow.” The Columbia Icefield also contributes to the great Columbia River, one of the most important early highways across this continent. The other great river that has its origins in the Columbia Icefield is the North Saskatchewan River, the great river of the northern Canadian plains. These three major rivers flow to three different oceans. The Saskatchewan flows nearly two thousand kilometres to pour into the Atlantic Ocean at Hudson Bay. The waters of the Athabasca River flow nearly three thousand kilometres northward by way of the Mackenzie River system to become part of the Arctic Ocean and, finally, the meltwater of the glaciers on the west side of the Columbia Icefield pour through the Bush and Wood rivers into the Columbia which meanders for two thousand kilometres to join the Pacific near Portland, Oregon. There is actually a hydrological apex in the Columbia Icefield that is the exact dividing point between these three great rivers. The apex of this unusual triple continental divide is the summit of Snow Dome, a 3,451 metre peak that overlooks the Athabasca Glacier from the north. Snow falling on the summit of this mountain can, depending upon where it falls, end up in any one of three major river systems each bound for a different ocean.

**HOW GLACIERS FORM**

Everywhere in the polar and temperate regions of the earth, and at high altitudes in the tropics, atmospheric water condenses and freezes into solid form. Sometimes the resulting water falls as hail, or as small irregular globes called graupel. But most often, frozen atmospheric water falls as snow. Every child has marvelled at the lacy elegance inherent in the radial symmetry of the snowflake. Each flake is different, each
unique, each perfect in its own way. When snow falls in the Rockies, individual flakes fall one upon the other, glistening and gradually deepening into the romantic image of the Canadian winter. As snow continues to fall and deepen, the sheer weight of accumulation changes the nature of the flakes. As pressure builds, the lovely radial arms, outstretched and intertwined, melt.

In most places in the world, the life of the snow is terminated by the hot sun of springtime. The aging snow dies back into water as it melts. But at the poles, and in the high places of the mountains, the snow that falls in winter never completely melts. The snow deepens, layer after layer, year after passing year. At a certain depth, thirty metres or so, the compressed snow becomes extremely dense ice. Under its own weight, and in response to dictates of its own crystalline nature, this ice moves. This is the ice of the eons; this is glacier ice.

ICE AGES: THE ICE MAN COMETH

It is generally assumed that as long as there has been ice in the polar regions of this continent, glaciers have likely existed in the Canadian Rockies. While local altitude and climatic conditions may have
supported glaciers in the Columbia Icefield area for as long as three
million years, ice movement at the Columbia Icefield has also been
linked to more widespread climatic coolings, which have resulted in
major glacial advances throughout the Rockies. What appears to be the
most extensive of all modern ice ages began roughly 240,000 years ago.
The Illinoian or Great Glaciation covered most of the northern regions
of the upper hemisphere, fashioning much of the geography as we
know it today in North America. The Great Glaciation was a spectacu-
lar geological event that lasted a hundred thousand years. Though the
glaciers of the Columbia Icefield would have grown dramatically during
this continental cooling, the dynamics of the icefield itself would have
changed little. The only difference would be that the major alpine gla-
ciers could have been hundreds of kilometres long and as much as two
kilometres deep as they left the Rockies and joined the even greater ice
masses flowing southward from the direction of the pole.

Other notable but lesser glacial advances took place in the Rockies
seventy-five thousand and twenty thousand years ago and did much to
give these mountains the contours that make them so dramatic today.
Another climatic cooling took place around eleven thousand years
ago and initiated what is called the Crowfoot Advance, a smaller but
still measurable glacial growth period still represented in the surface
geology of the Columbia Icefield area. The last glacial advance to have
taken place in the Canadian Rockies is so recent that early travellers
were able to document its close. The Cavell Advance, often call the Little
Ice Age likely began near the year 1200. At the peak of this advance, in
about 1750, the Athabasca Glacier was two kilometres longer than
it is now.

CLIMATE AND MICROCLIMATE: THE LITTLE ICE AGE

History tells us that Anthony Henday was likely the first Euro-
pean to look upon the Rocky Mountains in Canada, an act he is said
to have performed in the summer of 1754 from a hilltop vantage near
the present site of Gull Lake, Alberta. So dazzling was the panorama
of the distant snow-clad peaks, that he called the Rockies the Shining
Mountains. Today, from the same summer vista on the Great Plains,
the Rockies would not likely appear as quite the wall of white Henday
witnessed before he packed his canoes with furs and headed east again
for Montreal. Henday looked upon the Rockies while they were buried
deep beneath the throes of the most recent time of glacial advances,
the Little Ice Age. But since then the climate of the West has changed. By the beginning of the twentieth century, the climate of the Rockies had warmed considerably. Since then most of the glaciers of the Rockies have retreated.

It is very difficult to predict what will happen next in terms of glacial advance and retreat in the Rockies. Though much of what happens in terms of ice dynamics at the Columbia Icefield depends upon local or micro-climatic conditions, even this great mass of ice is not immune to the larger influences of planetary climatic change. Due to the way glacial ice is accumulated and endures, glaciers offer an excellent and reliable source of information about the earth’s past climates. Glacial cores from the ancient ice of the poles tell us that, before 1900, natural atmospheric pollutants overwhelmingly outnumbered human-generated pollutants. This is no longer true.

Human activity is now an important factor in the evolving climate. One cumulative result of all of these atmospheric changes is the greenhouse effect we have heard so much about, which is causing warmer temperatures worldwide. It is clear that such warming could have a substantial impact on the planet’s inter-connected ecosystems and on patterns of human settlement. The ultimate effect of these atmospheric changes on the Columbia Icefield is unclear. Even if the climate does warm, however, it may not mean the end of the ice in these mountains any time soon. The glaciers may shrink back into the icefield that formed them. The decreased surface of the ice will likely accelerate melt.
But that may not be the end. There is something to be said for glacial patience. Shrunken but by no means defeated, protected by the cold heights of the Great Divide, the ice will simply wait and wait. Provided the warming is not too great of course, it will survive.

Current evidence suggests, however, that our glaciers are in trouble. One quarter of the glacial mass in the cordillera has disappeared in the last century. Rapid glacial recession and dramatically lower stream flows have also been recorded at the headwaters and downstream on all major rivers that have their origins in the mountain national parks.

As the mountain glaciers continue to melt, water availability will diminish on the Great Plains. As hydropower generation becomes less reliable, more coal-fired generation will be required which will likely result in greater greenhouse emissions. The kinds of life that live in the river will change as the temperature of the water rises in the wake of decreased introduction of cold water from glacial melt. If the climate continues to warm as expected and stream flows are reduced as projected then the water needs of projects like Alberta’s oil sands could reach a critical proportion of winter low flows.

According to Dr. David Schindler of the University of Alberta, both Alberta and Saskatchewan have already recorded a warming trend of 1 °C to 4 °C, mostly after 1970. Regional climate models predict that the average temperature could further increase by as much as 4.8 °C to 8 °C by 2100. This range is outside our society’s current willingness or capacity to adapt.

Reduced river flows, reduced glacial melt contributions, and other climate-related changes in precipitation patterns and timing are converging to create a new hydrological regime on the eastern slopes and on the prairies. And yet we continue to develop heavily in our headwater regions. We are writing cheques our landscapes may not be able to cash. One does not think of such things, however, when heading downvalley from the Columbia Icefield toward Jasper. One thinks about water because it is everywhere, falling from the mountains surrounding the valleys and, in the case of the Maligne Valley, flowing invisibly beneath our feet.

North Slope Wonder: The Maligne Valley

Legends have always existed of sacred places deep in the mountains where nature is said to exist in the most extravagantly pristine state. For hundreds of years, Native peoples in the mountain West knew...
about a remarkable lake in a stunning valley enclosed by the most beautiful of mountains. Even though few actually visited the lake, for it was remote and difficult to reach, word slowly found its way to the outside. In order that the secret not fall into uncaring hands, stories of this almost mythical place were told only to the most inquiring of early European explorers. These adventurers longed to search out this magical valley, but few had the time or the resources for an extended expedition. The wilderness through which they would have to travel was trackless and wild, and the only maps that existed were images lodged in the fading memories of Native elders.

It was the lower reaches of this fabled valley that Europeans found first. As if to protect the upper reaches of this sacred place from vandals, the river that roared from this valley proved difficult and dangerous to cross. Naming the river *Maligne* for the curse they uttered on its banks, the Europeans carried on westward across the mountains, to the Columbia, to the sea. Only as the map of the West filled was the legend of the primeval valley heard again. There was a remarkable lake deep in the wilderness, back of beyond.

The first European to stumble on the lake at the head of the magical valley was not looking for it. Henry McLeod was looking for something else – a way out perhaps – and did not see what he was looking at. Walled inside himself by private misery, he named the lake for his sore feet and wandered on. The noisy rustling that passes for silence in the wilderness descended again. More than thirty years went by. In summer, water lapped on ancient shores. Ice groaned on the peaks. Loons called. In winter, the lake froze deep and hard. Then only wolf-howl could be heard above the hiss of the falling snow.

**BACKCOUNTRY SOPHISTICATES**

In the Rockies, rail tracks were laid through Lake Louise in the south and, later, through Jasper in the north. Tough, hard-cussing bushwhackers made their summer living by cutting crude trails through the burnt forests and muskeg in order to take American pilgrims into the wilderness along the Great Divide. The trips often took weeks. Climbers and adventurers had the time to leave the city behind. As long days passed beneath the sparkling glaciers, travellers slowed to the pace of the land. Even the most single-minded were then visited by a sense of well-being that often changed their lives. Captured by the unutterable beauty of the Rockies, some never went home. One such traveller, Mary
Schäffer, (see Chapter 10) spoke eloquently but softly of a “wonder trail,” a difficult but rewarding path through the primeval peaks from Lake Louise to Jasper that possessed an extraordinary charm. Before this “wonder trail” became “the wonder road” and, later, the Icefields Parkway, she marked this relatively blank space on the map as one of the most remarkable places on Earth.

Mary Townsend Sharples (pronounced Sharp-less) was born into no small amount of Quaker family wealth in West Chester, Pennsylvania on October 4, 1861. Though thoroughly educated in all the usual skills and graces appropriate to a young lady of her station, Sharples also inherited an intense interest in natural history bequeathed to her by her father, whose passionate interest in mineralogy was cultivated through regular contact with some of the most prominent scientists of the day. Sharples’ interests led to a study of botany that, combined with natural talent in art, later permitted her to contribute significantly to the field identification of North American wildflowers. Taken by the notion of the Wild West, in her mid teens Sharples realized the dream of travelling by train to see the Indians of the American southwest.

A second trip west also included a steamer voyage up the West Coast to Alaska where her desire to acquaint herself with aboriginal cultures seemed to be satisfied. What wasn’t satisfied, however, was what was to become an abiding interest in travel, an obsession that further expressed itself in an extended rail journey from Montreal to Vancouver in the summer of 1889. This journey was inspired by the encouragement of family friend Mary Vaux, who had become interested in the glaciers and deeply carved valleys of the Selkirk Mountains when her family first visited Rogers Pass two summers before. The Vaux family was, in fact, so taken by the Selkirk landscapes they began formal studies of the movement of both the Illecillewaet and Asulkan Glaciers and continued this research on an annual basis after 1894. The enthusiasm of the Vaux family toward Canadian mountains was to infect Mary Sharples, too.

**ALPINE FORGET-ME-NOT**

In terms of climatic conditions, the mountains of the Canadian West act as a southward extension of arctic ecosystems. Many arctic plant species find their way southward along the spine of the Rockies. Alpine Forget-me-not (Myosotis alpestris) is one of the most colourful alpine flower species.
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The mere proximity to these mountains was soon to change the course of her life.

At Glacier House in the summer of 1889, Mary Sharples was introduced to Dr. Charles Schäffer, a physician who had an abiding dedication to botany, which he pursued in the field every summer. Though the good doctor was considerably older than young Mary Sharples, their mutual appreciation for botany made them inseparable companions and led to their marriage and a planned return to the mountains of Canada in the summer of 1891. Their botanical studies of the Rockies and Selkirks continued, with Mary making elegant drawings of the specimens her husband collected, until his death in 1903. Anxious to fill in the void created by his death, Mary decided to continue his botanical work. In exchange for his taking credit for any publications, she was able to convince Stewardson Brown, Curator of the Herbarium of the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia, of the legitimacy of continued fieldwork in the Rockies. Determined to overcome deeply rooted fears of bears and horses, Schäffer arrived in Banff in the summer of 1904 looking for a way to get comfortably into the backcountry. She asked outfitter Tom Wilson if he could supply someone who could toughen her up for the demanding kind of travel that would be necessary to get her where the important botanical work needed to be done. Wilson assigned to her service one William “Billy” Warren, whom Schäffer would soon affectionately name “Chief.”

In the summer of 1905, Mary Schäffer and Billy Warren extended the range of their collecting on a journey with three of Schäffer’s friends northward from Lake Louise to the North Saskatchewan River. One of these women, a geology teacher from Columbia College in New York named Mary “Mollie” Adams, seemed as taken by the Rockies as Schäffer herself. The two became inseparable travellers on some of the most significant exploratory journeys taken along the Great Divide in the next decade. The collecting season of 1905 rendered enough specimens for Stewardson Brown to begin his identification and descriptions of the plants that were later published with Schäffer’s fine watercolour drawings in *Alpine Flora of the Canadian Rocky Mountains* two years later. But even with her husband’s work now complete, Schäffer could not get the Canadian wilderness out of her heart. Having once listened to Sir James Hector describe the wilderness of the north country, she was captured. In the summer of 1906, she and Mollie Adams were back in Banff, this time with far more ambitious plans that included a journey to Wilcox Pass and the fabled Columbia Icefield, exploration of
the Alexandra River, and a trip to Pinto Lake. During this expedition, they named many of the features travellers enjoy today on the Icefields Parkway. Their journey of 1907 was even more ambitious, for that summer they aimed to explore the headwaters of the North Saskatchewan and Athabasca rivers and to look for a big lake known to the Stoney tribe as Chaba Imne. The Stoney’s “Beaver Lake” was reputedly located north of the Brazeau River. Though they did not find the lake, their four-month expedition with Billy Warren and Sid Unwin covered a lot of country. They were now ready for the major “discovery” that would endear them to the living history of Canadian mountains.

Plans for the 1908 expedition included a larger support party and specific plans to find Chaba Imne. The attitude of the travellers were, however, very different than what we might today expect from explorers on the verge of new and unmapped terrain. Schäffer and Adams looked at the journey more as a pleasure trip than an expedition. Both were quite willing to let their paid trail hands receive all the credit for the hard work they committed to making their way through the tough country. They appeared to simply want to enjoy the experience to the fullest. Each fully acknowledged that the fabled lake had been visited often by Native peoples. But when they finally reached the shores of the lake they realized that railway surveyor Henry McLeod had named it “Sorefoot Lake” in response only to his own discomfort, which obviously distracted him completely from the beauty of the scenery.

Even after the railway was completed through the heart of the nearby Athabasca Valley, Maligne Lake could hardly be said to be easily accessible. With Mary Shaffer’s visit in 1911, it became clear to outfitters, horse packers and guides that they could make a seasonal living taking intrepid easterners into the backcountry of the newly created Jasper Forest Reserve. One of the destinations for the truly discerning visitor might be the upper reaches of the Maligne Valley.

The construction of a tote road to Medicine Lake from Jasper was the first step in making Maligne Lake accessible. Adventurous travellers would then travel by boat across Medicine Lake, where they were provided with horses for the final leg of the journey to Maligne Lake. It did not matter that the trip could not be done quickly. It was a slower age then, and visitors were not in such a hurry.

In the century or so since Jasper became a national park, visitation to the Maligne Lake area has increased from a handful of visitors a year to more than 200,000. Fortunately, better understanding of natural processes has accompanied increases in visitation.
When Jasper National Park came into existence, it was thought that simply protecting landscapes from logging and mining would permit natural processes to sustain the wilderness. It is now realized that the park is part of a much larger ecosystem that extends far beyond Jasper’s boundaries – and that distant events can have profound impacts on natural ecological processes inside the park. Within this context, the natural character of the Maligne Valley has been recognized for its true uniqueness. We now know that of all the spectacular places in Canada’s mountain national parks, this is one of the most remarkable. The variety of its amazing natural features, its exotic wildlife, the healthy nature of its intact ecosystems and the long history of human presence in the Maligne Valley could qualify it, in its own right, as a United Nations World Heritage Site. Early travellers were right to marvel about this valley.

It is now the challenge of our generation to give value to the experiences we have in this remarkable place. Though we cannot be the first to visit the valley, we can still feel a measure of the awe and wonder early visitors felt in this most sacred of natural places. We are not pioneers, as James Monroe Thorington noted, but we can journey over old trails that are new to us, with hearts open.
**THE AGE OF ADVENTURE TOURISM**

Even as it was being created, the Canadian National Park system embraced a new notion of wilderness. Mountains became summer sanctuaries to which pilgrims would return again and again for the solace of so much open and often unmapped space. Grand railway hotels offered elegant living in the midst of the staggering beauty of Canada’s suddenly accessible peaks. Edwardian adventurers took full advantage of this unique opportunity to make history while drawing spiritual and physical refreshment from the wilderness. The world was new and bright to them. The winter of misunderstanding mountains was over. The demons and alpine dragons that had populated the evil peaks were gone, leaving only trails bright with wildflowers.

But even in the twentieth century, that most urban of human epochs, there was still a place in the Canadian Rockies for explorers. Though physical toughness and unstinting commitment to the task at hand were useful attributes, you didn’t have to be a mountaineer to open up the remaining blank spaces on the map of mountain Canada.

Among the climbers and explorers of the early twentieth century, the last giants were very much a mixed lot. Pampered socialites, rugged cowboys, Austrian peasants, ambitious clergymen, fur trappers and a diverse host of other unlikely heroes and heroines left deep marks on the then impressionable history of Canadian mountains. Though some major work was to be done in the Purcells, the bulk of the exploration would be done north of the main line of the Canadian Pacific Railway. Through their photographs and, later, their writings they were able to contribute to the unique sense of place that characterized the Canadian alpine as possessing high aesthetic and adventure appeal. Some of these were packers and horse guides like Sid Unwin, Billy Warren and Curly Phillips. Others were often clients of these horsemen who were so deeply affected by the experiences they had in the Canadian West that their lives were changed for good by what they saw and did. Some of the most important of this latter group were young women from prominent families who used the privilege of their family wealth to fashion highly individual lifestyles in a time when women were not expected to rise out of the stations into which they were placed by their families and husbands.
RAILS AND ROADS

One important difference between Jasper National Park and the mountain parks further south is the length of time that passed before the railway began to influence visitor use and appreciation of these natural landscapes. Perhaps due to this there appears at the moment at least to be a better balance between what has been built and what has been saved in Jasper than there is in Banff. Distance from major centres obviously makes a difference, but so do local attitudes toward place.

In the south-central Rockies, the creation of the national parks occurred as a direct and immediate result of the construction of the main line of the Canadian Pacific Railway through the Bow Valley and over Kicking Horse Pass to Rogers Pass. Nearly twenty-five years would pass before a railway would disturb the silence of the Athabasca Valley. During that time, adventurers from all over the world would be attracted to the relative remoteness of Jasper.

Because it was not the first railway in the West, the role the Grand Trunk Pacific played in the creation of Jasper National Park is not as well known as the role the CPR played in creating the tourism image of Banff. The fact remains, however, that what the Grand Trunk Pacific did in the northern Rockies is of considerable national importance. The history of the Grand Trunk Pacific and the birth of Canadian National Railways define history in Jasper.

The histories of the Canadian Pacific and Canadian National Railways are linked. One became the reason for the other. Canadian Pacific Railway only served the southern part of the country closest to the American border. Problems associated with the avalanche-prone route through Rogers Pass, and a desire to provide rail service to the rich agricultural lands north of the Canadian Pacific’s main line, soon prompted consideration of a second transcontinental line. In 1903, the National Transcontinental Railway Act was passed awarding the second national rail route to the Grand Trunk Railway. The tracks would follow the Yellowhead route west from Edmonton up the Athabasca Valley past old Jasper House, and cross the spine of the Rockies at Yellowhead Pass.

With the successful creation of Banff, Yoho and Glacier National Parks as a precedent, the Grand Trunk realized early in its development that it would have to rely on tourism to make ends meet. When the railway began to lay tracks westward, it, too, pressed for the creation of national parks in the stunning scenery of the Rockies. This wish was granted in 1907 when an immense area of five thousand square miles (12,950 square km) bordering on the rail route along the Athabasca
In 1913, the railway pursued developable properties in the small town named Fitzhugh that had come into existence further up the valley during railway construction. Originally named after a railway executive, the town changed its name to Jasper, after the famous fur trader Jasper Hawes for whom Jasper House had been named.

By the end of 1914, the railway was in financial trouble. The Canadian Northern Railway had appeared in the valley and laid down tracks that in places paralleled those of the Grand Trunk Pacific. Perhaps realizing that both railways wouldn’t succeed, the government suddenly encouraged development of hotels on the Miette River and two townsite properties. The troubled railway had no resources with which to build them. When they failed to build on the land they were granted, the Grand Trunk hotel proposals were shelved.

In 1910, arrangements were made to begin to buy out settlers who held property in the new park. Among the last to stay on in the valley was an American homesteader, Lewis Swift, who, with his Métis wife Suzette, lived at what is now called the Palisades. When the park was formed, Swift became one of the park’s first wardens. The area of Jasper Forest Park was reduced by four-fifths in 1911 but increased again through public pressure in 1914.

The huge cost of laying track across the entire continent slowed development of tourist facilities associated with the Grand Trunk Pacific. It was not until March 1911 that railway’s president, Charles M. Hays, requested necessary land for the construction of hotels, restaurants, stables and roads in the park.

River and Yellowhead Pass was set aside as a federal reserve.
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The failure of the Grand Trunk Railway to act upon their grand hotel proposals exacerbated the accommodation shortage already in existence in the new national park. Local railway agents and a few informed businessmen saw the potential to capitalize on increased regional tourism spin-offs brought about by the World’s Fair scheduled to open in San Francisco in the summer of 1915. To be able to capitalize on this one-time event, they were forced to consider alternate forms of accommodation. In the fall of 1914, Robert Kenneth, President of the Edmonton Tent and Mattress Company, pressed railway officials in Edmonton to start looking for the site of a possible tourist tent camp to be located somewhere in Jasper Park. The railway sent H.R. Tilley to Jasper to meet with pioneer outfitters Fred and Jack Brewster and find a site. The Brewsters were long-time residents and experienced mountain men. They took Tilley to their favourite spot on Lac Beauvert, which at that time they called Horseshoe Lake due to its shape. In partnership with the Brewsters, Kenneth set up ten large sleeping tents and a cook tent on the shores of Lac Beauvert. When “Tent City” opened on June 15, 1915, its all-inclusive rates ranged from $2.50 per day to $18 a week. Though it was a huge success, the camp closed at the end of the season and did not open again after World War I, when Jack and Major Fred Brewster purchased Tent City.

Even the earliest visitors to the area realized that the best way to see and appreciate the glories of the upper valley was to take a boat up Maligne Lake. Though access to the lake remained a challenge until a road was completed in 1970, commercial boat tours began operating on Maligne Lake nearly fifty years before. But as more travellers made their way to the lake, the Maligne Valley became famous for other reasons besides just the lake. Because we saved all the pieces, we keep discovering new things about this valley. By exploring Maligne Canyon we have discovered that there is an underground hydrological system beneath the Maligne Valley, of dimensions we do not fully comprehend even today.

**FURTHER DISCOVERIES: THE GRAND CANYON OF THE MALIGNE**

When Father De Smet travelled through what is now Jasper National Park, the only access to the canyon on the Maligne River would have begun where the Maligne and Athabasca rivers meet. If there were a trail at all, then, it would have been a rugged one. It might have taken the better part of a day to bushwhack from the valley floor up to the most spectacular part of the canyon. Now the most popular way to
explore the canyon is to drive right to the deepest part at what is called First Bridge and to walk down-valley to the Sixth Bridge near where the Maligne flows into the Athabasca. Let us now take that journey.

It is a beautiful July morning, clear and warm. We arrive at the canyon just as the sun is cresting the pines at the First Bridge. The coolness from the night still lingers. We are above the main valley of the Athabasca some ten kilometres east of the town of Jasper. There is robin song and the occasional single haunting note of Townsend’s solitaire. Amid the fragrant pines, we smell wet limestone and hear the thunder of cascading water. The rock here is old. It is Devonian in age, meaning that it was laid down as marine sediments somewhere around 400 million years ago. These sediments were uplifted during a surge of western mountain building that created the Continental Divide about 85 million years ago. The mountains were already old when the Maligne River began to widen an already existing fault to begin forming the canyon as the glaciers of the last ice age left the Jasper region about ten thousand years ago.

From the area adjacent to the Maligne Canyon Teahouse, the Maligne River appears to be no larger than a brook. If you look you will notice
falls and its walls expand into a huge stone amphitheatre out of which wells the canyon’s ceaseless song. Wind-blown dust and fine debris from frost shattering have combined to create isolated pockets of soil on ledges in the walls of the deepening canyon. Here in the shade and the spray, mosses grow in lush carpets out of which spring species of ferns that have likely occupied such places for a quarter of the age of the earth. On the canyon’s lip, wild roses grow, adding their delicate fragrance to that of the pines and the scent of dusty spray wafting up from the booming water below.

The big rocks on the far side of the bridge are as worn by people climbing on them as the steps of some old European cathedrals. Yet, while I am standing on the bridge in the warm light, two large tour groups come and go, pausing only long enough to peer into the abyss and wander aimlessly on. I wonder what they are experiencing. It occurs to me that in their hurry they are missing something. Our shadows are that the limestone bedrock dips gently westward and that the stream follows the dip. As it does so, it creates a gentle bank on one side and a steep and deeply undercut bank on the other. Then it disappears. Though you can hear it, you have to look a long way down to find the water. At fifty-five metres, this is the deepest part of the canyon. The spray is lit by the occasional ray of morning light that falls at just the right angle to penetrate the dark chasm of the narrow canyon.

We see a huge waterfall that drops twenty-three metres to the base of the slit. Here we see that the upper layers of the rock that compose the canyon walls are thicker, harder and more resistant to the force of the cascade than those below. The narrow canyon suddenly widens at the falls and its walls expand into a huge stone amphitheatre out of which wells the canyon’s ceaseless song.

Until alternate access to the upper reaches of the Maligne Valley were developed, most visitors saw only the canyon located just above where the Maligne River joins the Athabasca. The canyon is a stunning introduction to the wonders of the region. Photograph by Virginia Penny.
like those the clouds cast on the canyon walls. A place like this canyon needs time to speak to you. How long do you have to spend in nature to begin to hear it? A minute? An hour? A week? Nature speaks in long sentences. We appear before the stage in the midst of a soliloquy a million years long. Even in a lifetime we hear only a few words and are gone.

As the trail nears the Third Bridge, it approaches the canyon again. We discover that the canyon has widened and is less deep. The canyon narrows again just as it approaches the bridge. The Parks Canada sign indicates that the canyon is only ten metres deep at this point. But the river is still roaring below as it cascades down a spray-alive falls and disappears again into a gloom of moss and stunted trees that cling to the crumbling walls of the canyon. The Maligne is intent on making its relentless way down to the valley floor and its union with the Athabasca River just upstream from Jasper Park Lodge.

At the Fourth Bridge the canyon is twelve metres deep and narrow. Here the river makes a sensuous green and white right turn through a knot of birch trees and is joined by a small stream nearly hidden by the moss and the bleached skeletons of fallen pines.

Below the Fourth Bridge there is no one. Here the canyon has only one wall and the trail drops to near the river’s edge. The green water froths around rocks and down stone spillways toward the Fifth Bridge. En route I come upon the first evidence of the underground river that makes the Maligne Valley so remarkable. A stream of water issues from a grotto on the far side of the river. A sign explains that this is one of the larger seepages from Medicine Lake, which is located fifteen kilometres upvalley.

Over time, cracks in the limestone bottom of Medicine Lake have been dissolved and have opened and expanded to create an underground drainage system. Water takes seventy hours to flow through the fifteen-kilometre underground channel from Medicine Lake to where it joins the Maligne just below the Fourth Bridge on the canyon. Water from this same underground network also surfaces at Lac Beauvert and Lake Annette near Jasper Park Lodge. Though this system carries a great deal of water, it is not likely big enough for a man to walk through.

For most visitors to Jasper National Park, Maligne Canyon is all they see of the Maligne Valley. Spectacular as it is, the canyon is only part of a larger wonder that is the seventy-kilometre-long, sixteen-kilometre-wide valley.
THE DISAPPEARING WATERS OF THE MALIGNE VALLEY

One of the most amazing features in the valley, indeed in the whole park, is Medicine Lake. Early visitors to the Maligne Valley noticed that Medicine Lake filled up in the summer and then emptied in the fall and winter. It soon became apparent that the waters in the lake were draining off through an immense undiscovered underground outlet. The Native peoples were impressed enough by the phenomenon to suggest supernatural causes. Many people believe that this is the origin of the lake’s name.

Later residents were also baffled by the disappearing waters. Throughout the 1930s, a park warden named Micky McGuire experimented with ways to determine the location of the outlet. He began by dumping two truckloads of Saturday Evening Post into the sinkholes to determine if they could be blocked. Later he experimented with a truckload of mattresses. Nothing seemed to work. Park officials, concerned with the impact of the disappearing waters on potential fish stocks, went so far as to propose the construction of a dam on the lake. The idea foundered, however, when it was realized that the sinkholes were immense and that a huge amount of fill would be necessary to stop the water from disappearing.

It was not until 1956 that the true extent of the underground river system that drained Medicine Lake began to be understood. In that year a French scientist named Jean Corbel concluded that a sinking river system had probably been created in the Maligne Valley before the last ice age. Corbel’s hypothesis was confirmed in 1965 by research teams from McMaster University. They emptied special dyes into the lake and traced the water from Medicine Lake to the outlet stream that surfaces in Maligne Canyon and to Lac Beauvert and Lake Annette. During high water, the lake drains at a rate of fifteen to forty-two cubic metres per second. The water disappearing from the north end of the lake takes nearly three days to surface again sixteen kilometres away in Maligne Canyon.

The Maligne River has been proclaimed one of the largest known sinking river systems in the western hemisphere. Some scientists have gone so far as to suggest that the valley may contain one of the largest inaccessible cave systems on the planet. But nobody knows for sure. Nobody has found the entrance.

Though unsuccessful to date, the intensive search for the outlet system on Medicine Lake has led to the discovery of other cave systems in the Maligne Valley. Mouse Hole Cave and Log Hole were discovered.
by Mike Goodchild in 1967. Maligne Canyon cave, 373 metres in length, was discovered by Chris Smart of McMaster University in 1974. Located close to the Third Bridge in Maligne Canyon, this “tight and unpleasant cave” features a spring at its entrance in the summer and a thick layer of icicles in winter.

In his classic book on Rocky Mountain caving, caver Jon Rollins also notes how fragile the underground drainage systems can be and how difficult it can be to predict human impacts on these subterranean resources. A recent attempt to drill a well for the Maligne Canyon Tea House resulted in the mixing of sulphur-laden underground waters with the spring waters of the Maligne Valley. Hydrological accidents of this kind can have unpredictable effects on entire underground systems, making it very difficult to predict the impacts of development in areas where the bedrock is water-soluble limestone.

As has already been noted, the jewel of the Maligne Valley is the lake at its head. Maligne Lake is the largest glacier-fed lake in the Canadian Rockies and one of the most impressively beautiful places in all of Canada. Famous for its unique geological features which include the largest icefield in the Front Ranges of the Rockies, the largest known

**MALIGNE LAKE**

Because of their widespread use in tourism promotion, Spirit Island and Maligne Lake are icons of how the rest of the world views Canada. Places like these are the reason Canadians are seen by others as living in one of the most desirable places on the planet.
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active karst drainage system in the western hemisphere, the largest glacier-fed lake in the Rockies and one of the largest gorges in the cordillera, the Maligne Valley meets the criteria for World Heritage Site designation on its own merit. The Maligne Valley and Maligne Lake are natural wonders of global significance. While one could dwell for a lifetime on the hydrological anomalies of the Maligne Valley system, another element of wonder is the natural systems that overlay the geology of the basin.

MALIGNE LAKE AND THE BIODIVERSITY OF THE MALIGNE VALLEY

The ecological systems found on the surface of the Maligne Valley are just as complex as the subterranean systems below. The biodiversity of the Maligne Valley can be broken down into four distinct regimes. The first is the aquatic ecosystem of Maligne Lake itself. Even to this day, not much is known about the natural systems that support life in the lake. We know that Maligne Lake can be found at an altitude of 1,676 metres. We also know that, at twenty-two kilometres, it is the longest lake in the Canadian Rockies. We know that the lake lies in a glacially carved sedimentary rock basin and that the length and depth of the lake have been extended by a natural rockslide dam at its northern end. We also know that at its deepest point, Maligne Lake is ninety-seven metres deep, making it the third deepest lake in the Canadian Rockies. There is not a great deal more we know about it. Cold and deep, this lake has historically been inhospitable to life.

Although it is the largest body of water in the Canadian Rockies, fish are not native to Maligne Lake. The towering waterfalls and turbulent waters of Maligne Canyon proved too great an obstacle for fish that re-colonized the rivers of the Rockies at the end of the last ice age. Fish did not become a part of the aquatic ecosystem of Maligne Lake until the lake was stocked with brook trout in 1928. The idea at the time was to have only one species of fish in the fragile Maligne watershed. Later rainbow trout were introduced without authorization. These more aggressive trout have come to dominate the aquatic ecosystems of both the lake and the river. Though Maligne Lake has become famous for its fishing, stocking no longer occurs and the populations of trout in both the lake and the river are stabilizing around natural conditions and food supply.

Some sixty-seven species of resident or breeding birds and more than fifty species of migrants and accidentals can be found in the Maligne Valley. Many of these, including the stunningly beautiful Harlequin
duck, can be found in the shoreline ecosystem that surrounds the lake and along the banks of the Maligne River. The moist shoreline of the lake and river banks provide habitat for shrubs and plants that harbour a huge range of insect species which are the preferred food of wading birds and ducks like the goldeneye, bufflehead and mallard. Loons are also found on the lake, as are bald eagles and ospreys.

Spirit Island is part of this shoreline ecosystem. Formerly known simply as Sampson Narrows or The Narrows, Spirit Island was named by renowned Jasper photographer Harry Rowed. During the 1960s, Rowed canoed frequently to the Narrows where his spirit was moved by the islet and the grand backdrop of peaks that rose up around it. He named the tiny islet after that spirit. His stunning photographs of the islet were soon used to promote Maligne Lake in Canada and abroad, and the islet is now known as Spirit Island.

The valley ecosystem of the Maligne area is composed mainly of forests of lodgepole pine, Engelmann Spruce and subalpine fir. This ecosystem is similar to forest ecosystems everywhere in this region of the Rockies with the exception of one key inhabitant. These forests, and the meadows of the alpine ecosystem above it, are the home of one of the most rare and most spectacular of all the creatures that exist in the Canadian West, the mountain caribou.

THE MOUNTAIN CARIBOU

Elk, deer and moose are common in Jasper National Park and are often seen in the Maligne Valley. Caribou, however, are rare. Creatures of the arctic tundra, the mountain caribou that exist in the Maligne Valley and in the neighbouring White Goat Wilderness are a remnant of what must have been large herds of caribou that moved into this area of the Rockies as the glaciers retreated twelve thousand years ago. At that time, treeline was much lower and much of the Canadian Rockies would have resembled habitat caribou occupied in the arctic.

You can often see mountain caribou on the alluvial fan at the head of Medicine Lake in the spring. Later in the summer they are up high in the alpine meadows along the Skyline Trail and in the area surrounding Maligne Pass. Mountain caribou are about the size of a mule deer but are more heavily built. Adult bulls weigh up to 120 kilograms. They are distinguishable from deer by way of a number of interesting features. Caribou are the only members of the deer family in which females have antlers. The antlers of the male are like nothing else on the planet.
If you look at a Canadian twenty-five-cent piece, you can see that the male caribou has a “shovel” that extends forward from the main rack. Another distinguishing feature of the caribou is its colour. Caribou are a dingy brown in summer with uneven patches of white on the rump, belly, backs of the legs and the tip of the nose. They generally become lighter in colour in winter.

Perhaps the most remarkable feature of the caribou is its feet. The hooves are not sharp like those of a deer. They are splayed and huge. The dinner plate-sized hooves of the adult caribou allow it to travel over deep snow and to travel into regions of the mountains that its main predator, the wolf, will not go.

The mountain caribou is under threat in the Rocky Mountains. As they are creatures of the tundra, they do not compete well with elk, deer and moose. They may well have become extinct in the Maligne area were it not for the fact that they specialize in eating lichens. Lichens are crusty combinations of algae and fungi that live on bare rock and on the dead branches of trees. Caribou are the only animals that can eat and survive on tree lichens. Lichens, however, are most common in old-growth forests. In much of the Rockies, fires and logging are gradually destroying old-growth habitat. Caribou populations even inside Jasper National Park have been declining since the early 1960s. Only 175 to 200 caribou were estimated to be in the Jasper National Park / White Goat Wilderness area in 1988, less than half of the total of 450 that were estimated to be in the region between 1961 and 1973. Even the
most ambitious studies have not determined clearly the reasons for this decline. The study indicated that the major cause of caribou mortality was wolf predation. But caribou have always been hunted by wolves. The amount of predation did not explain the overall mortality rates.

It is likely that the decline of the caribou is being caused by a combination of environmental factors. Deep snow cover and the physical condition of the caribou themselves may be contributing to higher mortality. Diminishing natural habitat and climate change have also been cited as contributing causes. So has the caribou’s intolerance of human development and presence, and expanding recreational snowmobile use outside of the park. Natural population cycles undoubtedly play a role. We think we know why they are disappearing. We know that the light is going out on this species in the Rockies, but at the moment at least, we appear helpless to do anything about it. The forces that are responsible for the diminishment in population and the potential loss of this species operate largely outside the boundaries of Jasper National Park. While the local culture inside Jasper is closely connected to and highly respectful of the landscapes and ecosystems that make this northern community so worth visiting, we are learning that even a big park like Jasper may not be large enough to perpetuate all the interactions required to sustain a species like the mountain caribou.

Over time and through considerable effort, we have been able to save a great number of species and species interactions in the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Hopefully we will be able to stop and reverse the diminishment of loss of species and habitats that has been the hallmark of human presence on this planet since the Pleistocene, and that the reversal will take place in Jasper National Park.

The reasons for this are apparent if you have time to travel in Jasper’s remote backcountry. The Maligne Valley also offers access to one of the three great backcountry trails that make Jasper one of the most famous wildernesses in North America. It is possible to walk from the Maligne Lake road to Nigel Pass trailhead below Parker Ridge in Banff National Park. The distance is 165 kilometres. It is possible to walk from Maligne Lake back to the town of Jasper over the stunning Skyline Trail. Some twenty-five of the forty-four kilometres of this trail are at or above timberline, making it the highest trail in Jasper National Park. From the Skyline one can see in the distance the high peaks that ring the Tonquin Valley, one of the most beautiful places in all of Canada’s national park system.
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All of Jasper National Park is amazing; including many of the day hikes, it offers the grand prize in wilderness travel in Jasper in the North Boundary Trail. This 179-kilometre trail begins at Celestine Lake, thirty-six kilometres north of Jasper, and ends in Mount Robson Provincial Park. The hiking options on this trail are endless. Blue Creek is one of the most interesting side trips that hikers can take on the North Boundary Trail. It allows access into the adjacent Willmore Wilderness in Alberta. Created in 1959, Willmore Wilderness Park protects 4,600 square kilometres of stunning foothill and mountain terrain. It also protects traditional packtrails that were used by Aboriginal peoples, trappers, guides and outfitters. The Wilmore is unique in that long-standing hunting and guiding traditions are still sustainably maintained within protected area boundaries. The management of the Willmore is informed by a strong local culture that holds that people should never consider themselves separate from place, a view that is changing the way we manage protected areas elsewhere in the Rockies.
THE WEST SLOPE

Flowing Toward the Pacific
The Roof of the Canadian Rockies
Mount Robson Provincial Park

Located a hundred kilometres west of Jasper, Mount Robson Provincial Park is the second oldest of British Columbia’s parks. At 3,954 metres, Mount Robson is the highest peak in the Canadian Rockies. The mountain is so big it creates its own weather. When it is not in cloud, it dominates the skyline.

Mount Robson Provincial Park provides everything from developed, vehicle-accessible camping to remote valleys that seldom see a human footprint. More importantly, it also protects the headwaters of the Fraser River, one of the great salmon rivers of the West and one of the few major rivers in southern Canada that has not been dammed.

Flora and fauna are typical of the wetter western-slope climate, with trees like cedar and hemlock. The park also exhibits a great deal of vertical diversity. On some trails one is able to travel between three different vegetation zones during a day hike. Over 182 species of birds have been recorded in the park. All wildlife indigenous in the Rocky Mountain can be found here. Mule and whitetail deer, moose, elk and black bears are found through the lower valleys of this 2,170-square-kilometre protected area. Higher-elevation species include the grizzly bear, mountain goat, bighorn sheep and, for the moment at least, the mountain caribou.

TAKAKKAW FALLS, WILD IN THE WIND
This waterfall in Yoho National Park is one of many waterways that flow to the Pacific. In the language of the Stoney peoples, Takakkaw is an exclamation of wonderment. At more than 400 metres, Takakkaw is the tallest waterfall in the Canadian Rockies. It is also one of the features in the Rocky Mountains that may be most diminished by the effects of climate change.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
Mount Robson Provincial Park is Donald “Curly” Phillips who came from Ontario to live in the Rockies in 1908. The legend of Phillips begins with an odd association with an eccentric mountaineer who became the centre of a decades-long debate over who made the first ascent of Mount Robson.

George Rex Boyer Kinney was born in New Brunswick in 1872. Like his father, Kinney joined the clergy, and during temporary postings in Banff and Field he developed an interest in geology and the mountains. In 1900 he received a permanent posting in James Bay, near Victoria, B.C., and began mountaineering during his long summer vacations.

In 1907 he accompanied the brothers Arthur and Lucius Coleman to Mount Robson. The expedition was largely unsuccessful. The party, unfortunately, arrived very late in the season and was driven from the flanks of the mountain by heavy snows. Despite these hardships, Arthur Coleman made plans to return with Kinney the next year, but with an experienced outfitter and guide. The 1908 expedition set out from the Yates ranch on the west side on Lac Ste. Anne near Edmonton on August 4 and camped at the foot of the Robson Glacier on August 28. In the three weeks they spent in the cold shadow of Mount Robson, only twice were there two days in succession when the weather was good enough for climbing. But even when the weather was good, the mountain was in no condition to be climbed. In the face of these horrendous conditions, only Reverend Kinney seemed anxious to apply his energies to the

The human history of the park area is as interesting as its geography. Most of that history is associated with attempts to reach the daunting summit of Mount Robson. The value in looking at that history resides in the knowledge it presents of what altitude does to landscape, especially in more northerly latitudes in Canada. A vertical kilometre or two above our heads, it is possible to experience a completely different planet than the one we take for granted living in the valley floor. Mount Robson provides an object lesson in understanding the ecology of cold that defines the identity of those who live in the mountain West.

One of the most celebrated figures in the history of Jasper National Park and adjacent Mount Robson Provincial Park is Donald “Curly” Phillips who came from Ontario to live in the Rockies in 1908. The legend of Phillips begins with an odd association with an eccentric mountaineer who became the centre of a decades-long debate over who made the first ascent of Mount Robson.
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For Kinney, the summit of the highest peak in the Rockies was becoming an obsession. Kinney set out alone. Bad weather ensued. The snow-squalls became fierce tempests that nearly swept him from his footing and hid everything above, so that advance was impossible. Finally, in a howling blizzard at a point well above 10,000 feet (3,048 m) as shown by an aneroid barometer he carried, he decided that to go farther would be madness, and turned back.

Over the winter of 1908–1909, Kinney made plans to climb the mountain without the Colemans. At the same time he received word that a substantial “foreign expedition” composed of Europeans had set its sights on Mount Robson and were planning to attempt the first ascent as soon as the Alpine Club of Canada camp was completed at Lake O’Hara in the summer of 1909. A.O. Wheeler had invited to the camp some very competent members of the British Alpine Club: Arnold Mumm, scion of the famous family of Champagne makers, Leopold Amery, a Member of the British Parliament, and climber Geoffrey Hastings. Hastings had also brought along a friend, A.G. Priestly, and Mumm had furnished

AT BERG LAKE
Because it is so close and so big, Mount Robson is difficult to photograph from Berg Lake. For those who walk the twenty or so kilometres in to the lake, the biggest reward is to simply contemplate the glory. This image suggests why many early travellers maintained that it was impossible to go to Mount Robson and not come back changed. Photograph by Vi Sandford.
the mountain even before the summer’s heat made it ready to climb. Kinney immediately contacted outfitter John Yates, suggesting an early June departure. When he arrived in Edmonton he was met by a letter from Yates in which the outfitter refused to go owing to the heavy snows that lingered on from the previous winter. Kinney continued on alone. Yates was contracted by the Mumm-Amery party three weeks later.

After a difficult journey, Kinney arrived at the home of John Moberly seven miles (eleven kilometres) above Jasper Lake on July 11th, and there met the young local trapper and guide named “Curly” Phillips. For Phillips, it was a fateful meeting. For Kinney, Phillips was a godsend.

Phillips was born in the township of Dorset, Ontario on April 15, 1884. His father was an accomplished woodsman and taught his son the skills of hunting, trapping, fishing and canoeing. In 1908 Phillips and a friend decided on an adventure in the west. Phillips arrived in Field, where he found a job working on the construction of the Spiral Tunnels on the Big Hill leading up to Kicking Horse Pass. For a man used to being outdoors, the wet confinement and the danger of working in a tunnel were unbearable. It didn’t take long for Phillips to decide the work wasn’t for him.

After discussing guiding possibilities with Jim Brewster in Banff, Phillips decided that the Bow Valley was already too crowded for his liking. He made his way to Jasper and found the last real vision in southern Canada of truly expansive and still extant wilderness. After working briefly on the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway, Phillips bought a small string of horses and set out with limited mountain experience to become a guide and outfitter in a land he barely knew. It was this inexperience, and his strong need to prove himself by gaining a paying

**MOUNTAIN GOAT**
The mountain goat is a perfect symbol of Mount Robson Provincial Park. They are tough creatures that have adapted over millions of years to brutal cold and long winters. They live at altitudes and in conditions that no other animal would survive. They are also outstanding mountain climbers.

*Photograph by R.W. Sandford.*

Kinney immediately contacted outfitter John Yates, suggesting an early June departure. When he arrived in Edmonton he was met by a letter from Yates in which the outfitter refused to go owing to the heavy snows that lingered on from the previous winter. Kinney continued on alone. Yates was contracted by the Mumm-Amery party three weeks later.

After a difficult journey, Kinney arrived at the home of John Moberly seven miles (eleven kilometres) above Jasper Lake on July 11th, and there met the young local trapper and guide named “Curly” Phillips. For Phillips, it was a fateful meeting. For Kinney, Phillips was a godsend.

Phillips was born in the township of Dorset, Ontario on April 15, 1884. His father was an accomplished woodsman and taught his son the skills of hunting, trapping, fishing and canoeing. In 1908 Phillips and a friend decided on an adventure in the west. Phillips arrived in Field, where he found a job working on the construction of the Spiral Tunnels on the Big Hill leading up to Kicking Horse Pass. For a man used to being outdoors, the wet confinement and the danger of working in a tunnel were unbearable. It didn’t take long for Phillips to decide the work wasn’t for him.

After discussing guiding possibilities with Jim Brewster in Banff, Phillips decided that the Bow Valley was already too crowded for his liking. He made his way to Jasper and found the last real vision in southern Canada of truly expansive and still extant wilderness. After working briefly on the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway, Phillips bought a small string of horses and set out with limited mountain experience to become a guide and outfitter in a land he barely knew. It was this inexperience, and his strong need to prove himself by gaining a paying
client, that George Kinney was able to exploit and thus entice Phillips into joining his dangerous adventure. An experienced guide and outfitter might have pronounced Kinney mad but Phillips went blindly and enthusiastically along for the ride.

The journey to Mount Robson from Jasper did not go particularly well for Kinney and Phillips. It appears there was confusion over how much food each actually had to contribute to the expedition and it soon became obvious that lean times were ahead for the adventurers. In his obsession with reaching the mountain, Kinney gave little regard to the organization of his camp and the requirement for supplies.

On July 25, 1909, Kinney and Phillips made their first reconnaissance on the mountain and established Camp High Up. The next morning they started for the summit but were repelled by avalanches. They camped again at Camp High Up on the night of July 28 and the next morning went again for the summit, this time ultimately reaching an unclimbable rock face at 11,000 feet (3,353 m). Avoiding this obstacle took considerable time and the party was forced to retreat from the 12,000 foot (3,658 m) level when night fell. On their descent, they discovered that the gullies they ascended were now dripping with water and that the steps they had cut into the ice slopes had melted away. It appeared to them that the entire face upon which they had been climbing was avalanching away. Then, as so often happens in mountaineering, bad weather arrived.

It stormed for days. On August 9, a third attempt on the mountain was turned back by falling snow. It wasn’t until August 12 that the weather cleared and the two climbers could look up from their steady and monotonous diet of birds and marmot meat to see the summit of Mount Robson. They made their way above their previous camps to 10,500 feet (3,200 m) and bivouacked on a few flat slate stones from which they had chipped away the ice.

Friday the 13th dawned cold and clear but with what appeared to be storm clouds gathering in the south. Using their blankets to shield them from the wind, Kinney and Phillips made a small fire with a handful of sticks to boil a can of stew. After the meagre meal they started up the west side of the upper peak. The snow was good and the rockwork, though very steep, offered little resistance to their advance. Working their way south they crossed several ridges, stopping finally at two long cliffs that formed horizontal ramparts around the entire peak. As they overcame this obstacle, the summit became obscured by cloud. Kinney was near the end of what he could endure:
For a moment I stood silent, and then turning to my companion said: “Curly! my heart is broken.” For a storm on the peak meant avalanches on that fearful slope, and there would be no escaping them, so I thought we would have to turn back, and our provisions were now so low that we would not have enough to make another two-day trip on the mountain. It meant that this was our last chance; but to my surprise, it did not snow much, the clouds being mostly dense mist. In a few minutes I said, “Let us make a rush for the little peak,” meaning the north edge of the peak which was directly above us. “All right,” says Curly, from whom I never heard a word of discouragement, and away we started, keeping to the hard snow slopes.¹

They climbed the second of the long rampart of cliffs that form black threads across the white of the peak, and swinging again to the south made their move for the highest point of the mountain. They spent hours on the broken cliffs, standing erect in their footholes on the near vertical faces below the summit.

Within five hundred feet (152 m) of the summit, the climbers encountered the famous overhanging ice that plagues every mountaineer who climbs this far on this route on Mount Robson. They encountered the famous hoar towers where the almost perpetual wind had formed bizarre and alien cornices of dry and crystalline snow. Floundering at last through the treacherous cornices, Kinney thought he could at last claim victory over the white, eternal snows. And now the most remarkable tale of them all:

I was astonished to find myself looking into a gulf right before me. Telling Phillips to anchor himself well, for he was still below me, I struck the edge of the snow with the staff of my ice axe and it cut in to my very feet, and through that little gap, that I had made in the cornice, I was looking down a sheer wall of precipice that reached to the glacier at the foot of Berg Lake, thousands of feet below. I was on a needle peak that rose so abruptly that even cornices cannot build out very far on it. Bearing my head I said, “In the name of Almighty God, by whose strength I have climbed here, I capture this peak, Mount Robson, for my own country, and for the Alpine Club of Canada.”²

It took them seven hours to descend to their high camp and three hours more in the gathering storm to make their way into the valley. On their return they met the Mumm-Amery expedition at Jasper Lake and announced they had climbed the peak by way of the rocks on the northwest side of the mountain. In his report to the Alpine Club of Canada published in The Canadian Alpine Journal in 1910, Amery heartily congratulated Kinney for his stubborn determination and “remarkable pluck.” He added also that a Canadian ascent of the mountain removed the “American peril,” implying it was much better for an
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Their challenge, for who was to doubt the word of a fellow climber who also happened to be a clergyman. Nonetheless, A.O. Wheeler doubted Kinney’s story enough to send another team to Mount Robson, an action sufficient to cause Kinney to withdraw from the Club and from active engagement with contemporary mountaineering circles.

Four years later, at an Alpine Club camp at Mount Robson in 1913, Curly Phillips let the cat out of the bag by telling an Austrian guide that he and Kinney had fallen slightly short of the goal. He admitted that they had reached in the midst of the storm an “ice dome fifty or sixty feet high” which they had taken to be the peak but was not the actual summit. The danger had been too great to climb the dome. Curly Phillips was not a mountaineer, so a few feet of rock off the top of the

Englishman to be the first on the top of the highest mountain in the Canadian Rockies than for an American to usurp the honour. In his official report on the climb published in the same 1910 Canadian Alpine Journal, Kinney made no mention of the fact he, too, had dearly wanted to scoop the first ascent away from the “marauding foreigners” who never made it near the summit. In addition Kinney claimed that during the twenty days at Camp Robson, they had captured four virgin peaks besides Mount Robson, and that they had made twenty-three big climbs of adjacent but lesser mountains.

It was not long, however, before Kinney’s claim to the summit of Mount Robson was being debated in serious mountaineering circles. For some, Kinney’s story just didn’t add up to a successful ascent. Members of the Alpine Club of Canada were discreet in their challenge, for who was to doubt the word of a fellow climber who also happened to be a clergyman. Nonetheless, A.O. Wheeler doubted Kinney’s story enough to send another team to Mount Robson, an action sufficient to cause Kinney to withdraw from the Club and from active engagement with contemporary mountaineering circles.

Four years later, at an Alpine Club camp at Mount Robson in 1913, Curly Phillips let the cat out of the bag by telling an Austrian guide that he and Kinney had fallen slightly short of the goal. He admitted that they had reached in the midst of the storm an “ice dome fifty or sixty feet high” which they had taken to be the peak but was not the actual summit. The danger had been too great to climb the dome. Curly Phillips was not a mountaineer, so a few feet of rock off the top of the
mountain meant little to the overall scheme of his involvement in the climb. Furthermore, no one had ever asked him if the summit had actually been made, so, true to cowboy custom, he said nothing.

“GENTLEMEN, THAT’S AS FAR AS I CAN TAKE YOU.”

The Alpine Club of Canada camp was held at Robson Pass and began on July 28, 1913. Sixty-five climbers attended. Among them were some famous mountaineers. A.L. Mumm was there with his personal guide, Moritz Inderbinen of Zermatt. Professor Charles Fay and Charles S. Thompson represented the American Alpine Club. Albert “Mac” MacCarthy was present; so were B.S. Darling and William Foster, the Deputy Minister responsible for Public Works for the Province of British Columbia. A number of soon-to-be famous female climbers and explorers were also present at the camp, including Caroline Hinman and Mary Jobe Akeley. Alpine Club of Canada secretary Elizabeth Parker was also there with her daughter Jean. Curly Phillips was there as outfitter. The way was now open for a first ascent of the mountain and Conrad Kain was certain he would lead it.

Kain’s plan was to achieve the southeast ridge of the mountain by way of the rock to the left of the hanging glacier that falls down the face to the Dome snowfield. This plan was predicated on Kain’s ability to get his two charges, William Foster and Mac MacCarthy, over the bergschrund that separated the glacier from the rock wall above it.

By noon they crested the ridge, the first serious obstacle behind them. Following the ridge, they confronted the shoulder, a series of steep ice walls fifteen to twenty metres high. Beyond these the climbers got their first glimpse of the summit. From the shoulder to the peak the route was not so dangerous. Complications, however, arose from walls of weirdly patterned snow as they approached the summit. Some of the walls were twenty metres high and composed of snow that crumbled at the touch. Kain, in all the years of his climbing, had never seen such formations as these. The unusual snow conditions made it difficult to climb from one snow-covered rock terrace to the next. Eventually more ice steps had to be cut to make a route over a particularly steep place near the top of the terraces. At about 5:30 p.m. they came at last to the short, steep snow slope leading to the apex of the Rockies. The summit was a snow-ridge. Kain turned to his exhausted companions and said, “Gentlemen, that’s so far as I can take you.” Mount Robson had at last been climbed.
After ten minutes, the climber’s teeth began to clatter and their wet rope and clothes began to harden in the bitter wind; they began their long descent. As is always the case in mountaineering, the summit is only halfway and a disproportionate number of accidents and deaths occur on the descents of difficult peaks. In the case of Mount Robson, the story of Kain’s descent has almost been forgotten but it was a long and dangerous one. The climbers didn’t reach camp until 5:00 p.m. the next day. Kain concluded the episode with these remarks, as true today as they were nearly a century ago:

*In all my mountaineering in various countries, I have climbed only a few mountains that were hemmed in with more difficulties. Mount Robson is one of the most dangerous expeditions I have made.*

Thus ended the controversy over Reverend Kinney’s claim on the first ascent of the highest peak in the Rockies. Kain, however, argued until his death that Kinney and Phillips deserved more credit than he in that they had been only two with a single rope between them. He pointed out that the railway did not reach so far in 1909 and the pair had overcome obstacles that did not confront those who attended the historical Alpine Club camp of 1913. Kain has a point. With each passing generation, more climbers make the summit of Mount Robson, each walking in the very steps of those who made the ascent before them. But somehow the experience remains completely undiminished. It is still just as wild and dangerous on and around Mount Robson as it has ever been and just as magical. Even the most casual visitor to Mount Robson can share in this sublimity. All one has to do is look up.

In Mount Robson Provincial Park there are a lot of spectacular places to look up from. A twenty-kilometre trail begins at the Robson River Parking Area just off the Yellowhead Highway. This trail offers the traveller as much or as little as they have the time and strength to commit to their visit. In less than an hour, one can walk to beautiful Kinney Lake. If you have three hours you can walk to Emperor Falls. If you are prepared...
to backpack you can walk to Berg Lake below the towering Mount Robson in less than a day. From Berg Lake you can walk all the way back to Jasper via the North Boundary Trail. And everywhere you look there will be water or evidence of what water is and does in the headwaters of the mountain West.

Few are those who come back from such places unchanged. Local culture was defined initially in the mountain West by what experience of the peaks does to human sense of time and timelessness. Today, however, the focus of experience is gravitating toward ecosystem understanding that revolves around concerns related to how global warming will affect the high altitudes and latitudes of the world. Because they are largely defined by the alpine tundra zones that compose them, parks like Mount Assiniboine figure largely in these concerns.

MARMOTS IN THE ROCKIES: CLIMATE CHANGE EFFECTS ON NATURAL ECOSYSTEM FUNCTION

In 2009 a new book appeared on the subject of what was happening to nature in a warming world. Written by a highly respected American scientist named Anthony Barnosky, Heatstroke is of particular interest to those with a passion for the natural history of the mountain West due to Barnosky’s extraordinarily revealing research on climate change impacts on marmots and other high-altitude species that are common also in Mount Robson Provincial Park, and in the Canadian Rockies.

Barnosky holds that, because the species has survived many earlier changes in climate, alpine species such as the marmot are climate-change bellwethers. Fossil evidence gathered by Barnosky suggests that marmots have been part of North American mountain ecosystems for close to a million years. He observes that if there was any species that ought to be adaptable enough to persevere through climate change events it should be the marmot.

Marmots emerge from hibernation sometime in the spring, usually in April or May, just as the fat reserves laid down during the previous summer are exhausted. The environmental cue for them to come out of hibernation and leave their burrows is air temperature, which in ideal circumstances has been melting the snow outside the marmot’s burrow while at the same time stimulating the growth of fresh new shoots of plants marmots thrive upon.

Barnosky’s research in Colorado indicates that in 1999, marmots in Colorado were emerging from their burrows about twenty-three days
The delicately tuned evolutionary mechanism that has been genetically coded into the climate-control survival strategy of marmots – a mechanism that has allowed them to survive recurring ice ages and warming periods in the past – is under siege. Due to changing climate, the environmental circumstances marmots are presently experiencing are different from what they have had to face in the past. Mountains are ecological islands. To keep pace with change, marmots have no place to go but up. Some ecologists fear that marmots are going to be forced upward and northward into extinction.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
faster than the species that occupy them can adapt. Polar bears are on their way out. Possibly that is why the polar bear female did not mate with a male of her same species. Grizzlies are advancing poleward. This kind of phenomenon isn’t just happening in the north. Ecologists are currently witnessing an inexorable march of species in all directions, but principally away from the equator or upward in elevation, as species race to track the shifting climates they require for life.

Barnosky notes that in the geological past, tracking necessary habitat through changing climates wasn’t as much of a problem as it is today. What is different today is that climate change is occurring at a rate faster than it ever has during the evolution of living species and ecosystems. Where species are already confined to protected nature reserves – which is exactly the case with many of our most charismatic species including grizzly bears, pandas, lions, tigers, elephants and all but one of the great apes – changing climate invariably means they would have to leave the bounds of the nature preserve or national park in which they are protected in order to follow their habitat to where climate change is moving it. This, however, would mean moving into surrounding areas where potential habitats have already been destroyed, or where conflict with people would mean their rapid demise. This is no small point. Because there is no longer supporting habitat adjacent to these areas, the species protected within them are now faced with almost certain

---

PIKA

The pika, also known as a rock-rabbit, is active all year round. In this way, it is unlike the marmot, which hibernates during the winter. The pika is increasingly seen as an indicator of how climate change may be effecting the established ranges of many arctic and alpine species in the Rocky Mountains. Both marmots and pikas can only survive within very limited ranges of temperature, which are being exceeded more often as a result of generally warmer temperatures.
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extinction. In other words, climate change has undermined the purpose and function on our entire global protected places strategy.

Barnosky points out that the rate of change is a serious matter. The “new” climate emerging out of anthropogenic change may be very different than anything that has ever been experienced before by species alive today. By 2050, for example, it is likely to be hotter than at any other time in the history of *Homo sapiens*. Many climate change scenarios suggest that by 2100 it may be hotter on Earth than has ever been experienced by any current mammal or bird species. Our climate is spinning out of equilibrium and there is no going back.

Barnosky fears that with the off-the-scale warming that is currently occurring, we are crossing known ecological thresholds as well as invisible environmental and social thresholds we did not know existed. It will not just be species that disappear but the niches these species once occupied. Gone also will be the interactions between species that filled once-existent niches. What many ecologists fear is that what may very well disappear is nature’s fundamental capacity to continue its trial and error mechanism of ecosystem construction and restoration. As Barnosky points out, we are losing critical ingredients in life’s recipe, critical parts of the ecological machine. We do not know how to restore biodiversity when it is gone. Species by disappearing species we are heading toward decline of planetary life-support function.

Barnosky observes that mountains are becoming climate change refuges for humans as much as for other species. Current species associations, however, can still be expected to disappear even in the Rocky Mountains. At the same time we should expect that species like the pine bark beetle and blister rust will move quickly into the abandoned landscapes and new ecological niches warming will create. We may not be able to reintroduce species lost to climate change because their habitats may have been altered beyond these species’ capacity to survive in them. This will mark the end of familiar conservation philosophies and strategies. It may also mark the end of our relationship with nature, as we have known it since time immemorial.

In conclusion Barnosky confirms what so many have feared. Our problems are multiplying faster that we can address them. When we focus on one problem, such as climate change, we discover it is actually several problems rolled into one and all of them are converging upon us at the same time in a single generation. Add climate change to relentless human population growth, the introduction of species to where they
don’t belong, and habitat fragmentation, and you get a recipe for extinction.

As our house of cards collapses, one question leads to another. At what point will the reality of what we have done to the world converge upon us? How much intervention are we prepared to undertake; how much can we afford to do before we have to let the animals out of the zoo? When will ecological necessities start to drive our management strategies? When will protecting ecosystem service provision trump saving particular species and wilderness values?

Looking up at Mount Robson we are reminded we are not the centre as we once thought. Nature will continue no matter what we do. It is not likely that nature will turn against us, but what we are turning nature into might. The changes we are affecting will not alter the fact of life, but they could very well and very quickly make the planet largely uninhabitable, not just for the species trapped in our national parks and wildlife reserves, but also for us.

In order to sustain our civilization, we need a new ethic upon which to base the way we live. In the creation of that ethic we more than ever need wilderness, or whatever is left of it, as a baseline. We need to ask ourselves two questions right now. The first question is this: how can we protect species whose last stronghold in protected areas is threatened by climate change? The second question is this one: What are we trying to keep whole in ecologically protected areas?

The ever-hopeful Professor Barnosky believes we can still do it all. Citing an emerging scientific field called reconciliation ecology, which involves respecting urban and agricultural ecosystems as part of a planetary ecological whole, Barnosky believes we can simultaneously sustain global ecosystem integrity, save individual species, and ensure the perpetuation of wilderness. He provides a mantra that should become familiar to anyone working in biodiversity protection in the mountains of the North American West: keep, connect and create. That is as good a place as any to begin. And that is exactly what we are trying to do in the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site.
Prior to its designation as a provincial park – and later with its inclusion as part of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site – Hamber was largely considered coterminous with both Jasper and Mount Robson. Many early travellers would be surprised to see it possess separate designation, as its history is inseparable from that of its neighbouring reserves. Hamber is part of what was historically considered “the great north,” a wilderness that extended – and still extends – unbroken from Lake Louise to the main line of the Canadian National Railway through Yellowhead Pass. That it exists at all is something of a miracle and an important lesson to those who might take the protected status of our national and provincial parks and World Heritage Sites for granted.

Hamber Provincial Park was named to honour the late Eric W. Hamber, the distinguished Lieutenant-Governor of B.C. from 1936 to 1941. In addition to stunning peaks and deeply cut valleys, its main feature is ten-kilometre-long Fortress Lake. When the original Hamber Park was created in 1941, it encompassed some 1,009,112 hectares. Within this protected area were amazing features like Mount Sir Sandford, the highest peak in the Selkirk Range; the Cummins Lakes and the stunning waterfalls that connect them; and giant Mount Clemenceau.
and the immense ice that flows from its shoulders. Unfortunately, commercial logging interests wanted the valuable West Slope timber and pressed hard for twenty years to have parts of the park removed from protected status. In response to the logging lobby and to pressures linked to hydro-electric interests associated with the Columbia River Treaty with the United States, the B.C. government reduced the size of Hamber in 1961 and 1962 to its current area of 24,518 hectares, a loss of almost 98 percent of its original area. The Hamber example makes it very clear that because a park or special place is protected by legislation today does not mean it will continue to have that status in the future. Protection of special places in Canada occurs at the whim of political leaders and at the pleasure of the people.

Today Hamber Provincial Park is a small, remote but still very special wilderness area with limited facilities. Even though a fly-in fishing camp is allowed to operate in the summer, the park only sees four to five hundred visitors annually. Over the past few years, British Columbia Parks has been rehabilitating impacts of the past use at Fortress Lake. Their website will tell you that there is now a rustic campground and toilet at the east end of the lake and an air-accessed commercial fishing camp on Chisel Creek Fan, midway down the lake’s south shore. Though several traditional campsites are identifiable along the north shore, there are no other developed facilities. An old trail to access these sites is in the process of being re-opened from the east end. At present, the easiest access to lakeshore features is by renting a boat from the fishing camp. As no other trails exist, Washout Creek, which enters the lake midway along the north shore of the lake, provides the only open access to big alpine scenery.

From this description it doesn’t sound like much. Nothing could be more deceiving. Fortress Lake is one of the most spectacular places in the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. It also shares a remarkable history with its West Slope sister, Mount Robson Provincial Park, and with adjacent Jasper National Park, which demonstrates the almost artificial or at least arbitrary nature of these separate boundaries and jurisdictions. The shared history of these remarkable landscapes revolves around a remarkable early traveller whose story tells us a great deal about place. That person is Arthur Philemon Coleman.

Though the early years of Canadian confederation seemed filled with figures whose full lives and legendary accomplishments make them appear larger than life today, few can rival the extraordinary career of A.P. Coleman. There appears to be no field in which Coleman had an
Arthur Philemon Coleman was born at Lachute, Quebec on April 4, 1852. He was the son of the Reverend Francis Coleman, a Methodist minister and Emmeline Maria Adams, who was a descendent of John Quincy Adams, the sixth president of the United States.

After deciding on a career in geology, Coleman advanced upon the subject with characteristic enthusiasm. By 1880, he had earned his Master’s degree from Victoria College and had decided to take a Doctorate at the University of Breslau in Germany. While studying in Germany, Coleman undertook a broad range of fieldwork. He explored the Giant Mountains on the border of Lower Silesia and undertook geological expeditions in Germany, Austria, Switzerland, Italy and Scandinavia. It was during these joyful explorations that Coleman developed a love of mountains, which led to a lifelong interest in alpinism.

After Coleman read his thesis dissertation on the “Melaphyres of Lower Silesia” in 1881, he was awarded a Doctorate of Philosophy and returned to Canada.¹ In 1882, at the age of thirty, Dr. Arthur Philemon Coleman was appointed Professor of Natural History in his Alma Mater, where he remained until Victoria College was relocated to nearby Toronto, where it became one of the Federated Colleges at the University of Toronto.

Even as a young professor, Coleman did not waste time establishing a reputation as a fine lecturer. It was often remarked that he seemed interest in which he did not excel. Coleman was one of the world’s leading geologists, a fine lecturer, a prolific and respected writer, an accomplished artist, and an ardent explorer and mountaineer.

Coleman authored five books and nearly two hundred papers and reports, though his best and most revealing writing is contained within The Canadian Rockies: New & Old Trails, which was published in New York by Charles Scribner’s Sons and in London by T. Fisher Unwin in 1911 and by Henry Frowde in Toronto in 1912. It is in this book that we glimpse those elements of character and intellect that over his long life established Coleman as one of Canada’s most important but under-appreciated explorers and geologists and one of the country’s most beloved scientists.
the idea of nationhood. With the line finally reaching the prairies in 1882, it appeared that the Articles of Confederation, which had induced British Columbia to join Canada in 1871, were about to be fulfilled. In the summer of 1883, the tracks reached the Rockies. Coleman couldn’t wait for the line to be complete. The fabled mountains of the west were calling to him. As soon as classes were over in the spring of 1884, Coleman boarded a Canadian Pacific passenger train bound for the west. It is with the account of this journey that his famous book *The Canadian Rockies: New & Old Trails* begins.

**COLEMAN’S FIRST VISIT TO THE MOUNTAIN WEST, 1884**

In the spring of 1884, the rails ended west of Laggan siding, near the summit of Kicking Horse Pass. (Today Laggan is the hamlet of Lake Louise.) After a brief visit to Lake Louise, which had received its first non-Native visitor in the person of Tom Wilson only two years before, Coleman was joined by Messrs. Grier and Severin, whom he had hired at Morley. Soon their pack train was heading west into British Columbia. After reaching the Columbia River, Coleman spent three weeks exploring rugged, unnamed valleys in what is now part of western Yoho National Park before completing a big loop through the remote North Fork of the Spillimacheen River back to the Columbia.

After a brief visit with his brother Lucius, who ranched near Morley, Coleman went home to begin another academic year at the University of Toronto. Throughout the long winter he couldn’t stop thinking about
the mountain West. He began to read about the mountains of the west and even bought a camera in preparation for his next expedition. When classes ended in the spring of 1885, Coleman was ready to return to the Columbia River to explore the Selkirk Ranges.

**COLEMAN’S SECOND VISIT TO THE MOUNTAIN WEST, 1885**

By the time the academic year came to a close in the spring of 1885, the Canadian Pacific Railway had crossed the Rockies and was reaching up into the Rogers Pass area of the Selkirks. It was possible to take the train as far west as Donald, British Columbia, a town that had sprouted up, entirely complete, in less than one year. At the end of track, Coleman once again hired his own pack train, an expensive proposition even for those days, and headed west toward the glacier-clad summits of the Selkirks.

As Coleman reports, the excursion to the goldfields was not particularly successful. Rain and delays of all kinds cut down on the actual time Coleman could commit to geological study. Coleman did conclude, however, that his visit to the placer mining operations in the Mount Sir Sandford area was interesting from a “geological as well as human side.” The scientist in Coleman was fascinated by how gold could have appeared in these remote British Columbia valleys. From a human perspective,
however, Coleman was appalled by what happened to these valleys once they were discovered by gold-crazed prospectors. Their invasions, Coleman wrote, were “like a bad dream.” Slowly, after the departure of the miners, the bushes grew over the shacks and the creeks returned to their former channels. Only then did peace return to these valleys.

Coleman did not return to the West for three years. During this time, it is clear that he read a great deal about early exploration in the Rockies and had become anxious to put his own name on a corner of the blank map of the mountain West. It is also apparent that Coleman, like Norman Collie after him, had been tantalized by the rumour of Himalayan-sized peaks in the area of Athabasca Pass. The problem was that, though many competent explorers and surveyors had gone looking, no one could find these mountains.

**IN SEARCH OF THE DAVID DOUGLAS GIANTS: A.P. COLEMAN’S THIRD EXPEDITION TO THE MOUNTAIN WEST, 1888**

As has already been noted, the legend of Himalayan-sized giants at the summit of Athabasca Pass owes its existence to David Douglas. Enthusiastic and able, the young Scottish botanist had been sent by Sir William Hooker and the Royal Horticultural Society to collect plant specimens on the Pacific Coast of North America in 1824. Intending to spend only one year on the coast, the small and frail redhead developed rheumatism during the winter. Though he was certain he was going to die amid the dripping forests, he survived and, revived by the luxuriant plant life, resolved to spend two further years in Canada and make his way across the entire continent with one of the spring fur brigades.

In the spring of 1827, Douglas joined Hudson’s Bay Company traders and voyageurs as they paddled their fur-laden canoes up the Columbia toward Boat Encampment at the top of the Big Bend of the river. Leaving their canoes behind, the expedition made its slow way toward Athabasca Pass, the summit spine of the continent’s rivers. After exhausting himself floundering in the deep spring snow, he halted below the pass on April 30, 1827. By ten the next morning a circling raven would have found him resting at Committee’s Punch Bowl, a small pool that marked the divide between the Pacific and Atlantic watersheds at the summit of the pass. His journals do not explain what compulsions led to his next actions; they only indicate the course he took in the next few hours that would become the foundation of mountaineering history in Canada for the next seventy-five years. We join Douglas as he reflects on the
experiences as they were portrayed in *Botanical Magazine* published in England after his death:

*Being well rested by 1 o’clock, I set out with the view of ascending what seemed to be the highest peak on the N. Its height does not appear to be less than 16,000 to 17,000 ft. above the level of the sea. After passing the lower ridge I came to about 1,200 feet of by far the most difficult and fatiguing walking I have ever experienced, and the utmost care was required to tread safely over the crust of snow…. The view from the summit is of too awful a cast to afford pleasure. Nothing can be seen in every direction, as far as the eye can reach, except mountains towering above each other, rugged beyond description.*

Mounts Hooker and Brown were impossibly high mountains. Perhaps only Douglas’s poor eyesight made it possible for him not to see that other higher mountains existed in the main ranges that surrounded Athabasca Pass. It was a great story, though, and even if it wasn’t true it would later bring some important climbers and explorers to the Rockies to look for the fabled Mounts Hooker and Brown. Arthur Philemon Coleman would now join this select company.

By the time that Coleman read the story of the Athabasca Pass giants, there was already a lot of doubt about the veracity of Douglas’s 1827 claim. Supported by the university’s excellent library, Coleman had begun to ask some pressing questions about Douglas’s story. In examining Douglas’s own account, Coleman was dismayed that the great botanist had made no scientific observations to support his estimated height of Mount Brown at between 16,000 and 17,000 feet (4,877 and 5,182 m). Coleman conceded that it was possible that Douglas had calculated the heights of Hooker and Brown based on a faulty survey by Lieutenant Aermilius Simpson undertaken from Jasper House in the winter of 1825–26, which placed the altitude of Athabasca Pass at 11,000 feet (3,353 m). But even if this had been so, how could the presence of these giants have eluded later visitors to the pass? How, for example, could an artist of the stature of Paul Kane fail to notice and paint these huge peaks during his visit to the pass in 1847? Why was it that none of the highly competent surveyors in the employ of Sir Sandford Fleming’s later railway survey ever mentioned high peaks in the Athabasca Pass area? The mystery of Mount Brown gave Coleman a reason for returning to the Rockies.
Admitting that his 1888 attempt to reach Athabasca Pass by canoe from the west was a fiasco, Coleman grew more and more eager to come to close quarters with the Douglas giants. Though not fond of horses, Coleman realized his only hope of reaching Athabasca Pass and solving the mystery of Douglas’s giants lay in a horse expedition that would approach the pass from the east. “If the camel is the ‘ship of the desert,’” he concluded, “the cayuse should be the ‘canoe of the mountains.’”

Coleman’s 1892 horse trip to Athabasca Pass was an ambitious and well-planned expedition. As he, his brother and three friends would be travelling extensively through the Native hunting grounds, Coleman also hired two Stoneys, Mark Two-Young-Men and Jimmy Jacob, as guides.

Departing on the evening of July 6th, 1892, the large expedition set out from Morley for Athabasca Pass by way of the Ghost and Red Deer rivers to Mountain Park. Throughout this part of the journey, Coleman continued to remark on the sedimentary geology that was exposed on each peak they passed. They then followed the Clearwater and White Rabbit valleys to the upper reaches of the North Saskatchewan River. Ten days after departing, they arrived at the Kootenay Plains. Long a meeting place of Native peoples, the Kootenay Plains remain a place where there is grass for horses, clear water and good hunting. It is the kind of place that deserves to be added to the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Certainly, Coleman was impressed:

The Kootenay Plains were once in a small way the high-road of nations, and full of picturesque life, when the Kootenay tribe from southern British Columbia came across Housse Pass at one of the head streams of the Saskatchewan to hunt the buffalo and trade horses with the Stonies. That traffic ended many years ago, and Housse Pass is now seldom crossed by white men and never by the Indians; but the plains are still lively once a year when the Stonies come north from Morley before scattering into their special hunting grounds.

As Jacob had little experience beyond these sacred plains, the expedition now had to rely on Two-Young-Men to guide them north to the Brazeau River by way of the Cataract River. On August 1, more than three weeks after setting out, the party camped near the forks of the Brazeau where Coleman and a fellow professor from the University of Toronto, L.B. Stewart, made the first ascent of a small and as yet unnamed mountain, their third ascent on the expedition. Though the
peak was insignificant in height compared with the much taller mountains along the Great Divide, Coleman observed that he could see “a spotless dome of snow twenty or twenty-five miles away.” This, he surmised, upon reflection later in his life, was probably the Dome shown on Norman Collie’s map as the central point of the Columbia Icefield. The inference here is important. What Coleman is telling us is that he likely saw the Columbia Icefield a full six years before it was formally discovered by Norman Collie and Hermann Woolley in 1898. Coleman and
Louis Stewart saw deep glacial ice on the Snow Dome. Unfortunately, from their vantage the icefield proper was invisible. Had they been able to see the upper basin, the Columbia Icefield may have been discovered by Canadians.

Crossing a barren pass north of the Brazeau, the expedition followed a creek flowing northwest toward a wide river valley they had viewed from the summit a few days before. Coleman decided to call the pass and the creek Poboktan, which is the Stoney name for owl. The next day they followed Poboktan Creek to its confluence with a major river that flowed through a wide, unknown valley upon which they decided to bestow the existing Stoney name, Sunwapta. *Sunwapta* is a Stoney word meaning “turbulent water.” They were unsure where to go next. They weren’t sure how to reach the Whirlpool River and the trail to Athabasca Pass. As they were also running short on time, Coleman decided that he, his brother and L.B. Stewart should make a run for Athabasca Pass on foot. Following the Sunwapta past its junction with the Athabasca, they discovered another large river that they thought must have been the Whirlpool. Drawn by a “fine, cathedral-shaped mountain” across the valley, they followed this river, which he later called the Chaba, after the Stoney name for beaver, to the cathedral-shaped peak which he called Fortress Mountain. Attempting Fortress Mountain, they rounded a corner of the great buttress and “suddenly there opened out below us the most marvellous lake imaginable,” stretching some eight to ten miles (twelve to sixteen kilometres) to the west. As Coleman described it, their hearts stood still at the sight, for they thought they had found the Committee’s Punch Bowl and the summit of Athabasca Pass. He thought the snow-covered peak behind the glacier to the south must have been Mount Hooker. That night, however, examination of their map proved them wrong. The lake was too big and the descriptions and locations of the mountains and of the Committee’s Punch Bowl suggested they were still a long way from Athabasca Pass. In fact, they were in the area that is now Hamber Provincial Park.

The next day they visited the north shore of the lake and, after following Chisel Creek to its source, climbed a minor nearby peak in order to accurately fix their position. Though they were surrounded by fine peaks, none came even close to the supposed height of Mount Brown. Only a great white pyramid “beyond the glacier to the south” came even close to 12,000 feet (3,658 m). At camp that night they reckoned the lake they were on could not be the Committee’s Punch Bowl so they named it Fortress Lake.
On August 23, 1892, Arthur and Lucius Coleman set out with Louis Stewart to attempt “Pyramid Mountain” but were defeated first by crevasses, then by impossible cliff bands and finally by the weather. Though they didn’t make it above 10,000 feet (3,048 m), the historical significance of this first attempt should not be underestimated. Coleman’s White Pyramid is, in fact Mount Clemenceau, which, at 3,658 metres, is the fourth-highest summit in the Canadian Rockies and one of the most spectacular natural features in the Hamber area. That Coleman and his party reached the mountain and attempted to climb it in 1892 is a remarkable act of early exploration and mountaineering pluck.

Two days later, the Coleman brothers and Stewart climbed what they called Misty Mountain, a 10,050 foot peak that provided unforgettable views of the Pyramid and of the entire Fortress Lake area.

It seems most regrettable that Coleman’s original names did not remain on the mountains of the Fortress Lake area. In a fit of what now appears to be almost hysterical self-congratulation at the end of World War I, Misty Mountain became one of a number of peaks along the Great Divide that were renamed by the Alberta-British Columbia Boundary Commission after political and military figures who were prominent during the Great War. Coleman’s White Pyramid was renamed in 1919 for French Premier Georges Clemenceau. Coleman’s Misty Mountain was also renamed in 1919. It is now called Mount Brouillard, a name derived from the French word for mist. It appears that the Boundary Commission didn’t think the name Misty was sexy enough.

On the descent of Misty Mountain, Coleman described “beds of snow red with *protococcus nivalis*, and saw black glacier fleas all alive in the sunshine.” This is the first popular reference to “pink snow,” the snow algae that grows in late-lying drifts along the Great Divide. It is also the first reference in popular mountain literature in Canada to other creatures that live out their life cycles in the sub-nivean environments of Canada’s western mountains.

By August 26, Coleman realized that he was out of time and that the second expedition in search of Mount Brown would end without the party having seen either of David Douglas’s legendary Athabasca Pass giants. Upon his return to Toronto, Coleman had become philosophical about the accomplishments of the 1892 expedition. Though they were disappointed in their main objective, they were successful in covering five hundred miles (805 km) of unmapped trails in the Rockies. They had discovered and named rivers, lakes and passes, and climbed a dozen unnamed and unclimbed peaks. By proving that Fortress Lake
Even as the train took Coleman slowly east to another busy academic year, he was making plans to return to the Rockies. “Three times lucky,” he must have thought. On his third try he was sure to reach Athabasca Pass.

SOLVING THE MYSTERY OF THE ATHABASCA PASS GIANTS:  
A.P. COLEMAN’S FIFTH EXPEDITION TO THE MOUNTAIN WEST, 1893

Coleman incorporated some innovations into his 1893 expedition, which was composed of himself, his brother Lucius, Louis Stewart and a young rancher named Frank Sibbald from Morley. The smaller party would also take a folding canvas boat so they would not have to make rafts to cross the booming Saskatchewan and Athabasca rivers. When the party left Morley on July 8, they departed at the same time as Chief Jonas of the Stoneys, who was also heading north and west into the mountains. At camp one night, Jonas drew a map on a large piece of wax paper that had previously served as a wrapping for a ham. The map illustrated the passes Jonas had explored between Morley and the Sunwapta River. He also offered First Nations names for many of the rivers that appeared on Louis Stewart’s map of the previous summer’s explorations.

With the Jonas map in hand, they took a new and shorter way to the Brazeau by way of the Hahaseegee-wapta, or the Cataract River. At the
head of the Cataract River, the expedition stopped at an exquisite lake that Coleman compared in beauty to Lake Louise. On the return trip Coleman named it Pinto Lake, after a troublesome packhorse that he lost on the expedition. After reaching the headwaters of the Brazeau, they climbed yet another small peak to survey the surrounding country. From this unnamed peak five miles (eight kilometres) northeast of Wilcox Pass they peered once again into the Columbia Icefield. But they saw only the tip of the Snow Dome and were once again unable to define the icefield’s huge névé. It would still be another five years before that névé would be defined and the icefield proper realized as one of the great natural features of the Canadian West. From the summit they did, however, discover the pass Jonas had intended they take. Crossing the pass the next day, they named it and the creek that flowed down it after Jonas. This time Coleman’s name stuck and you can still find Jonas Pass and Jonas Creek on maps of Jasper National Park today.

After reaching the Sunwapta, Coleman and company decided to give the horses a rest and took a day off to explore the valley. Coleman claims, at this point, to have climbed a 10,000 foot peak just to the east of the headwaters of the Sunwapta River. It seems likely that the unnamed mountain they described climbing in the Sunwapta areas “just to the east of its headwaters” was Sunwapta Peak. This appears to be confirmed also by the red line Coleman placed on his map to illustrate the location of the mountain he climbed. His description of the view is most compelling:

The view of the valley from above was marvellous; for miles above and below a wonderful network of river channels cut the grey mudflats like a skin of green silk flung ravelled on the floor. At the head of the valley we saw the same splendid snowfields and peaks and walls as from the last mountain, but at a different angle.

Again, Coleman is describing the Columbia Icefield. Since he refers to seeing this mass of ice twice in his book, he must have kicked himself upon reflection years later for not realizing the importance of what he was seeing. After breaking camp at the confluence of Jonas Creek and the Sunwapta River, the Coleman party headed north where they soon came upon a huge scar on the Endless Chain Range where a cubic mile of rock had broken from one of the mountains and swept across the valley. Visitors to the valley today still stop to ponder this incredible natural landform that has changed little since it blocked Coleman’s way north through the Sunwapta Valley more than a century ago.
After passing Sunwapta and Athabasca Falls, the Coleman party went on to Jasper, where they met Lewis Swift, the legendary prospector and explorer who lived at a farm on the Athabasca River. After procuring supplies from Swift, Coleman wasted three days scrambling through the wrong valley looking for the Whirlpool River. On the second day of their journey up the Whirlpool, a sharp sapling drove through Coleman’s stirrup right into his horse’s side. In the ensuing melee, Coleman smashed into a tree and was thrown from the saddle. The next day his left knee hurt so badly that he could only walk with the aid of crutches. It was the end of climbing on this expedition – and for many years after. Though in excruciating pain, Coleman did not want to abandon the expedition so close to its goal. On August 18, 1893, Coleman and his party at last reached the Committee’s Punch Bowl and the summit of Athabasca Pass. They looked everywhere but there were no giants.

Even though it was clear that Coleman had solved the mystery of Mount Hooker and Mount Brown, not everyone was willing to accept that Himalayan-sized giants could not exist in the Rockies. When the celebrated British climber John Norman Collie was invited to the Rockies four years later to make the first ascent of Mount Lefroy, he used his visit to Lake Louise as an opportunity to search to the north for Douglas’s fabled giants. Years after Coleman had proved Douglas a fraud, Collie still questioned aloud if Coleman hadn’t reached the wrong pass and whether further explorations weren’t warranted to validate Douglas’s claim. Gentlemen, and especially English gentlemen, didn’t lie. Finally Collie, writing in 1903, vindicated Coleman:

*If Douglas climbed a seventeen-thousand-feet peak alone on a May afternoon, when the snow must have been pretty deep on the ground, all one can say is that he must have been an uncommonly active person. What, of course, he really did was to ascend the Mount Brown of Professor Coleman, which is about nine thousand feet high. These two fabulous Titans, therefore, which for nearly seventy years have been masquerading as the monarchs of the Canadian Rockies, must now be finally deposed.*

Coleman made many other trips to the Rockies and was later instrumental in the creation of the Alpine Club of Canada. His most recognized exploration achievement, however, remains his discovery of Fortress Lake.

Standing on the shores of Fortress Lake you can still feel Coleman’s presence. The lake has changed little if at all since he first visited it and one cannot escape the feeling that the wild mountains that surround the sparkling water still harbour the ghosts of Hooker and Brown.
For the Cree, “Yoho!” was an expression of profoundest awe. Suggesting the sacred and the mysterious, Yoho was first applied by the Cree to those rare and powerful natural places that could induce a mind-slowing sense of aesthetic arrest. Yoho is a word describing reverence tinged with fear inspired by the sublime. In its simplest contemporary translation, Yoho means wonder. The name Yoho was applied first to the river and then to the valley through which the river runs, and later applied to the national park as a whole.

Yoho became a national park in 1886. On the official maps of the Rocky Mountain National Parks, Yoho is a small, irregular diamond tucked between Banff National Park on the east and Kootenay National Park on the south.

As has been explained, Dr. James Hector of the Palliser Expedition certainly had his problems here. It was August of 1858. After a year of travelling across Canada, Hector was well accustomed to long days in the saddle in unfamiliar country, and was looking forward to the new discoveries he was going to make in what were locally called the Shining Mountains. As planned, the other members of the Palliser party had already set out on separate, independent expeditions looking for new
passes through the Rockies. John Palliser had headed south from Old Bow Fort toward what is now the Kananaskis area, and Thomas Blakiston was following the Livingstone Range south toward what is now Waterton. James Hector had set his sights on the Great Divide, which he eventually hoped to follow north, peeking between the mountains for evidence of anything resembling a pass, before heading east to Fort Edmonton where he would stay the winter.

After reaching and naming Castle Mountain, Hector climbed Vermilion Pass and descended to the Vermilion River Valley in what is now Kootenay National Park, directly adjacent to Yoho. After several days of exploration Hector concluded that a road could indeed be built down this pass. He then ascended the Kootenay River to its headwaters and passed over another divide into the drainage of the Beaverfoot, which he reached on August 27. This area is now part of the province of British Columbia, lying along its common border with both Kootenay and Yoho National Parks. Hector named the Brisco Range for Captain Arthur Brisco (a member of the 11th Hussars, who were immortalized in Tennyson’s “The Charge of the Light Brigade”). Hector named Mount Vaux for his friend William Sandys Wright Vaux, who for twenty-nine years was resident antiquarian at the British Museum, one of Hector’s favourite haunts. It was in this area that Hector came upon a significant waterfall, now known as Wapta Falls, above which his luck suddenly changed:

A little way above this fall, one of our pack horses, to escape the fallen timber, plunged into the stream, luckily where it formed an eddy, but the banks were so steep that we had difficulty in getting him out. In attempting to recatch my own horse, which had strayed off while we were engaged with the one in the water, he kicked me in the chest, but I had luckily got close to him before he struck out, so that I did not get the full force of the blow. However, it knocked me down and rendered me senseless for some time. This was unfortunate, as we had no tracks of game in the neighbourhood, and were now without food, but I was so hurt that we could not proceed further that day at least.¹

The expedition’s only doctor had received a life-threatening kick in the chest just at that point in the journey when starvation threatened the survival of the whole party. Although their situation was grim, Hector realized that a new pass over the Great Divide awaited him at the upper end of the valley through which this unmapped river flowed.

The Palliser expedition identified eight passes for the consideration of the Secretary of State in England. Given the undeveloped state of the Atlantic and Pacific colonies in Canada, the expedition did not recommend building a wagon road through the difficult and dangerous
The perception of what was once considered a barren wasteland of western peaks was about to be changed. Hector replaced a landscape of terror and fear with summits of imagination. The published accounts of the expedition told of a new kind of West that nurtured freedom of the spirit and provided room in which that spirit could wander. Hector imposed a new geography on the Rockies—a geography not of limitations but of possibility. His accounts told of an entire landscape history waiting to be experienced and described. A whole new world of knowledge was waiting for those with the energy and curiosity to explore it.

The map Hector created of Yoho became a map of wonders. Although Hector did not see it at the time, in the world’s imagination Canada was about to become blue mountains, Mounties and moonlit ice – and the Canadian Pacific Railway would put Yoho, with all its wonders, right in the middle of it.

The Palliser report stimulated a lively debate about the future of the Canadian West. Much was made of real and imagined American threats to the political sovereignty of these sparsely populated lands. A nationalist movement began to grow in Canada. Confederation debates led to a reassessment of the relationship of the West to the political and economic aspirations of a developing national identity. Two years after the confederation of Canada’s upper and maritime provinces, the continent’s first national railway was completed in the United States. Although Canada had a tenth of the population of its southern neighbour, the Canadian government decided that if the United States could
build a national railway, it could too. The building of Canada’s first national railway was as much a political coup as it was a feat of remarkable engineering. The history of Yoho National Park is inextricably linked to this history.

At Confederation, settlement was concentrated in Upper Canada in Ontario and Quebec; the only other large concentration of people was along the Pacific coast, where most trade was with the western United States. To achieve greatness, Central Canada had to embrace the west coast by way of the almost limitless lands that formed the interior of the continent. Four years after Confederation, the Dominion of Canada made a rash promise to the Colony of British Columbia, offering a railway in exchange for political union. The area that is now Yoho played a huge role in this story and if you don’t get off the highway or away from the train tracks in this national park, it would be easy to think that what we built here is more important than what we saved. In Yoho, however, both matter to our history and our future.

SURVEYING THE WONDER

The builders of Canada’s national railway knew where they wanted to go, but they didn’t know what lay between them and their goal. In April 1871 an engineer named Sandford Fleming was hired to solve the problem of locating the railway over its nearly six-thousand-kilometre route across Canada. A number of very proficient surveyors were assigned to the Rockies. Since Kicking Horse Pass had already been noted and mapped by James Hector, surveying efforts by Walter Moberly and others were concentrated on other sections of the Great Divide. Their efforts were halted by a scandal in 1873 that led to the fall of the country’s Conservative government under John A. Macdonald. Once in power, the Liberals, under Alexander Mackenzie, put a temporary halt to the railway.

Surveying in Western Canada continued sporadically throughout the next five years. During that time, British Columbia became restless over the Dominion’s lack of progress toward the fulfillment of its promise and threatened to leave Confederation. By 1878, however, Macdonald’s Conservatives were back in power and the dream of a railway once again gathered steam. A new syndicate was established, arguments over where the Pacific terminus would be located were finally resolved, and as the railway began to snake westward from Montreal, contracts were let to begin laying the rails inland from the Pacific toward the
Great Divide. It did not seem to matter that a route across the prairies and through the Rockies had yet to be firmly chosen; the Great Railway had finally been started and the rest would take care of itself.

Uncertainty over which pass the railway should use in the Rockies was complicated by a number of factors. Although Yellowhead Pass was the preferred route of Sir Sandford Fleming, it was argued that a line that far north of the international border would require more trackage and would therefore be more expensive to build. A more southerly route would not only cost less but might also open up the Canadian prairies for agricultural development. When the decision was made to lay the track in the south, two obstacles remained. No known route existed through the Selkirks and an acceptable pass through the Rockies had yet to be identified. The responsibility of solving these two problems was given to an American engineer named Albert Bowman Rogers.

**The Bishop of Blue Thunder**

Major A.B. Rogers was born in Orleans, Massachusetts, on May 28, 1829. A Yale graduate, Rogers quickly developed a reputation as both an outrageous character and one of the best railway and civil engineers on the continent. While working on the Erie Canal, the Chicago,
Milwaukee and St. Paul, and a number of other, lesser railway projects, Rogers mastered most of the obscenities in the English language. By the time he went to work for the Canadian Pacific in 1880, Rogers was already well-known by the nickname of “the Bishop.” He also possessed a respected reputation for almost superhuman physical endurance.

Many of Rogers’s explorations followed leads on potential routes left behind by earlier surveying parties. Following an eagle up a remote valley on the western edge of the Selkirk Mountains in 1865, Walter Moberly had discovered Eagle Pass. In the spring of 1881, Rogers advanced past Eagle Pass to the head of the valley and, by way of a fork that Moberly had not visited, discovered the glacial headwaters of the Illecillewaet River. There he was certain he had found the pass through the Selkirks that was the key to southern route of the railway. Now that Rogers Pass had been discovered, it would not take long to pick a logical route through the Rockies, the next and last mountain obstacle in British Columbia. But Rogers had only travelled to the west side of his pass and did not know what its descent would be like. Rogers charged himself with further investigation and rushed back to the Rockies to organize the tracing of the rail route east from the Great Plains to the Great Divide and over Kicking Horse Pass, which had scarcely been examined since James Hector last saw it in September of 1858. In so doing, the Bishop would come into contact with a man who could see past his gruff facade and to the sincerity and kindness that were at the centre of Rogers’s being. That man was Tom Wilson. This quiet cowboy would put the next grand human stamp on Yoho.

Tom Wilson began his career in the West as an officer in the service of the North-West Mounted Police at Fort Walsh in the Northwest Territory. Thinking a change of career would suit him, Wilson hired on at Fort Benton, Montana, to assist in surveying a route through the Rockies. In 1881 found himself employed as a packer by P.K. Hyndman, Chief Engineer for Major A.B. Rogers, Engineer-in-Charge of the Mountain Section of the Canadian Pacific Railway.

On July 5, 1881, Wilson departed Fort Calgary as part of a large movement of supplies, including eighty packhorses to freight supplies into the mountains. The party was to await Major Rogers on the Bow River at the entrance to the Rockies. Wilson did not have to wait long to meet the great engineer, and when he met him, he understood immediately what kind of work it was going to take to make this railway plan work. Tom Wilson did not leave behind extensive journals. What he wrote about A.B. Rogers, however, is pure Canadiana. His accounts also tell
us that travel in Yoho had not become any safer since James Hector had travelled there twenty-three years before:

On the 15th of July I had strolled a short distance west of the camp and was sitting smoking alongside the narrow Indian trail when suddenly a mottled roan cayuse, carrying a rider, appeared round a curve. Behind it came two packhorses then two other animals ridden by Shuswap Indians. The leader, whom I instantly sensed was Major Rogers, wore an old white helmet and a brown canvas suit. His condition, well, dirty doesn’t begin to describe it.

His voluminous sideburns waved like flags in a breeze; his piercing eyes seemed to look at and see through everything at once. I had heard that Major Rogers was famous for his tobacco chewing ability and may have doubted the stories I had heard. Such doubts, if I had any, were dispelled during the first few minutes I was with him. Every few minutes a stream of tobacco juice erupted from between his sideburns; I’ll bet there were not many trees alongside the trail that had escaped his deadly tobacco juice aim.

Someone once said of him, “Give him six plugs of chewing tobacco and five bacon rinds, and he will travel for two weeks.” The man who said that was no exaggerator. Despite his fifty-odd years he leapt from his horse in a manner that many a younger man could have envied.

When Wilson met the famous surveyor, Major Rogers was accompanied by his twenty-one-year-old nephew, A.L. Rogers, better known as “Al,” who had travelled from St. Paul to meet his uncle in Kamloops, B.C., where the Hudson’s Bay Company had a trading post. Rogers arranged for his nephew to begin exploration of Kicking Horse Pass from the west while he rounded to begin an assault from the east.

The party, with Wilson included, worked its way slowly up the Bow River Valley toward the Great Divide. In his gruff way Rogers was living up to his reputation. The men were already complaining. Only Tom Wilson sympathized with Rogers:

[Major Rogers] called for a volunteer to accompany him and act as his special attendant. Silence greeted his request; there were good reasons for it. Every man present had learned in three days to hate the Major with a real hatred. He had no mercy on horses or men; he had none on himself. The labourers hated him for the way he drove them and the packers, for the way he abused the horses; he never gave their needs a thought. When no one volunteered I thought I might as well take a chance and so took him up.

This invitation from Rogers was, in fact, an invitation to become part of history. There were a good dozen other men there who could have easily decided to participate in the discovery of many of the most
significant natural features the Rockies, but they simply hated Rogers too much to do so.

Wilson knew that James Hector had not travelled the entire length of the Kicking Horse. Hector had come upon the Kicking Horse River by way of the Beaverfoot, thereby missing the section of the river between Wapta Falls and the Columbia where it enters Kicking Horse Canyon, a long, deep, sunless gorge where even Native peoples declined to travel. During the summer of 1881, Wilson discovered why the two European parties that travelled through the valley of the Kicking Horse had both suffered from starvation and acute exhaustion. This was difficult country to explore. There were dues to be paid before the landscape would reveal its greater splendour – and Wilson did not mind paying them.

**TOM WILSON FINDS EMERALD LAKE**

For Wilson, the summer of 1882 began in much the same way as the previous summer. He began ferrying loads of equipment and supplies from Padmore, now called Kananaskis, to Rogers’s main surveying camp at the summit of Kicking Horse Pass. While returning from his second trip for supplies, Wilson camped at the junction of the Pipestone River and the Bow. The next day, a small band of Stoneys camped at the same site. It was raining and soon everyone was gathered around a big fire. In the mist they heard the thunder of avalanches falling from above. One of the Stoneys in the party indicated to Wilson that the thunder was coming from “snow mountains above the lake of the little fishes.” The following morning, Wilson invited the man to take him to the lake. They had little trouble reaching it by horse. Wilson named the stunning sheet of water Emerald Lake, and it appeared as such on the first geological map made of the area, drawn by George Dawson. Before the map was published in 1886, the Geographical Society of Canada had changed the name to Lake Louise, in honour of Princess Louise Caroline Alberta, the fourth daughter of Queen Victoria. By the time the map was published, however, Wilson had already found another Emerald Lake, in Yoho.

The day after first visiting Lake Louise, Wilson ran into Major Rogers, who, as Wilson’s story goes, made a prophetic announcement about Wilson’s future in the mountains, an announcement that has been made for more than a century since to those who have been captured by the mountains: “‘Blue’, he roared, ‘I knew you’d be back. I knew you’d be back. You’ll never leave these mountains again as long as you live. They’ve got you now.’”4
It did not take long for Rogers to confide to Wilson his growing doubts about what route the railway should take through the Rockies. Rogers was now more certain than ever that Rogers Pass was the right route through the Selkirks, but he did not know whether Howse Pass or Kicking Horse Pass was the most economical route over the Great Divide. Rogers wanted more information about the Howse area and offered Wilson a fifty-dollar bonus if he would go to Howse Pass to get it. Since a lone, lightly equipped traveller could move with greater speed, Wilson agreed to go alone and on foot.

Although the going was harder than Rogers had predicted, Wilson encountered few problems on his journey up the Bow River and down the north side of Bow Pass to the Saskatchewan River and the mouth of the Howse. He got lost a few times on the ascent of the pass, but his problems did not really begin until he began his descent from the Great Divide into the valley of the Blaeberry River. The deadfall was nearly three metres deep and his progress slow and exhausting. Rogers had predicted that the journey would be brief, so Wilson only carried food for ten days; by the time he reached the Blaeberry he was starving. He soon became so weakened that he doubted he could make it to Mount Stephen.

Mount Stephen towers over the town of Field, the administrative centre of Yoho National Park. It was named for George Stephen who became the President of the Bank of Montreal in 1876. The mountain was first climbed by surveyor J.J. McArthur in 1892. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
the confluence of the Blaeberry and the Columbia, where Rogers had agreed to meet him. At the time when Wilson had begun contemplating leaving everything behind but his axe in an all-out attempt to make it out to the Columbia, he heard voices and stumbled through the heavy darkness toward them. As he followed the voices, the forest opened up to reveal a big campfire with two men silhouetted against the flames. Although Rogers barely showed it, he was fearfully worried about Wilson, and it was this that made them friends for the rest of their lives:

The Major looked at me for a moment – a queer look it was – then, “What kept you so long?” he snorted and turned on his heel. Not another word did he speak until I had eaten and, with the two men, fetched my equipment from the bush and put out the fire I had left. On the way to do that they told me how for hours the Major had paced up and down like a caged lion, his oft repeated cry being “If that boy don't show up what in hell will I do? No one but a fool would send a lad on such a trip alone, and no one but a fool would try to make it alone.”

The next day Wilson gave Rogers a full report on the difficulties of Howse Pass, which seemed to satisfy the Major that Kicking Horse Pass was the right route after all. Wilson returned to the main camp at the summit of Kicking Horse Pass and resumed his task of packing supplies into Yoho for the survey crews. On one of his many trips down the pass into the main valley of the Kicking Horse, something happened to Wilson that in retrospect almost seems like a reward for the punishing journey he made up Howse Pass. After discovering Lake Louise a few weeks before, Wilson found the real Emerald Lake – or at least his horses did:

On nearly each trip some of the horses would get sore backs or lacerated legs from sharp ledges or bad stumps, and the animals of poor stamina would show the effects of hard work. Expecting this to happen, we had about twice as many horses with us as were needed at one time. The extra ones, termed the hospital hunch, were turned out to graze in a slough at the foot of the big hill of the Kicking Horse Pass. Driving them down there was a safety measure for they had all come from the east, and some from only as far away as Morley; there was a chance that, if turned out east of the camp, they could wander home.

At the conclusion of one trip I decided to rest some of the horses I had been using and so I drove them to the pasture with the intention of bringing relief ones to the camp. At the very end of the “nose” of Mount Stephen my attention was arrested by some quartz that had newly fallen from the mountain. I dismounted and examined it; there was lots of it lying amongst more that had fallen a long time before and had become so weather-stained as not to attract the attention of a passer-by. I decided that Mount Stephen needed prospecting and planned to do that later on.
The horses, for which I had come, were not at the slough, so I followed the river to another feeding ground just below the site of the present town of Field. No animals were there. It was clear that they had been there and their tracks showed in which direction they had departed. I tracked them past the Natural Bridge where I noted that they had adopted the single file system, as though following a leader that knew where it was going. I became more convinced of this fact as the single file system continued without evidence of the horses having made any halts.

Through the bush, across small streams, then a little way up the mountain sides the tracks led me. After a time they returned to valley level alongside a creek that a few minutes later brought me to a beautiful sheet of water. I stood at the outlet of the mountain scenic gem known today as Emerald Lake.6

The discovery of Emerald Lake is a telling example of the depth of knowledge of the country possessed by the Native peoples of the region. Native peoples knew the country intimately. Even their horses knew more about the country than the recently arrived Europeans. Wilson’s analysis of how his horses showed him the way to Emerald Lake also suggests that earlier Aboriginal and European travel patterns in this region of the Rockies are not captured within the atomized jurisdictions of individual parks. These patterns are far better understood within the context of the expanded designation of these parks as a World Heritage Site:

For a few moments I sat on my horse and enjoyed the rare, peacefulness of the scene, then, at the far end of the lake I noticed something move. It was an old white horse that belonged to my bunch and which we had bought from the Stoneys. I rode to the end of the lake and found the wanderers fairly revelling in one of the finest mountain meadows.

How had they found it? Well, I later figured that out.

Some years earlier, Cline, the Hudson’s Bay factor at Jasper House, had arranged with the Kootenay and Shuswap Indians to meet him on the east side of the Great Divide to do their annual trading. This became necessary because of the enmity of the Blackfoot confederacy; the latter had practically forced the British Columbia Indians to abandon trading at Jasper House by inflicting several defeats on them.
Cline had a special trail cut up the Maligne River to Maligne Lake, over Cataract Pass, then down what is known today as Cline River, to the big plains of the Saskatchewan. There he met the Kootenays and Shuswaps with his packtrain of trade goods and received from them their furs, etc. in exchange. That annual trade meeting gave the locality the name Kootenay Plains, a name that has often puzzled people when trying to discover its origin.

The Kootenays and Shuswaps had many enemies therefore, when setting out for their annual trade, they dared not leave their families and chattels to fall prey to those enemies. A whole tribe with its belongings travelled until well on the route, then encamped their families, old men and extra horses, in “hidden valleys” to await the braves’ return from the Kootenay Plains. This system of trade ceased during the early 70’s and was followed by the British Columbia Indians and the Stonies paying alternate annual visits to each other for the purposes of feasting, dancing and trading.

After confessing that Indian horses knew the local geography better than visiting European explorers, Wilson stayed in the Kananaskis area that winter instead of heading south. In the spring of 1883, Wilson returned to the summit of Kicking Horse Pass. The railway was advancing rapidly past Maple Creek in Saskatchewan and was expected to
reach Calgary in the summer. By the end of the season, after further doubts about the superiority of Kicking Horse Pass over Howse Pass were finally resolved, the steel advanced as far as Silver City, below Castle Mountain. The next season the tracks would reach the Great Divide and cross Kicking Horse Pass into British Columbia.

Tom Wilson’s surveying work with the railway came to an end. He became a prospector and later joined the Steele Scouts and fought in the Riel Rebellions. At the conclusion of his military service, Wilson returned to Morley to visit friends. While he was there a train arrived and a conductor who knew him asked if he was coming along on the ride to Craigellachie to watch the driving of the last spike. After the ceremony, Wilson shook hands and bade Major Rogers a fond farewell.

“Tom,” the Major said, “One day we’ll take a holiday and ride ocean to ocean on this railroad.”8 But Wilson never saw Rogers again. While working with the Great Northern Railway in the Coeur d’Alene Mountains in Idaho in 1889, Rogers fell from a horse and in May of that year, died from his injuries. Just as Rogers had predicted, Tom Wilson went on to live in the mountains for the rest of his life. In time, he became the most famous outfitter and guide in the Rockies, the man to see if you wanted access to the wonder of the western wilderness.

OVERCOMING OBSTACLES

YOHO’S LATER HUMAN HISTORY is tied directly to the railway and the problem of the steep descent from Kicking Horse Pass into the valley below. By the fall of 1883, the tracks had been laid from the east as far as the approach to Kicking Horse Pass. From the west the tracks had been advanced as far as the western slopes of the Gold Range. Less than three hundred kilometres of railroad remained to be built between the two advancing lines of Canada’s national railway. That relatively short section would take two years to build. There were a number of serious obstacles. On the western side of the Selkirks there was Notch Hill, Eagle Pass, and finally the spine of the Selkirks at Rogers Pass. Along the Great Divide was the difficult passage down the west side of Kicking Horse Pass, as well as the gorge of Kicking Horse Canyon farther west.

Work on the railway was slow to begin in that wet spring of 1884. Deep snow lingered long into the spring, making work difficult and dangerous. By May 25, the tracks at last crested the divide, a cause for some celebration. The steep descent down the pass delayed further progress until July. After tote roads were built, the grading slowly
continued in advance of the laying of the track. Deciding that the originally surveyed route would take years to build, the contracting company proposed constructing a “temporary” line that would descend from the summit of the pass to the valley floor at twice the slope proposed by Rogers and Hurd. Critics of the plan argued that such a slope would make the Kicking Horse Pass section of the Canadian Pacific Railway the steepest main line in the western hemisphere.

Undaunted as ever, William Van Horne arrived on the scene to survey the problem. After much analysis, Van Horne argued that the cost of maintaining special locomotives for a short, steep push up the pass made for less expense and delay than a slow, more gradual climb up a lesser grade. Van Horne’s argument pivoted around the idea that the construction of a temporary line could permit the Canadian Pacific a number of years in which to observe weather patterns, stream flows, glacial activity, and the frequency of avalanches before a final decision about the route needed to be made. The fact of the matter was that the railway could afford to do little else. The “Big Hill” was part of the political cost of moving the main line 250 kilometres south from Yellowhead Pass to be closer to the American border. At this crucial stage in the railway’s construction, the cost of reducing the grade at the Great Divide was beyond the means of the railway because it was beyond the means of the nation.

The entire Big Hill project was labour-intensive. The line required continuous maintenance, as did the pusher trains and the standard locomotives that pulled the regular service trains. It was clear that a railway service centre was going to be necessary to keep the Big Hill open even in summer. A small yard and engine facility was laid out on the valley floor at the foot of Mount Stephen, and a stone roundhouse was constructed to service the locomotives. For a number of months this burgeoning community was simply called Third Siding. In December 1884, Donald Smith, the railway syndicate’s great financier, came to the end of the tracks. A few months earlier he had encouraged Cyrus West Field, a wealthy Chicago businessman and the promoter of the first Atlantic communications cable, to come and see the potential of the railway for himself. In an attempt to persuade Field to invest in the financially troubled railway, Smith, with a sweep of his hand, named the little railway community and the mountain across the valley from it in Field’s honour. Despite the honour, Field did not commit any money to the venture. The little town grew anyway.
In 1884, a 4.5 percent railway grade was a serious matter. Steam locomotives going up such hills had to be full of water to prevent their boilers from exploding, and even a passenger train of only a few cars would need pusher engines to help it up the Big Hill. Such a steep grade also pushed contemporary brake technology to its very limits. To prevent runaways on the Big Hill, three runaway tracks were built. The switches leading to the runaway lanes were always open. Every train had to come to a complete stop above of each switch before it would be turned to allow the train to continue downhill. As early Big Hill railroader Roxy Hamilton commented, there was a big difference of opinion as to how well this system worked. He argued that the first siding saved some trains because at that point on the descent the downward speed was not great enough to make the siding impossible to take: “As for the other two, about all you did was to pile up a train in the siding instead of down the mountain.”

The Big Hill saved money and time, but at the expense of overall safety. There were some terrible wrecks. Early in 1884, a construction train steamed out of Hector, near the top of Kicking Horse Pass pulling flatcars loaded with heavy bridge timbers. One hundred and twenty men were on board. Suddenly the train began to pick up speed. With brake shoes screaming, the train began to thunder down the grade. Men started jumping for their lives. The train reached nearly 130 kilometres an hour before it telescoped into a mountainside, where the huge
timbers splintered like match sticks. Hissing with escaping steam, the engine then plunged into the frothing waters of the Kicking Horse far below. Sixty men had to be treated in the railway’s hospital car.

It took only a wreck or two for the Big Hill to develop a Big Reputation. Heavier engines with bigger and more efficient air brakes were brought west to replace lighter locomotives with inadequate hand-brake systems. Double-braked cars were also brought out just for this section. Prime Minister John A. Macdonald came out West on the second train to have crossed the country. His daring wife, Agnes, rode on the cowcatcher through Kicking Horse Canyon to prove how safe the line was. It was a highly successful public relations stunt but it did nothing to ameliorate the hazard of the Big Hill.

While the railway continued to study the conditions of the route through Kicking Horse Pass, the line was kept open with remarkably few incidents for nearly fifteen years. When a ten-car coal train tore out of control and jumped the track, its engineer, Jack Ladner of Revelstoke, and a young fireman named Aimslee died in the wreck. For the railway this was the last straw. It was time to reconsider how the trains got up and down Kicking Horse Pass. Spiral tunnels near the pass were proposed. By this time the town of Field was a thriving railway service centre and hopping tourist resort.

THE DOMINION’S GREAT SURVEYORS

Even with the railway completed, much of the mountain West was still remote, save for the narrow strip of country flanking the rail line. Before the potential for the resources and tourism in the West could truly be appreciated, the region had to be mapped. Starting at the fringes of the railway belt, a small army of highly dedicated surveyors began to establish a picture of what the mountains were like north and south of the line. Although mountains and lakes have been named for some of these unsung heroes, most of their efforts have been forgotten today.

By the terms of Confederation, a corridor thirty-two kilometres on either side of the rail right-of-way was to be conveyed by British Columbia to the federal government as part of British Columbia’s payment for its share in the cost of the railway. In order to clearly define which of the mountains lay within the sixty-four-kilometre-wide belt adjoining the tracks, a comprehensive survey was demanded. This was to be a real survey, describing the timber, minerals, and agricultural features of
the landscape right to the tops of the mountains. The model was to be the system of rectangular townships that had already been adopted by earlier surveys of the prairies.

A very astute and highly motivated individual happened to be the Surveyor General of Dominion Lands at the time. Edouard Deville realized that three-month summer field seasons in the high mountains were too short to keep the survey ahead of development spurred by the railway. The ability to cover a large area in a short time became crucial to the success of his surveying project. Deville, who had been born and trained in France, knew that the emerging science of photography had already been applied to surveying as early as 1849. He predicted that this new technology could help to make better use of the short field season, while allowing follow-up work to be done between seasons in a warm, comfortable office. The process, developed by one of Deville’s mentors, had already been successfully used in Germany, Austria, Italy, Switzerland, and the United States.

There were two serious drawbacks to the new technology. First, the photographs had to be of the highest quality, taken under the clearest atmospheric conditions. This would be a problem in the West, since steam locomotives often spewed live coals from their smokestacks, causing heavy smoke and forest fires along the rail line. The second was that the photographs had to be taken from high positions, and in the Rockies this meant the summits of mountains. The mountains did not have to be the highest in any given area, or the most difficult to climb. But mountains had to be climbed. A first generation of homegrown mountaineers was instantly created by the demands of this new surveying technology.

One of the most active of the government surveyors in the Rockies and the Selkirk Mountains was James Joseph McArthur, who worked between 1886 and 1892. During this period, McArthur surveyed the rail line all the way from Canmore, on the eastern edge of the Rockies, to the summit of the Gold Range west of Revelstoke. On September 9, 1887, McArthur and his assistant climbed Mount Stephen from the town of Field, with little previous experience and under the encumbrance of heavy surveying equipment and cameras. This was no easy walk. Here is what McArthur wrote about the climb in the government blue book, in which he was instructed by the department to keep his surveying notes:

Foot by foot we made our way, cutting steps as we ascended, and in time reached the ledge of the rock and looked down the perilous slope. A slip on the glare surface meant death, and how we were going to get down again caused no little anxiety. Crawling
along dangerous ledges and up steep narrow gorges, we groped our way. At length we reached the top of what we had judged from below to be the highest point on the mountain. But another wall arose several hundred feet higher. We moved along to a slanting rift, up which we clambered, sometimes dependent for a hold on the first joints of our fingers. After a perilous climb of about a hundred feet we arrived at a debris-covered slope leading to the top of the ridge. It was like a much-broken wall, in some places not more than three feet wide. It required all our nerve to crawl about the eighth of a mile on the top of one of these half-balanced masses to the highest point on the mountain, 6,385 feet above the railway track.10

Using the railway as a centre for their operations, the surveyors set off to discover new valleys, passes, and mountains. Just like Hector and Rogers before them, they were often without provisions. Although they knew their bearings, they were often lost in totally alien valleys, many of which may not even have been visited by Native peoples. They were constantly encountering bears. The smoke of summer forest fires, often sparked by locomotives, fouled their work. Only very exceptional men could qualify for such travail. Surveyors of the calibre of J.J. McArthur did more climbing, and climbed at a very much higher standard than many later climbers who gained fame from their holiday experiences in the Rockies. It is most fitting that Lake McArthur in Yoho National Park was named for this great surveyor. The fact that so much of this landscape was saved makes it possible to experience Yoho on the same wild terms that made the Rockies so remarkable in the eyes of early surveyors. Though the mountains now are named and we know all their heights, it remains just as challenging and rewarding to explore them and to reach their summits.

THE BIRTH OF TOURISM IN YOHO

The national dream was completed in November 1885. Unfortunately, projected freight and domestic passenger traffic was not of sufficient volume to subsidize the winter upkeep of the line through the formidable mountains of the West. As the most expensive public project ever undertaken in this country, the newly completed railway had to find creative ways to pay for itself. William Cornelius Van Horne had already been thinking about the opportunities the stunning scenery of the West might present to foreign visitors. Thus, for the first time, North America’s spectacular landscapes were seen to have an economic value in their own right.
An astute executive, Van Horne believed that the burgeoning appreciation of mountains that was occurring in Europe could be used to fuel the engines of the railway’s great westbound trains. Almost overnight, the land of the West, with its stupendous peaks and roaring rivers, became a symbol of the bounty of this young country. Thus, the idea of tourism in Canada was born. The image of the aesthetic ideal was made concrete in extensive promotion in Europe and in the eastern United States. Canada became the natural wonder of the Western world. A changing environmental aesthetic had charged wild landscapes with a new spiritual energy, and wealthy travellers were prepared to pay handsomely for the opportunity to look upon unspoiled nature.

THE GRAND HOTELS

The first grand hotel was planned for Banff. With the hot springs as a natural attraction, a big hotel was planned on a site overlooking the Bow Valley near the springs. Smaller railway hotels were planned at convenient intervals along the line, and a chalet was built later at Lake Louise. Mount Stephen House in Field and Glacier House near the summit of Rogers Pass offered meal services for railway passengers, to save the railway pushing heavy dining cars over the passes.

Construction of Mount Stephen House began in the spring of 1886 on a site on the north side of the tracks, a hundred metres or so east of Field station. Designed by railway architect Thomas Sorby, with much advice from a very interested and involved William Van Horne, it was a small structure composed mostly of dining space, with a few rooms for overnight guests. Since service on the main line had already begun, dining cars were parked on a siding at Field to serve train passengers. Mount Stephen House opened in the autumn of 1886. Glacier House and Fraser Canyon House at North Bend did not open until the following spring.

Although Banff and Lake Louise had higher profiles, it did not take rail passengers long to appreciate the stunning scenery that surrounded Mount Stephen House. Field was close to the Natural Bridge on the Kicking Horse and Emerald Lake. Takakkaw Falls was the second highest waterfall in Canada. Easily reached by saddle horse from Field, it became one of the park’s earliest and most-visited attractions, and the railway built Yoho Valley Lodge near the falls.

Word gradually went out that Yoho was worth a stop on the transcontinental route. In 1901, Mount Stephen Park was expanded to 2,145.6
square kilometres (828.5 square miles) and its name was changed to Yoho National Park. In 1902, architect Francis Rattenbury designed a massive expansion to the hotel. Mount Stephen House became a luxurious and elegant entranceway to the glorious scenery of the Rockies. Emerald Lake Lodge also opened for business in 1902.

Located between Banff National Park with its famous hot springs and the dramatic scenery of Rogers Pass, Yoho was in the very centre of what the railway promoted as the Canadian Alps. The Canadian Pacific compressed all the ranges of mountains in the west into “Fifty Switzerlands in One; a mountain playground for the world.” Mount Stephen House would soon become one of the earliest centres of alpinism in Canada’s mountain West and would remain so for more than fifty years.

**SENSE OF PLACE IN A MOUNTAIN TOWN**

*Field is the capital of Yoho National Park. It is a real place in that to live there one must make ritual and actual sacrifices to survive and to be worthy of the honour of living in one of the world’s most remarkable places. We arrived there to live in May. Most of the snow had disappeared in town but still lingered in deep drifts in the trees that rose like green surf up the nearly vertical walls of the surrounding mountains. The dark, just-thawed earth smelled of mud, grass and pine needles. The potholes in the dirt streets were filled with still brown water that reflected the bruised blue of the sky. It was silent save for the rising and falling thrum of the traffic on the Trans-Canada Highway across the river.*

*We had been warned about Field. Field distinguishes itself from other mountain towns by the fact that due to its location tight in the valley at the headwaters of the Kicking Horse River it can be a difficult place in which to live. In the other mountain park towns there is plenty to do if you do not like to hike, climb or ski. Life in Field, however, demands that one really does love the mountains. The landscape demands nothing less of you.*

*Living in Field is like living in the bottom of the Grand Canyon. It is two kilometres, more than a vertical mile, from the river that slides along the bottom of the valley to the summits of the peaks that loom over the town. We moved into an old saltbox next to the train tracks. Without the need of binoculars we could sit on the front porch and watch mountain goats as they picked their way along ledges on the cliffs of Mount Burgess. The mountains were a presence one could not escape. They exerted themselves on the imagination even in darkness.*
WINTER IN YOHO
You have to love the mountains if you want to experience Yoho year-round. Because its valleys are so deep, places like Field can be gloomy in winter. Heavy snowfalls also create high avalanche hazards in most years. However, the light regime improves late in winter, creating the backcountry skiing conditions that are a growing part of the Yoho legend.
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or when they were obscured in storm.

In summer it rained. In winter it snowed and snowed and snowed. There were often blizzards called Yoho Blows that buried our one-storey house in drifted snow. The very harshness of the country could get to you, and if it didn’t, the highway would. Driving in and out of the valley, locals faced two serious hazards. Ice, snow, avalanche, and mud- and rockslides constituted one hazard. The most serious hazard was other drivers. Most Fielders had either seen a fatal highway crash or had had a life-threatening experience of their own on the Trans-Canada. The highway and all its terrors were part of life in the mountains and part of living in Yoho. So it was that people came and went from this town, staying as long as it took to solidify a job with the government or the railway and leaving when the weather, the isolation, or the highway made living in Field unbearable. The people who stayed were invariably characters – dyed-in-the-wool mountain people.

When I moved to Field I did not have all the life skills needed to live successfully there. I was taught a great deal by my neighbours who, I discovered later, had viewed me as something of a rehabilitation project. Under their guidance I learned to clean a chimney, shovel a roof and even fell a tree if need be. Though at no point was I ever beyond accidentally throwing a log through the back window of my pickup truck, I gradually became accomplished enough to cut my own firewood. Although none of my neighbours ever liked to watch me split this wood, I was slowly accepted into the community of Field. Over the time it took to accomplish this, I gradually realized that many of my attitudes and much of the behaviour of locals had in some subtle way been shaped by the mountains around them. This, I discovered, had even begun to happen to me.

Given time, the mountains shape us. Native North Americans know that. All the old-time park wardens understood this fact. So did early horse guides and packers. In Field we were all subjects of the weather and the season. Even our moods were shaped by the kinds of clouds that obscured the narrow slit of sky above the town. Houses in Field were
less it cost to live, the more time you had to travel in the mountains inside and outside of the park. The more places you visited, the more the country could grow in you. The more the country grew in you, the fewer your material needs and the more simply you could live. It was a way of living that fed positively upon itself.

There were a good number of locals who had mastered this mountain way of life. They lived simply but very elegantly. They read widely in winter and walked or biked or climbed just as widely in summer. They had time for the country and the country had time for them. Unlike harried visitors who raised their frustrated fists to the wet skies, locals seldom got very excited about the weather. There were always just enough clear and perfect days to travel in, and if there weren’t, you just dressed for the rain. It was with this enlightenment that my inquiry after wonder, which is the foundation of this book, began.

Although it was easy to see who had mastered a simple way of life, it was more difficult to measure how many any of my neighbours had been affected by the wonder that is the basis of the park’s name and spirit. It is my experience that a deep, carefully nurtured appreciation of place is not something worn as a badge. It is not a degree you can get or a trophy you can win. Nor is it something you can directly ask about. For the people I met in Field who most certainly had a keenly developed relationship with the landscape, sense of place expressed itself more as an attitude than a habit. There was in Field, while we lived there, for example, a park warden named Glen Brook who had developed
a remarkable sense of place a good forty years before I met him. The wonder he possessed manifested itself quietly in a keen naturalist’s eye, an almost photographic knowledge of the park’s backcountry and a gentleness and unselfish charm that easily made him the town’s most respected local. His wife, Irene, had the same charm. Their wrangler friend Slim Haugen had it, too – a timeless, fluid grace instilled by a lifetime of exposure to the land.

Gordon Rutherford, another park warden who was born and raised in Field, had this same gentleness and profound sense of place. He, too, possessed an astounding memory of important things that had happened, it seemed, on every peak and in every valley in the park. In explaining the history of Yoho, Rutherford was simply retelling the events of his life as it had unfolded among these peaks. This was also true for Bev, his wife. Randall Robertson, who had spent most of his working life in Yoho, had the same easy grace. So did Sid Brook and his brother Alex. So did Men Camastral. So do Pierre Lemire and forty others who lived in that small town. Each of these people in their own way demonstrated that sense of place is a form of grace. It is a way of refining yourself by giving yourself up to the land in which you live.

I also learned in Yoho that a deep sense of place can only be acquired in increments. To truly see wonder in any place, one has to experience it through the seasons, through years of subtle learning that comes only from cumulative observation. One has to bathe in the country, in its spring creeks, in its summer lakes, in its autumn larches and in the howling winds of its bitter winters. The legend and legacy of a place like Yoho are derived only partly from the rock walls and the waterfalls. The ways of properly and fully experiencing the country are passed on from generation to generation by those who have known and cared about where they lived. If there is wonder in Yoho, it is in part because people put it there. As the poet Sid Marty once said, these mountains are special because those who have lived in them have made them so and because they would not have them any other way. Our lives are shaped and given value by our experience of place. “It is wonderful,” the Native peoples proclaimed. Yoho, the name for wonder.

**WONDER CONCENTRATED: LAKE O’HARA**

There are a handful of places in the Canadian West where time and rock and water come together in such profoundly pleasing and overwhelming ways that one cannot spend an hour in the presence of such
concentrated wonder without being overcome by awe and reverence for the world that preceded ours. Lake O’Hara is one such place.

Although the main peaks of the Great Divide were explored from the east by way of Lake Louise much earlier, one of Yoho’s special delights, Lake O’Hara, was not “discovered” by Europeans until James Joseph McArthur found his way to it via Cataract Creek in the summer of 1890. The lake was later named for retired Colonel Robert O’Hara, who was among the earliest visitors to the lake. During his surveying explorations, J.J. McArthur also crossed a nearby pass and climbed into the amphitheatre occupied by the lake that was eventually to bear his name.

When people say that they have been to Lake O’Hara they could mean a number of things. They could mean that they rode the bus from the parking lot at the junction of Highway 1A and the Trans-Canada near the Great Divide up the twelve kilometres of gravel and potholes following Cataract Brook to the lake to visit the lodge that sits on its shore. Here one may spend hours just taking in the view of the dazzling teal-green lake, which in mornings and evenings is often still enough to reflect Mounts Victoria and Lefroy, the giants on the boundary separating British Columbia from Alberta. Together these two towering peaks form the back wall of a great stone bowl, with Seven Veil Falls spilling over it to form the lake.

But what locals call “O’Hara” encompasses more than just the lake. Lake O’Hara is wonder concentrated. It is the gentle trail that circles the lake. It is also the steep switchbacks that lead up to grand views at Wiwaxy Gap. Wiwaxy Gap is the starting point for an even greater adventure, for here begins the mountaineering route up the Huber Ledges to the west shoulder of Mount Victoria. “Lake O’Hara” is also the elegant, moderately graded stone walkway past rumbling waterfalls and a series of tiny lakelets to Lake Oesa. Due to its high altitude, Lake Oesa used to be covered with ice well into the summer. “Lake O’Hara” also includes the high alpine route from Oesa over the impressive ledges on the shoulder of Mount Yukness to Opabin Lake and the Opabin Plateau. At the head of the Opabin Plateau is the alpine route to Opabin Pass, a glacier-shrouded shoulder from which mountaineers make their way down to the Eagle’s Aerie and up Wenkchemna Pass to Moraine Lake in Banff National Park.

“O’Hara” is much more than even the remarkable stillness of Opabin Lake set ablaze in the oblique light with the green fire of spring larches. Yet another trail leads, again by way of carefully laid flagstones, to the lip of the plateau. From a viewpoint on this lip, known as Opabin
Prospect, one can see that there are other lakes in the upper Cataract Brook valley other than Lake O’Hara. A whole other domain can be seen to the west. Odaray Plateau is a great swatch of larch that glows faint green in the spring, then burns yellow-gold where touched by the frost in the fall. In a small meadow in the midst of this larch forest is a small cabin that belongs to the Alpine Club of Canada. Constructed in 1919 and named for the feisty co-founder of the club, this famous log cabin is known as Elizabeth Parker Hut. It is from this hut that generations of Canadians and their guests have explored and been transformed by the glory of Lake O’Hara.

A trail wanders past Elizabeth Parker Hut and then forks south toward McArthur Pass and west toward the head of Odaray Plateau. The McArthur Pass trail is also considered part of the Lake O’Hara area. Past the hut, the trail winds through the wildflowers and huge larches and past a line of shallow pools, before climbing gently to the boulder-strewn pass. From the summit of the pass the trail forks again. One fork leads down McArthur Creek into some of Yoho’s wildest backcountry, an area often closed to visitors. The other fork leads upward through some interesting cliff bands to the lip of a cirque that cradles Lake

LAKE O’HARA
There are places in the Canadian Rockies where time and rock and water come together in such profoundly overwhelming ways that one cannot spend an hour in the presence of such concentrated wonder without being overcome by awe. Lake O’Hara is one of those places. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
McArthur, easily one of the most beautiful places on all of the West Slope of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site.

THE CANADIAN YOSEMITE

It only takes one visit to Lake O’Hara and the Yoho Valley to know that the natural history of Yoho National Park is fundamentally about water. Due to its spectacular waterfalls, roaring rivers and the concentrated abundance of ice-and-water shaped features, it is often referred to as our “Canadian Yosemite.”

Glaciers and icefields form Yoho’s northeast boundary, which it shares with Banff. In this area, amidst the Waputik Mountains, lie the Waputik and Wapta Icefields. Waputik is a Stoney word meaning mountain goat. It is out of this icefield that the Daly Glacier flows. The Daly Glacier and nearby Mount Daly were named in 1916 by the American climber Charles Fay for his friend Joseph Francis Daley, who had served as President of the American Geographical Society in New York. Melt from the Daly forms Takakkaw Falls, the second highest waterfall in Canada. Takakkaw is another Native expression of wonderment. It was how the Cree said, “Wow!”

Yoho National Park is roughly divided into three geographical regions. Forested valley floors at the lowest altitudes comprise a little less than half of the park’s 1,313 square kilometres, or 507 square miles. Large mountain regions composed mostly of bare, frost-shattered rock comprise another half. It is in this domain of high plateaus of accumulating icefield snows and living but rapidly shrinking glaciers that the water of the West accumulates as snow each winter. The presence of glacier ice, the altitude and relief of the peaks, the proximity of the continental divide and the number of watercourses draining the area imply regular precipitation in summer and heavy snow in winter. Yoho contains a total of ten completely pristine rivers which all feed into the Kicking Horse River. What we saved in Yoho was one of the most important watersheds in British Columbia.

THE BURGESS SHALE AND YOHO

Cambrian, Ordovician, Silurian, Devonian, Mississippian, Pennsylvanian, Permian. Campbell’s Ordinary Soup Does Make Peter Pale. I am sitting in the famous Truffle Pigs Restaurant in Field, trying to use Stephen Jay Gould’s mnemonic device for remembering the geological
periods of the Paleozoic Epoch of the earth’s history. It is 2002, the International Year of Mountains, and I have returned to the town of Field to take a course offered by the Yoho-Burgess Shale Foundation on the most famous fossils in the world. Over a quiet lunch, I am beginning to make sense of Gould’s geological soup. Campbell’s Ordinary Soup Does Make Peter Pale.

The Burgess Shale course is offered that year in the Yoho Brothers Trading Post. A number of national institutions are working to create a major centre around the UNESCO Nations World Heritage Site on the slopes between Mount Field and Mount Wapta, high above town. A freight train rumbling through town reminds me it was here that Charles Doolittle Walcott discovered these remarkably complete Cambrian-era fossils at roughly the same time as the Spiral Tunnels were completed on the Big Hill up Kicking Horse Pass in 1909.

The course was taught by Dr. Desmond Collins of the Royal Ontario Museum. Between 1972 and 1992, Dr. Collins spent ten field seasons in the Burgess Shale. As Dr. Collins was a world expert on these fossils and on life in the Cambrian seas, it was not surprising that people from all over Western Canada came to Field to hear him speak, and to join his field trips to the quarry he and his students were excavating in the Burgess Shale.

The lecture began with lots of coffee and a detailed introduction to the fossil discoveries made in Yoho National Park. Collins recounted that during the construction of Mount Stephen House in Field in 1886, one of the construction workers went prospecting on Mount Stephen and returned with what he called “stone bugs” trapped in the rock. He showed them to surveyor Otto Klotz, who later showed them to geologist R.G. McConnell of the Geological Survey of Canada and to State of Michigan geologist Karl Rominger, who published the first descriptions of these ancient life forms in a technical journal in 1887. Charles Walcott, who was an unschooled but avid collector of fossils, read Rominger’s article. In 1907, Walcott, who had advanced to the prestigious position of Secretary of the Smithsonian in Washington, D.C., came to Yoho to collect some of the stone-frozen creatures that had been discovered on the slopes of Mount Stephen just above Field. While he was in Field, Arthur Wheeler, the President of the Alpine Club of Canada, invited Walcott to write an article on his finds. In 1909, Walcott and his wife Helen came to the Rockies on a working holiday. While traversing the trail across Mount Wapta, they discovered a second great fossil bed now known as the Burgess Shale.
Collins identified Walcott’s major fossil discoveries and traced the interpretation of these discoveries through much upheaval of thought to the present. He then subtly placed before his audience a professional point of view about the significance of the fossils based on his own work. It soon became clear that his considered analysis of the importance of the Burgess Shale was at some variance with the interpretations of Stephen Jay Gould in his book *Wonderful Life: The Burgess Shale and the Nature of History*. Lively debate ensued on the subject. Collins then concluded his lecture with Gould’s major point about the importance of the Burgess fossils to our understanding of evolution. Gould was right, according to Collins, in that contingency or accident was a powerful evolutionary force.

The Burgess Shale tells us that evolution did not cascade toward the perfection of humans, but toward any channel it could. History may well be contingent upon itself rather than subject to any formal laws of nature. We are, as Gould explains, in awe of these “grubby little creatures of a seafloor 530 million years old.” We are in awe because they are the Old Ones and they are trying to tell us something.12 It was only an accident of contingency that humans evolved. The “stone bugs” of the Burgess Shale have dethroned us from the centre of meaning in the world. Like the Chicxulub Crater in the Yucatan created by the meteorite that likely destroyed the dinosaurs, these fossils teach us that evolution is not simply progress, but the constant adaptation to circumstance and change. As Gould went on to explain in the book he finished just before he died in 2002, the emergence of *Homo sapiens* as a small population in Africa some 200,000 years ago cannot be explained meaningfully without emphasizing the formative role of contingencies that, in principle, do not flow predictably from the laws of nature.13 Chance plays a huge role in nature, which suggests that human actions matter. This is a fact we need to bear constantly and positively in mind as we work to preserve and enhance the mutual relationship between and landscape and culture that makes living in, and visiting, the Rocky Mountains so worthwhile.
For most people, visitors and locals alike, 1,406-square-kilometre Kootenay National Park is defined not by what we saved but by what we built. The best-known feature in Kootenay is the 94-kilometre-long highway that slices right through its heart. Highway 93 branches off the Trans-Canada and enters the headwaters of the Kootenay River at Vermilion Pass, where it crosses the Great Divide into British Columbia. After tracing the footsteps of James Hector to and along the Kootenay River, the blacktop then cuts up Sinclair Pass and right through Sinclair Canyon to Radium and the Columbia River. Many Albertans, in their great hurry to reach their condominiums in Invermere and Fairmont Hot Springs, have to a large extent lost their capacity to see anything of interest in the landscape blur that separates them from all their weekend fun. It is a perfect place, therefore, to contemplate how the automobile has shaped our experience of the mountain West, and how it threatens the very experiences it made accessible. Only by understanding the impact of the automobile in our national parks can we save ourselves from negative effects of its impacts.
their need to accommodate this new and highly demanding transporta-
tion technology.

The car had huge impacts on urban design, architecture and com-
munity. The automobile created a petroleum industry in the Canadian
West and linked it to a consumer-based economy. It revolutionized the
delivery of goods and services and accelerated our way of life. No other
modern technology has so defined who and what we are. To understand
the magnitude of these impacts, we have to know what our culture was
like before the car.

Before the car, there was the train. Before the train, there was the
horse. Before the horse, people travelled on foot in the Canadian West.
For perhaps fourteen thousand years, walking was the only mode of
travel. Confronted with the immense scale of the West, the limitations
of foot travel are obvious. It is slow. The limit of what you can own is
defined by how much you can carry. The limits of your carrying capac-
ity then become limits to the complexity of the society you can create.
Simple societies are often small. While travelling on foot allowed one to
experience landscape more intimately, this means of travel ultimately
limited one’s sense of place. It was hard to appreciate where you were,
because there was no way to leave it so that it could be witnessed com-
paratively from without.

The horse appeared in the Canadian West in about 1730. The horse
accelerated First Nations way of life and tied people into other advances

THE ROLE OF THE ROAD IN LANDSCAPE
PERCEPTION

It is very difficult to imagine today
the impact that the automobile had on the
way of life enjoyed in the Canadian West
in the nineteenth century. The automobile
was perfected at about the same time the
Prairie provinces were created, and it soon
affected almost every aspect of the develop-
ing economy and society in the West. The car
almost instantly increased individual mobility
and became closely associated with status. It
defined fashion. It increased social mobility
and opportunity. It reformed the experience
of travel and refigured rural life. The automo-
bile stimulated the migration of farm families
to cities, which in turn were transformed by
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Communities grew up around the tracks. Within only two years of the completion of the Canadian Pacific Railway in 1885, an entire new, non-native material culture was transported and then deposited in the West. Instead of following the sinuous paths of rivers, the train cut straight lines through the West. Through the establishment of regular schedules, the train brought time into play. It was the advent of public transit. Just as the horse had done, it made us dependent upon it and tied us into further technological innovations that would further accelerate our way of life in its wake.

The scientific and manufacturing breakthroughs that permitted the widespread application of train technology were a product of a larger industrial revolution that swept the globe. These breakthroughs included revolutions in the understanding of metallurgy, the widespread availability of rubber and glass, a new understanding of how to create and control electricity, and the birth of the internal combustion engine. Invention fed upon invention. It was only a matter of time before the mass production technology and affordable distribution would lead to a self-propelled vehicle that would replace the horse as a means of personal transport.

Because they are directly linked to patents, the steps toward the invention and perfection of the automobile have been well documented. The first internal combustion gasoline engines appeared in Europe as early as 1860. A four-cylinder engine was invented by Nicholas Otto in 1878. In 1885, a German engineer named Carl Benz created the first commercially feasible vehicle, a 0.8 horsepower tricycle car. There was an explosion in interest in development of the new technology. The first front-mounted engines appeared in 1890. Shortly after, car
racing became a popular means of drawing public attention to the new technology as it was tested and improved in demanding driving situations on early tracks and roads.

By 1905, the year the provinces of Alberta and Saskatchewan came into existence, the automobile industry even in Canada was well enough established to offer reliable and affordable cars to the public. Soon Henry Ford’s assembly line technology dramatically reduced the cost of a car and democratized its use. But creating a car was one thing; operating it was quite another. An entirely new infrastructure was required to fuel, service and repair this exciting new technology. Then, there was the problem of roads. In understanding the huge impact of the automobile on the horse-driven society that preceded it, one must appreciate the importance of roads to settlement and commerce in the prairie and mountain West.

As historian John Nicks reported, there was no such thing as public works in the West before 1870. Rivers were the primary transportation routes. Except in the mountains, where formal routes had been established and marked to prevent travellers from losing their way among the peaks, the few trails that existed on the prairies led to the easiest and safest river fords. As fur trade posts were established, trails between centres began to be cleared and maintained.

When missionaries began to establish permanent settlements, trail networks emerged to connect them. By the mid-nineteenth century, many of the early trails were maintained to a level that would allow regular passage in dry weather by horse-drawn carts and wagons. With the formal passage of these lands from the hands of the Hudson’s Bay Company to the Dominion of Canada in 1870, responsibility for maintenance of these primitive roads fell to the federal government and its territorial administrations.

The primitive nature of road development in the West was put into relief in 1874 with the arrival of the North West Mounted Police. By the time the main force arrived in southern Alberta, it had left behind a trail of crippled and dead horses, oxen, abandoned carts and footsore Mounties. A second troop with a larger number of wagons followed the “well-established” Carlton Trail but found the conditions along it so difficult that they had to virtually remake the road in order to pass over it.

Steps to improve trails had to wait ten more years until 1884, when major routes in the West were designated as public highways and orders were given by the Department of the Interior for them to be surveyed with the right of way to be vested with the Crown. This did not mean,
However, that the roads were to be improved. Any construction, J.S. Dennis reported in 1898, tended to be “of a light and inexpensive character suited to the then sparsely settled condition of the country.”

With the exception of a few steel spans over the larger, permanent watercourses, road improvements in the pre-automobile days were generally limited to wooden bridges, bridge and ferry approaches, a few culverts, stretches of log corduroy through boggy areas, and occasional grade improvements on steep hills. Road improvements during this time were paid for through set allocations assigned to each electoral division. The work was usually carried out by local contractors using their own primitive equipment.

As settlement advanced, villages appeared along the CPR tracks in newly opened agricultural areas. As these villages grew into towns, local government expanded the basis upon which public works could be funded and carried out. As agricultural development intensified and expanded, the need for better roads for farmers to carry their products to market became apparent and electoral districts began to take over responsibility for their own road systems. The work was usually low-cost and the quality marginal due to inadequate supervision over the design and execution of projects.

A major change in government structure in 1897 created a Federal Department of Public Works that was directly responsible for the construction and maintenance of roads and bridges in what was then the North West Territory. The latest earth-moving equipment was purchased and road building began slowly but in earnest in the West.

Sinclair Canyon

Sinclair Canyon is a great slit composed of bright red rock, through which the traveller gains both physical and ceremonial access to the Columbia River Valley. The Columbia is the Great River of the West in North American historical mythology. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
When Alberta became a province in 1905, all government functions including road building and maintenance were transferred to new provincial departments. The new Alberta Department of Public Works took on the responsibility for the construction and improvement of main roads while secondary roads became the responsibility of local improvement districts. These districts were often small and poorly funded. Many of these districts allowed local farmers to work on roads in lieu of paying taxes, which meant that little was done in spring and fall when it was most needed.

Such was the environment the automobile entered when it suddenly became a viable means of transportation. The train was still the principal means of transportation and distribution. There were few roads, even fewer good roads and the population of the west was too low to support accelerated road development. This, however, did not stop a growing number of enthusiasts from pursuing their interests in better roads.

**KEEPING THE CAR OUT OF THE NATIONAL PARKS**

Though some dispute the claim because the vehicle used the train track and not a road, the first automobile is said to have arrived in the Rockies in the summer of 1904. Its arrival in Banff was not met with enthusiasm. Even the least environmentally-conscious local residents expressed fears of the impact of the automobile on game animals and on the wagon roads that had been developed around the town. These arguments were, of course, simply convenient excuses for resisting new technology. Under pressure from local liverymen, outfitters, hoteliers and the railway, the government passed an order-in-council in 1905 prohibiting the use of automobiles of any kind in the park.

The banning of automobiles from national parks, however, did nothing to stop their westward advance. In 1907, construction began on the Banff Coach road from Calgary and, when it was finished in 1909, the first cars started to arrive in numbers in Banff. On August 14, 1909, Norman Lougheed, uncle of a later premier, and a party of young friends made the first run over the route in a big touring car belonging to his father. Lougheed and his pals made the trip in just nine hours, with only one flat tire. No mention was made of whether they broke any laws in entering Banff.

In the face of the growing popularity and efficiency of the automobile, Ottawa brooded and then, two years later, ruled in favour of the
In the summer of 1913, locals were disturbed by the news that the government had suddenly permitted automobiles to travel on all of the town’s streets and to the Banff Springs Hotel. In 1914 automobiles were allowed to travel anywhere in the park, except to the Upper Hot Springs and on Tunnel Mountain.

THE FIRST AUTO CLUBS

To drive a car in the early years, you needed to have a great deal of money, be mechanically inclined and enthusiastic and adaptable enough in your interests to put up with the “new-fangled contraptions” that passed as early cars. Since mud holes, breakdowns and flat tires were common, you also needed the help of like-minded others to keep from being stranded. Driving meant that you belonged to a fraternity that shared the spirit of a new age. Motor enthusiasts gathered into groups for sport and then kept together to apply political pressure for new roads.

Early auto clubs were informally organized and seldom lasted more than a summer. The reason for this was that “automobiling” was a seasonal activity not unlike “snowmobiling” is today. Nor should it be perceived that everyone was in love with the car. Despite the boosters who owned a growing number of dealerships and car enthusiasts who often joined auto clubs, there were many Westerners who resisted this new technology. Some of the resistance came from institutionalized interests such as the railway and horse-based businesses. There was also resistance from those who thought automobile ownership was simply an amusement of the moneyed classes. There were others, however, who had begun to envision the impact the automobile might have on their lives. Some did not like what they saw.

The pushy way in which the Calgary Automobile Club had invaded places like Banff did not win a lot of friends. Many felt that the impacts of this new technology ought to have been examined more fully before motorists were offered carte blanche access to all the special places that were formerly the exclusive domain of hikers and horsemen.

The car also began to threaten the way of life in one of the province’s founding cultures. In March of 1912, a car full of Calgary automobile lovers, out for a night spin across the foothills, was attacked by a pack of what historian Tony Cashman described as “hootin’ shootin’ cowboys.” The cowpokes apparently appeared suddenly out of the darkness with guns blasting. They shot a hole through the roof of the iron invader, and
then raced their horses away over the foothills on which horses had once been supreme.

Despite antipathy toward the automobile among circles of people who couldn’t afford them or who had affection for horses, there was no denying that those who owned cars were enjoying them. There was something about the noise and power and speed of the car that was instantly intoxicating. It was fun to ride in one and a thrill to drive – provided, of course, there was a road to drive on. Alberta automotive interests persisted and it soon became clear they wouldn’t be satisfied with a road that ended in Banff. What they really wanted was a road that went to Radium and then south into the United States.

The first challenge to ensuring proper road development in Canada was jurisdictional. As is often the case in Canada, there was a lively argument over whether road construction and maintenance were provincial or federal responsibility. Influential politicians, including William Lyon Mackenzie King, held that road construction was a provincial matter. There were many who held that promoting the interest of the car-owner was one thing, and that promoting good roads was another and somewhat larger but separate matter. It became obvious that interests had to unite in order to resolve these issues.

Despite the outbreak of the World War I, in 1916 another four thousand cars hit the road in Alberta. A few trucks also appeared. The coal mines of the Drumheller valley were booming with war orders. It was said of Drumheller that the mines there depended on the Three Ms: men, mules and Model Ts. The tribute to the Model T was an appreciation of its ability to “skitter” up the steep mine roads even in wet weather. The car was beginning to prove itself as a practical, working technology.

By 1919, there were thirty-four thousand motor vehicles in Alberta. That same year, the federal government passed the Canada Highways Act, which made $20 million available to the provinces for cost-shared road building projects. Auto clubs across the country and the Canadian Automobile Association supported the idea of main highways. A concept known as the King’s International Highway proposed that a road from Montreal to Vancouver be identified and improved. This route was to be known as the King’s Canadian Highway as soon as the governments of Ontario and British Columbia were able to build enough roads north of the border to contain the highway within Canadian boundaries. In 1920, the Vancouver Auto Club sponsored a trip by its president, Percy Gomery, to demonstrate that it was possible to drive
by the Banff-Windermere Highway through Kootenay National Park, the park the federal government got not for a song, but for a road.

THE BANFF-WINDERMERE HIGHWAY

Until the end of World War I, almost all traffic in the Columbia River Valley followed the Canadian Pacific Railway line connecting Golden to the Crowsnest by way of the Columbia River Trench. Well before the war, local Columbia Valley businessmen had already begun to press for direct highway connections to the trade centres of Banff and Calgary. The idea was also put forward that a highway that went directly north from Radium would open up a burgeoning automobile tourism market in the United States. The attraction for Canadians driving south and Americans driving north would of course be Radium Hot Springs. Construction started on the road in 1911, but was stalled by the war and provincial funding limitations. Post-war federal support for highway development, however, reignited enthusiasm for the road. In exchange for federal support for the road, the province of British Columbia gave up five miles (about eight kilometres) of mountain landscapes on either side of the right-of-way to federal government in support of the creation of a national park. In retrospect this was a good deal for everyone. The mountain West got its first highway across the Rocky Mountains and in 1920 the people of Canada got a stunningly beautiful national park that provided a crucial ecological link between Yoho and Mount Assiniboine Provincial Park. This link was not created, however, without costs.
The automobile caused and continues to cause a lot of problems, especially in and around our national parks. With the advent of the automobile, people began for the first time to feel safe, comfortable and superior around bears. This made people bold. They also carried food, which in turn made bears bold. This mutual boldness led to some ridiculous and dangerous situations. With the creation of what is affectionately known today as the “bear jam,” locals and visitors alike competed with each other to publicly demonstrate how thoughtless they could be around wild animals. The consequences were manifold and continue to plague us decades later.

The automobile is by far the most dangerous thing that exists in our mountain national parks. Its toll on wildlife is astounding. Speed and comfort are often the enemy of experience and respect for place. Yet what we saved still has the potential to inspire a different way of thinking about the highway route to Radium.

Kootenay National Park is every bit as amazing and possesses as many remarkable features as other mountain national parks. Kootenay is the only national park in this country in which one can find both cactus and glaciers. But you have to work to see extraordinary features like Helmet Falls, Floe Lake and the Great Rockwall because they are only accessible by trail. Though you can drive to popular Radium Hot Springs, you have to walk uphill to Tumbling Glacier and Kindersley Pass. It is a long haul up the Kaufmann Creek Valley to Fay Hut. Only a committed few experience these marvels. Most will be satisfied with a drive through Kootenay National Park on Highway 93, which is beautiful enough to make it a contender as one of the most spectacular drives in the world. It is a drive that parallels some of most important watercourses in British Columbia before converging upon the Great River of the West.
FEELING THE TUG OF THE GREAT RIVER

I have always had the same feeling crossing Vermilion Pass in Kootenay National Park as I have when crossing Kicking Horse Pass into Yoho, but once again it took decades before I understood why the sense of destination was the same even though the passes feel so very different. The similarity between the two passes resides in the fact that both lead essentially to the same extraordinary geophysical feature. Though it is so immense and manifests itself in many different ways in response to altitude and latitude, it is the feature that essentially defines sense of place between the continental divide of the Rocky Mountains and the Coast Ranges in this part of the North American West. That feature, of course, is the Columbia River.

The difference in “feel” between the two main passes through the Rockies in Canada resides less in their topography and history than in the fact that each accesses the Columbia Basin at a different point along the course of the great river. These points are different enough in character that it takes a number of visits or even an extended stay in the basin itself to discover that both of these mountain regions are in fact but different expressions of the same great watershed.

As the route parallels one of the Columbia’s greatest tributaries, the Kootenay, before dropping like a stone into the Columbia River Valley, the road to Radium provides the traveller with a particularly engaging sense that there is something monumental just beyond, the scale of the landscape that one can’t quite grasp. It happens often that visitors pass over the divide and right through the entire basin fully cognizant that there is something utterly stupendous they are feeling but unable to ground their awe in any one feature that would permit them to articulate the overwhelming nature of place in any satisfactory way. Not only is the scale of the Columbia Basin simply too large to grasp as a feature in itself, the great river expresses itself in far too many ways – from the bold exclamation of water and ice-carved mountain peaks, immense forests and the course of the great river itself, to the subtle nuances of the unique species of wildflowers that grow right up to the edge of the road.

While the great basin continues to repeat its story over the ages, we are able to catch only a few phrases in that telling. We can tell from what we see through the windshield that it is an epic tale, as old perhaps as the earth itself, and we’d love to hear more but we just don’t have time. As a result we aren’t able to connect the part of the story we hear when
we cross Vermilion Pass with the part of the story we hear when we reach the Columbia Valley. The story of the Columbia River below Vermilion Pass is one of origins, of the birth of the great river’s tributaries; it is the story of the lake at its headwaters, of two hundred kilometres of wetlands, and of the tales water tells in the making of river.

But there is another reason travellers have difficulty comprehending the Columbia River story. The parts of the epic explained by the upper reaches that contribute to the great river have been told in the same way and in the same language for ten thousand years. That language is stone, receding ice and running water. But now we speak a new dialect composed of a whole new vocabulary of roads and rails, ranches and farms, mines, mills and towns. We have almost forgotten our mother tongue. Fortunately, the words that came into existence at the beginning of the world are still spoken in Kootenay National Park. The language of water is one of the things we saved.

**THE ROAD TO RADIUM**

Though our protected area networks are not presently taking full advantage of growing national interest in water resources, there is no reason why that could not change very quickly in service of the common good. The headwaters that is the Rocky Mountains is a vast and relatively wild domain in which only a single agency has jurisdiction over both land use and watershed management. Imagine that: one agency responsible for both water resources and land use. It is a model situation, really. This is what the rest of the world is trying to work back toward.

It has been widely identified that in the face of a growing global water crisis, what we need in this country is a new water ethic that harmonizes federal and provincial water resource management aspirations under a multi-disciplinary scientific umbrella. Under the aegis of such an ethic, we need to ensure formal representation for the environment itself and ways to advocate for nature’s own need for water. This will perpetuate bio-diversity and ecosystem productivity, which are central to long-term perpetuation of favourable hydrological circumstances in the Canadian West. Some think that such an ethic could direct us toward everything else we need to do, including addressing climate change and achieving sustainability.

Such an ethic can be born here in the western mountain parks. To create this ethic, however, there are things we need to know that only
Science can tell us. We know the hydrology of the mountain West is on the move, and that long before global warming takes out our glaciers it will play havoc with snow pack and snow cover and in so doing profoundly change the amount and timing of water availability. From this we recognize an obvious and immediate need for additional monitoring and interpretation of expanded hydro-meteorological data, for enhanced understanding of present and future surface and groundwater flow regimes, and support for aquatic ecosystem research, especially at higher altitudes. We need to further develop techniques that will allow us to more effectively predict and act upon landscape and climate change effects on mountain ecosystems and western water availability.

Two avenues are worthy of exploration in this domain.

Firstly it is important to acknowledge the role of upland lakes, wetlands, forests and rivers in capturing and slowly releasing water, as a foundation of downstream water supply security and human settlement stability. It is important to remember, however, that natural and aquatic ecosystems do not exist just to supply and purify water for human use. Natural systems perform many other functions, and when

The Verendrye Fire of 2003

A series of lightning strikes in Kootenay National Park in 2003 started a huge fire in very dry conditions, which burned a significant area of the Vermilion and Kootenay River Valleys. The fire came very close to burning down historic Kootenay Park Lodge. Photograph by Gord Irwin.
natural ecosystems are diminished or disappear these functions have to be reproduced or enhanced elsewhere if our planetary life-support system is to continuing functioning in the manner on which we have come to rely. If eco-hydrological research tells us anything it is that that is clearly not happening, which makes what we do in upland protected areas even more important.

Secondly, we must never forget also that water is married to its diametric and symbolic opposite, fire. The story of fire is well told on the road to Radium. Fire doesn’t just affect forests, it also affects how much water forests can hold and release over time. Water is one of the most important and least appreciated forest products.

We need to know much more about the relationship between insect pests, fire and water, especially in our mountain park headwaters. These are exactly the things we are studying in Kootenay. In this resides huge opportunity. If the national park model of integrated watershed management could be perfected and then expanded outward from our protected headwaters, and applied downstream, the West of the future would be a very different place. Not only would we be able to assure a more reliable water supply and temper the impacts of climate change, the national park integrated watershed management example could become a foundation for a truly sustainable Western Canadian society.

A second great public policy achievement in the West could be built upon the first. We have created the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site, now let’s use it to demonstrate to the world how we can follow the water in our rivers and lakes back to the headwaters of our history.

From there we can identify that point in time where we made a wrong turn, in terms of taking the importance of our water resources for granted. From there we can correct that mistake and start again downstream from these mountains toward sustainability. Through persistent and thoughtful interpretation and communication there is no reason such a process could not begin on the road to Radium.
On a clear day, from a high pass or ridge, one can see 3,618 metre Mount Assiniboine from a hundred kilometres away. It stands sharply and easily recognizable above all the peaks in the southern Rockies. Its remoteness, sheer size, and imposing steepness have made it a place to which hikers and climbers have made pilgrimages since Europeans first came to these mountains. Even today, a visitor to the Rockies is not considered serious within devout mountain circles unless he or she has visited this mountain.

It seems that a disproportionate number of “power places” can be found on the West Slope of the Mountain Parks. We have already described Lake O’Hara. Tucked beneath the Great Divide separating Alberta from British Columbia, Lake O’Hara is the centre of a grand landscape that radiates outward from its shores to create a mountain paradise defined by common rock types, still reflections of enormous peaks which en masse shape uniquely local weather patterns that are expressed in a remarkable local vegetation complex. All of these features are presided over by the known presence of grizzly bears, which provides an added dimension of the sublime to walking through the high meadows at the base of the mountain. The combination of these
terrible presence that can overpower the perceptions of those who stand beneath its sheer walls. The staggering scale of this mountain makes it difficult to comprehend its full physical and aesthetic dimensions or to represent them in word or image.

Archaeological evidence indicates that the first people to visit and frequent the mountain were the Ktunaxa, who lived on the west side of the continental divide. Although there is no proof that Native peoples lived year round near this particular landmark, they would have seen it regularly as they made their way across passes connecting to trading partners on the Great Plains. Because it was a difficult mountain to miss, early explorers did not fail to note the wind-strafed pyramid even if they didn’t visit it. Later, more experienced travellers remarked on the similarity between its imposing bulk and the dominating shape of the Matterhorn in the Alps.

The first man to record seeing the mountain was Father De Smet who crossed White Man’s Pass in 1845. On his primitive map he marked a big pyramid that in all likelihood was seen from the summit of the pass. In 1884, George Dawson, the genius who headed the Geological Survey of Canada, was working in the eastern part of the Rockies. He recorded seeing the glistening wedge from the summit of Copper Mountain near Banff. In 1885, it was he who gave the mountain its present name. He named it for the Stoney people, a group of Plains Sioux who migrated features imbues this concentrated area with signature qualities. Lake O’Hara, quite literally, feels like and smells like no other place in the Rocky Mountains.

Sense of place is defined differently at Mount Assiniboine than in surrounding parks. Though the peaks around it are tall and spectacular, its alpine meadows and turquoise lakes are as perfect as in any in the Rockies, and the presence of the great bear ensures that visitors here are kept at the same heightened level of awareness as they are at Lake O’Hara. All of these elements are eclipsed by the overwhelming presence a single imposing wonder – the mountain itself. This great stone tower completely dominates the surrounding landscape. Even when unseen in darkness or in storm, this peak exerts a powerful, sometimes
into the eastern Rockies once they became equestrian. It was a practice of this tribe to boil their food, including meat. This they did by dropping hot rocks into water-filled skin bags sunken into hollows in the earth. The people were originally called Stone-Boilers, but this name was shortened to Stoney. Their own name for the tribe, however, was Assiniboine.

Due to the size of the mountain, it is not surprising the European sense of place in the Mount Assiniboine area was first established by mountaineers. The first recorded formal expedition that actually went to the mountain was led by Tom Wilson, the famous Banff horse guide and outfitter credited with being the first European to see both Lake Louise and Emerald Lake. His client was one Robert L. Barrett, a Chicago
paper manufacturer and business magnate with a mad desire to climb mountains for sport. In 1893 he and Wilson, with George Fear as cook, rode from the Sunshine area in what is now Banff National Park, with the hope that Barrett would have an opportunity to attempt the big peak from a camp below the face. The season was late, however, and Barrett never got his chance at the mountain.

The first attempt to actually climb Mount Assiniboine took place in the summer of 1899. The expedition was ostensibly led by American Walter Wilcox and included Henry Bryant and Louis Steele. The party left Banff on July 22 with a complete outfit but no climbing guide. They took a route proposed by Tom Wilson that followed Healy Creek to the Sunshine area and over Citadel Pass. Beleaguered by a snowstorm, they camped below Mount Assiniboine at a site Wilcox recognized from his first visit to the mountain in 1895. While Wilcox went back to retrieve a rucksack that had fallen from one of the horses, Bryant and Steele tried to scale the mountain. In 1899 the glacier that pours down the north side of the mountain was much longer than it is today, reaching almost all the way to Lake Magog. The two climbers used this ramp to gain access to the snowfield above. Though the snow conditions made progress slow, the two reached the 3,000 metre mark on the mountain before they were forced back by the advance of yet another storm. Despite a minor accident on the descent, the two made it safely back to the lake where they camped for four days waiting for conditions to improve. During this time Wilcox made many of his famous early photographs of the lake and surrounding panoramas – photographs that are still inspiring. No further progress, however, was made on climbing the mountain during that trip.

The following year another attempt was made on Assiniboine by two amateur climbers from Chicago. Turned back from the summit by the first vertical cliff bands, the brothers Willoughby and English Walling appear to have made something of a mess of the whole affair. Their defeat was made even more ignominious when they lost their way on the trip back from the mountain. If anything, their expedition is notable only for the fact that theirs was the first to use Swiss guides in an attempt on Mount Assiniboine.

A few failures on a large mountain, in the eyes of the mountaineering community at least, can add immeasurably to that mountain’s reputation. Mount Assiniboine, it appeared, was a major challenge and the first to climb it would be worthy of laurels and high public praise. It was clear also that the mountain would not be impossible. Quite simply, it would
be conquered by the first person to arrive at the mountain when it was in good condition with enough supplies to make a prolonged push for the summit. To this end, expeditions became increasingly secretive. In a very quiet way, a race was on for the peak.

In the busy 1901 season Wilcox and Bryant were back again, this time with professional Swiss guides. They made a very determined effort to climb the mountain from the southwest but the conditions were not right. It was late in the season and first rain then snow greeted them as they approached the peak. Though they reached the highest point yet attained on the mountain, the expedition was driven back by avalanches and bitter cold. Wilcox was greatly disappointed.

Word quickly got out about the latest failed attempt on the “Canadian Matterhorn.” The failure of the Wilcox expedition was analyzed in detail among climbers camped in the Rockies that summer. It just so happened that 1901 was a big year for Canadian climbing. That summer the Canadian Pacific Railway brought to the Rockies none other than the “Prince of Mountaineers” himself, Sir Edward Whymper. Whymper had made the first ascent of the real Matterhorn in 1865. Surely – to be consistent with his great accomplishments in the Alps – the famous climber would be after the summit prize on Mount Assiniboine. As fate would have it, though, the sixty-two-year-old Whymper had no intention of risking his fame and his life on a mountain he was not sure he could climb.

James Outram heard about Wilcox’s unsuccessful attempt while visiting Whymper in the Yoho Valley. Outram’s interest in Assiniboine was doubtless fuelled by a promise made by his outfitter, Bill Peyto, who claimed he could get Outram’s expedition to the foot of the mountain in only two days. They set out from Banff on August 31, 1901, and true to his word, Peyto had the Outram party at the base of the mountain on the evening of the second day. On September 2, Outram’s party tried from the southwest and failed. The weather was clear the next day and, making use of a cache established in their previous attempt, they made for the peak from the south arête. Spending nearly two glorious hours on the summit, they descended by the north face, traversing the mountain as they returned to camp. They broke camp the next day and headed slowly back to Banff. Another storm struck the mountain as they did so, forcing them to plod through heavy snow. The day they had chosen to climb may have been the only window.

The first ascent by a woman took place in 1904, when Gertrude Benham, a famous English climber, made the ascent with two local
guides. Though many other expeditions would come to the mountains seeking ever more challenging routes, the reputation of the Assiniboine area in the 1920s was established, not by climbers per se, but by walking tours offered by Arthur Wheeler. These great loop trips took enthusiasts on long outings in the very best country in the Rockies. Assiniboine was one of his most popular destinations. As it happened, one of Canada’s famous mountaineering accidents occurred on one of these walking tours. It was an accident that explains a great deal about the nature and character of Mount Assiniboine and why it is regarded as an almost mythical feature in the mountain West.

THE AGONY OF MRS. STONE

In 1921 Conrad Kain was invited to accompany Winthrop and Margaret Stone on one of Arthur Wheeler’s celebrated Walking Tours to Mount Assiniboine. Kain’s relationship with the Stones began at the Alpine Club Camp’s Mount Robson camp in 1913. By the time the Stones had reached Mount Assiniboine in July of 1921, Winthrop considered himself an expert climber who no longer needed a professional guide to make his way successfully to the summits of his alpine ambition. The
Stones had already been to the Assiniboine area with the ACC in 1920 and were anxious to set out for their own adventure. They very much wanted to “crown a big one” in 1921. The big one they chose was Mount Eon, another giant over 3,000 metres located just south of Mount Assiniboine. Unfortunately, Conrad Kain could not be with them when they made their bold attempt.

Mount Eon appears to have been named by James Outram. Its name suggests a timelessness often associated particularly with the big mountains that compose the Great Divide of the Canadian Rockies. The deceiving scale of this monstrous 3,310 metre peak is masked by its close proximity to Mount Assiniboine. The first attempt on the mountain was made in the summer of 1920. Dr. A.W. Wakefield, H.G. Graves and L.H. Lindsay failed to get above 3,000 metres (9,842 feet) on the mountain due to worsening weather and insufficient scouting of the route. The trio reported plenty of rotten rock on the mountain. In 1921, Winthrop and Margaret Stone left Wheeler’s camp on Friday, July 15 for a four-day excursion during which they hoped to make the first ascent of Mount Eon. Both climbers were in excellent physical condition and were well acquainted with the peak from a reconnaissance they did the previous year.

Having sent provisions and their gear ahead to Marvel Pass with a packer, the Stones made a leisurely crossing of Wonder Pass and walked past extraordinary Gloria, Terrapin and Marvel Lakes. They bivouacked a short distance south of the col that divides the east face of Mount Gloria. They spent Saturday, July 16 examining appropriate routes they could take to the summit. On the 17th of July, the couple rose early and, forsaking the northeast shoulder of Eon that foiled the 1920 attempt on the mountain, worked their way south and gained a ledge at about 7,800 feet (2,377 m) and passed around the southeast arête to the base of its wide south face where at its east end there was a yellow-capped outlying tower on the same level as the ledge by which they were certain they could access the summit. With the tower as point of departure, they climbed ledges and broken slopes for nearly 1,700 feet (518 m) before reaching the southeast arête. Another 800 feet (244 m) of climbing brought them to a band of snow up which they were able to kick secure steps in order to access a ledge above. They continued to follow broken ledges and short couloirs of unstable rock to a wide, steep and irregular chimney that opened with dangerously sloping topsides onto the summit. They reached the base of the summit chimney at about 6:00 p.m. It was here that Winthrop Stone, feeling the summit now
within their immediate reach, directed Margaret Stone to a place with secure footing clear of any potential rock fall at the base of chimney and climbed alone until he could see over the top of the chimney.

At this point, however, Dr. Stone was still unclear as to whether or not a higher point on the mountain existed. Mrs. Stone asked if they were near the top. Winthrop replied that he could “see nothing higher” but he would go up and make sure. He added that the rock above the chimney was quite unstable and that Margaret should be careful to keep under cover.

Dr. Stone then climbed out of the chimney and disappeared for a minute or so and shortly afterwards without any warning, a large slab of rock tumbled off from above, passing over Mrs. Stone, and was closely followed by Dr. Stone, who spoke no word but held his ice-axe firmly in his right hand. Horror stricken at the sight, Mrs. Stone braced herself to take the jerk of the rope, not realizing that the Doctor had taken it off to explore beyond its length...¹

Winthrop Stone fell sixty feet (eighteen metres) to a narrow ledge below, and then the body plunged from ledge to ledge until it seemed to Margaret that it must have fallen right to the base of the peak. Then there was only the silence of the muted wind.

It is not surprising that Margaret Stone did not regain her strength and wits for some hours after the accident. By the time she was able to control herself, it was too dark to attempt a descent of the mountain. She was forced to spend the night at the bottom of the chimney only forty feet (twelve metres), from the summit from which her husband had fallen. So began the agony of Mrs. Stone.

When the dawn came Margaret Stone began her gradual descent, all the while searching for her husband in the declining hope that he might still be alive. By following various geological landmarks she remembered from the ascent, Mrs. Stone was able to progress toward the camp they had left early the morning before. Unstrung as she was, however, and exhausted and without food, Margaret was unable to reach the lower ledges before the darkness of her second night on the mountain set in. Her condition worsening, she set out at daybreak the next morning. By keeping a familiar yellow-capped tower in constant view, she was able to reach the landmark by mid-day. In her frantic search for her husband’s body, however, she mistook the route at this point and made some fruitless forays onto ledges that led only to steep cliffs. She saw below her a scree slope that seemed to rise from timberline to a ledge below the one she was on. Securing her climbing rope around a
rock, she let herself down a broken chimney until she was about ten feet (nine metres) from the ledge below. To her great dismay, she ran out of rope at this point and was forced to drop from the end of the rope to the ledge. To her absolute horror, she then discovered that the ledge did not, indeed, connect to the scree slope she saw below. In fact, the ledge upon which she had dropped broke off on both ends in impossible faces. She could not get down to the scree and she could not reach up to the rope that dangled from the chimney above her.

Margaret Stone tried to build up a pile of rocks so that she could reach the waving end of the rope, but she was too weak to complete the task. She was forced to wait on the ledge for help. Possessing no food or shelter and with no extra clothing beyond the flannel shirt and the knickerbockers in which she had climbed the mountain, Mrs. Stone’s condition worsened with each passing hour. She would wait six more days on this ledge, her life sustained only by a trickle of water issuing from a crack under the cliff.

As the Stones had planned to be on their own for a full four days, little thought was given to their absence until their expected return. Even when they did not show up as expected on Monday, July 18, little concern was expressed by packers and guests who waited for them at Assiniboine Camp. Such was the nature of mountaineering that a day’s delay in returning from an expedition did not warrant much concern. It was, after all, not unusual for climbers to meet unexpected difficulties, especially on first ascent attempts. By the morning of Tuesday, July 19, however, those down in the valley began to concern themselves with
their friends on the peak. Mr. Raimon of Brooklyn, New York set out from Wheeler’s camp with provisions in an attempt to find the missing climbers. He met two other Americans while en route and implored them to aid in the search. When the party still failed to materialize, the Americans sent packer Reno Fritten to the Trail Gang’s camp, where they learned from Frank Gombert and Jack Betteridge the location of the Stones’ bivouac, which the two horsemen had visited on Sunday, July 17. Gombert led the Americans to the camp and found it empty. Returning to Assiniboine Camp they were pleased to discover that a packer named Childs had already been dispatched to Banff for more qualified help.

On Friday, July 22, a week after the Stones had departed on their expedition, the Swiss guide Rudolf Aemmer arrived at Assiniboine Camp with Bill Peyto, having made the forty-five-mile (seventy-two-kilometre) journey from Banff to Mount Assiniboine in only one day. In consultation with the horsemen who had scouted the area surrounding the bivouac for clues of the route the Stones had taken, it was decided that the south side of Mount Eon was likely the best place to begin the search.

On the morning of Saturday, July 23, Aemmer set out with Bill Peyto, the packer Childs and a member of the North West Mounted Police who had been dispatched to the scene by the Superintendent of Rocky Mountain National Park, as Banff was known then. Ascending Marvel Pass they found the Stones’ bivouac just as they had left it eight days before. After making their way up to a broad ledge of Mount Eon and onto the summit of a south spur, they were at last offered a good view of the lower reaches of the mountain’s south face. In the failing afternoon light, after long and careful scanning of the mountain with binoculars they were just about ready to abandon the search. Then, when they had given up all hope, they heard it. It was someone calling from a distant point to the west. Startled, they looked again.

On a stone ledge a quarter of a mile away and three hundred feet beneath them (about 0.4 kilometre and 91.4 metres), they spotted Mrs. Stone. They fired a shot to announce to Mrs. Stone that her agony was nearly at an end. Working around the mountainside they were soon on a ledge above her. Rudolf Aemmer descended to the ledge and Mrs. Stone was raised toward the rescue team above. But the eight exposed days alone without food had taken their toll. She was too weak to walk. Aemmer carried her on his back around the base of Mount Eon, a distance of a mile (1.6 km) over the broken and unstable ledges, and down through the moraines to timberline where they bivouacked for the
night. She was too weak to be moved from this primitive camp. Fortunately Dr. Fred Bell of Winnipeg joined the party to care for Mrs. Stone. For two days they waited for her to gradually gain enough strength to permit her to be carried by stretcher to Trail Centre Camp. All hands were needed to carry the stretcher the fourteen miles (22.5 km) to Trail Centre where Miss Brown, the manager of the Camp, and Mrs. Fred Bell, did everything they could to revive her tortured body and soul.

The effort applied to Mrs. Stone’s evacuation so exhausted the rescuers that a fresh party was called forth to recover Winthrop Stone’s body. Arthur Wheeler, who at the time of the accident had been engrossed in an official boundary survey further north, arrived in time to initiate the search. Wheeler’s party was comprised of Aemmer, Edward Feuz Jr., Conrad Kain, Lennox Lindsay and Mack and Elizabeth MacCarthy. The packer Ralph Rink was responsible for supplying the party. On August 2, the recovery team arrived at Trail Centre, where they were grateful to find Mrs. Stone in a much-improved state and in the company of her youngest son Richard. Elizabeth MacCarthy, a close friend of Margaret Stone’s from their years together in the Purcell Range, chose to stay with her friend while her husband and the others looked for Winthrop Stone’s body.

ASSINIBOINE LODGE
The Marquis degli Albizzi and a ski instructor from Lake Placid, Erling Strom of New York, opened a tourist lodge below Mount Assiniboine in 1929. Strom ran the lodge until 1966, when he relinquished management to his daughter, Siri. Erling Strom continued to visit the lodge in summers until 1978, which marked his fiftieth year in the Rockies. At the time of this writing, Assiniboine Lodge had been operated for twenty years by Sepp and Barb Renner who maintain its rustic charm and grant visitors the same intimate experience of place the first adventurers experienced when they found their way to this remote peak. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
On August 5th, 1921, the recovery team successfully followed the Stones’ route to near the base of the chimney just below the summit of Mount Eon. Upon reaching the 10,000 foot ledge (3,048 m) at the south-east arête, Feuz spotted Winthrop Stone’s body. Above the snow band Kain recovered his ice axe. Noting how badly broken the summit formation was, the climbers avoided Stone’s chimney route to the summit taking instead a badly broken line to the west to the peak, which they reached at 3:00 p.m. By examining Stone’s route they were able to clearly establish that Stone had, indeed, made the first ascent of the mountain before he plunged to his death. The recovery team built a cairn in Stone’s honour and planted his ice axe in the centre. After photographing the cairn, the climbers retrieved the ice axe for Stone’s family.

Aemmer and four others received a special citation from the American Alpine Club for their role in the rescue of Margaret Stone. For Aemmer the rescue was nothing less than a matter of honour. He spoke sincerely and for all the professional guides in the Rockies when he said, “Real guides cannot be heroes. When somebody gets into trouble in the mountains, we go after him, take the necessary risks, and bring him down. Nothing else counts.”

Today, with helicopters and trained rescuers, Margaret Stone would not likely have been so long on Mount Eon. But despite improvements in mountain rescue techniques, the peaks in Mount Assiniboine Park are still wild. Today a dozen parties will climb Mount Assiniboine during a good window of weather. What we have saved in protecting these peaks is a baseline against which anyone with courage and enough strength can make themselves whole by overcoming fear and exhaustion to reach the peak. But you don’t have to climb the mountain to bask in its great shadow. All you have to do is visit it.

THE CREATION OF MOUNT ASSINIBOINE PROVINCIAL PARK

Upon the urging of the Alpine Club of Canada, British Columbia set aside 5,120 hectares of the area on February 6, 1922 as Mount Assiniboine Provincial Park, the seventh in a fledgling park system. One large and five small shelters, known as the Naiset Cabins, were later constructed at Assiniboine as part of an arrangement whereby the Alpine Club of Canada granted Arthur Wheeler a lease to use the properties at two dollars per annum. In 1927, Wheeler sublet the buildings to a half-Russian, half-Italian nobleman who happened to be the Winter Sports
Director at Lake Placid in New York. All accounts suggest that Marquis Nicholas degli Albizzi was a genuine character. Certainly, few can question his impact on the Assiniboine area.

In the spring of 1928, Albizzi and his friend Erling Strom, who taught skiing at Lake Placid, planned a winter visit to the Assiniboine area. Local outfitters and guides thought the expedition a little impractical and discouraged them from such madness. Undeterred, the duo made their difficult way through the downed timber of the burned-out valleys to the safety of the Naiset Cabins. The weather broke after they arrived and they enjoyed seventeen days of outstanding skiing.

So enthusiastic was Albizzi about the potential of the great peak as a visitor attraction that he approached the CPR with a proposal to build a major lodge in the meadows below the mountain. It opened in 1929, but not without problems. The Marquis quickly grew disillusioned with the place and it fell into the hands of outfitter Bill Brewster, who ran it only in summer. Erling Strom, returning from a visit home to Norway, engaged the use of the lodge in the winter months then gradually assumed responsibility for the buildings all year round. Strom ran
it until 1966, when he relinquished management to his daughter, Siri. Strom continued to visit his beloved Assiniboine until 1978, the year that marked his fiftieth year in the Rockies.

In 1973, the park area was increased sevenfold to its present size of 39,050 hectares. It is one of the best-loved places in the Rockies. It is the place in which Arthur Wheeler’s mountain spirit appears to have come to reside. You can’t seem to escape his presence.

Though some visitors still walk into Mount Assiniboine using Wheeler’s favourite route from Sunshine, many people now helicopter to the fabled peak. Assiniboine Lodge has played a significant role in the history of this area of the Canadian Rockies. There is something in the simplicity of the architecture of the main lodge and the tiny line of cabins, which resonates with the character of the place. Visitors often express a feeling of experiencing an underlying current at Mount Assiniboine that somehow connects the landscape to the fundamental universal rhythms that unify form and meaning in art, poetry and music. There is some kind of indwelling quality of place and it touches a deep chord in those who visit what Wheeler called “this land of forests primeval, of lakes and exquisite blues and greens, of cascading torrents, flower-strewn uplands, wildly tumbling ice-falls, towering rock peaks and cloud-capped mountains massed with snow.” At Mount Assiniboine, you get to the heart of the mountain landscape immediately, where it doesn’t take much time to confront the timeless.

Though Mount Assiniboine is a remarkable place in its own right, it derives much of its wildness from being contiguous with Kootenay to the west and Banff and Kananaskis to the east. What resulted from putting all of these pieces back together again is far greater than the sum of its individual parks. You don’t have to climb Mount Assiniboine to appreciate sense of place in the Rockies. The extent of what we saved begets a powerful sense of naturalness rising from the bottom of the valleys right to heights of the peaks.
PART THREE

The West We Want: Creating a Culture Worthy of Place
Respecting and Honouring the Great Bear

The Grizzly as a Symbol of the West We Want

To ensure our identity as a people, what we want the West to be like in the future must include the presence of the great bear. The grizzly bear is the single most prominent symbol of what we saved in the mountain West. Without the grizzly the West would not be wild. Without the great bear we would soon become another anyplace in an increasingly homogenized world. Coming to terms with what we share with the grizzly, however, is a serious meditation, but essential for those who would define the current and future importance of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site to our understanding of where and how we live. Because our views of the great bear and how we might share our existence with it have been shaped by them, that meditation might begin with consideration of what those who came before Euro-Canadians thought about the grizzly.

Strolling Bear

The mountain West would not be the same if the Great Bear disappeared from our midst. The very thought of its existence is what brings people from all over the world to the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Site. The grizzly is a symbol of what is unique about where and how we live in the Rockies. Its presence informs our literature and inspires our art. Some of the best images we have of the grizzly bear are not photographs. This remarkable image, called Strolling Bear, is one of a series of paintings by Edmonton artist Nancy Burford. The image appears courtesy of the artist.

Spiritual Connections to the Bear

In many North American Native cultures, specific responsibilities concerning relationship radiate outward from the individual to the immediate family, the extended family, the band, the clan and the tribal
group. Relationships within most Native cultures, however, do not stop with the human realm. By necessity they extend beyond, into the environment. Native peoples developed special connections to the land, animals, plants, the sky and the elements. Central among these were the relationships they had with the animals that provided their food and all their other material needs. Animals, however, were more than just sources of food. Animals aid Native peoples in their everyday lives and appear in their dreams and meditations. Because they were created before humans, animals are considered closer to the sources of all life and can, through their powers, act as allies, guides and familiars in the search for individual wholeness.

While this may appear, at first, to be a simple proposition to which we might aspire, it is not. Despite contemporary claims to the contrary, this is not an easy connection to develop and sustain. It requires generations of careful observation of the land and intimate knowledge of the behaviour of all the major animals with which you share your habitat. Then you have to gradually draw on that behaviour as a basis for examining and strengthening human traits and purifying human desires. Through fasts and vision quests, you have to derive your own spiritual nature from the power embodied in the animals around you. If you practice the above for thousands of years, you can create a religion based on your relationship to animals. Each animal teaches Native peoples a different lesson. In the West there are buffalo lessons and elk lessons. You can learn from the beaver and from the wolf. If you are very special, you can aspire to the power of the bear.

It is not only Native North Americans who have had this relationship with the bear. Anthropologists have discovered that when it comes to bears, there are a great number of similarities in the cultures of boreal peoples throughout the Northern Hemisphere. The bear appears in initiation and healing ceremonies, in shamanic rites, in the quest for spirit guardians and in various ritual dances. There are also similarities between many cultures in the rites associated with the hunting of bears, and similar tales and myths have surfaced in widely separated geographical regions that associate the bear with various aspects of human thought and action.

As David Rockwell indicates in *Giving Voice To Bear*, bears and First Nations people have been sharing habitat for a very long time. Both have walked the same trails, drank and fished out of the same streams, dug out and fed on the same roots and harvested the same berries, seeds and nuts for thousands of years. A great mutual respect developed between
Native peoples and bears. Nowhere is this more true than with the grizzly.

**SIMILARITIES BETWEEN BEARS AND HUMANS**

The first thing that was apparent to Aboriginal peoples was how similar bears are to people. The body of the bear is disturbingly similar to the body of a human. When skinned, grizzlies look rather like stalky, powerful, short-legged men. Bears can walk upright and, like humans, they have binocular vision. Their hind feet are very human-like and the prints they leave in soft mud or melting snow can appear surprisingly like ones that might be left by a man. Bears are dexterous and can rotate their forepaws. There is even evidence that they may use tools. Native observers also noticed that bears snore when they sleep, just like tired people.

Native peoples further observed that bears have a relatively long infancy. They also have a fierce maternal devotion that is not unlike what people show to their children. Bear cubs stay with their mothers twice as long as other large mammals of comparable size. This is likely because so much of what a bear knows is probably learned as opposed to being instinctual. There were also critical similarities in diet between people and bears. They often ate the same proportions of certain foods.
The diet of bears is often composed of 80 percent vegetable matter. The diets of Native groups using the same habitat were often composed of 70 percent vegetable matter. It has been suggested that people ate marginally more meat in these circumstances simply because they were better at obtaining it. According to some First Nations groups, bears are just like people except that these furry relatives do not make fire.

**THE BEAR AS HEALER**

Though European cultures seldom think this way, in many Native cultures the great bear is seen more as a healer than a threat. It was out of the bear’s remarkable capacity for self-healing that the myth of the Medicine Bear emerged. It was clear to careful Native observers that the bear knew the secrets of plants. In many Native myths, the bear is portrayed as the plant gatherer, a mysterious herbalist gathering medicines straight from nature. Here is what the Lakota man Two Shields observed about the herbal knowledge possessed by the great bear:

> The bear is quick-tempered and is fierce in many ways, and yet he pays attention to herbs which no other animal notices at all. The bear digs these for his own use. The
bear is the only animal which eats roots from the earth and is also especially fond of acorns, Juneberries and cherries. These three are frequently compounded with other herbs in making medicine and if a person is fond of cherries we say he is like a bear. We consider the bear as chief of all the animals in regard to herb medicine, and therefore it is understood that if a man dreams of a bear he will be expert in the use of herbs for curing illness. The bear is regarded as an animal well acquainted with herbs because no other animal has such good claws for digging roots.

Bears also represent a spiritual symbol for many First Nations people. Bears are ancient and possess an earlier and more vibrant proximity to the Great Spirit at the heart of the world’s mystery. Many Native initiation rites seek to bestow upon a novice the wisdom the bear received through its ritual death. In such rites, the individual is removed from his or her family and village and goes alone to a special place. In their extended isolation, candidates for spiritual enlightenment often go without food or water. Such isolation and depravation serve as a ritual death during which the novice is visited by what may later become a guiding animal spirit. Assuming one survives this trial, he or she is born into a new life and new status within the tribe.

HUNTING THE BEAR

Native peoples also hunted bears. Before the arrival of the horse and the gun this was a very dangerous proposition with highly significant ritual association. Every hunter knew the physical and spiritual power of the bear. There was a good chance a hunter would be mauled or killed in simply approaching such an aggressive animal. Still, there was nothing more heroic. Killing a bear was often celebrated as a more courageous act than killing a man or taking a scalp in battle. A hunter could possess no greater trophy than a bear-claw necklace. In killing a bear, you took the life of something more ancient and perhaps greater than yourself.

Among the Blackfoot Tribes, the grizzly has been called the Real Bear. The black bear was not held in anything close to the same esteem. The killing of the Real Bear was a sacred act and during the hunt, the name of the bear was never spoken. Instead he was called Old Grandfather, Old Man, Old Honey Paws, or simply Crooked Tail. This tradition of not naming the bear is common in tribal cultures throughout the circumpolar world. You did not speak the name of the bear, for the bear would hear you, for he heard and understood the languages of all the Native peoples. Today, there are yet clans and societies within tribes for
whom it is taboo to name the Real Bear. Those who know the bear best do not speak of him, except euphemistically, and then only with the greatest of respect.

Though customs differ, the Native peoples of the coast also possess a great respect for the great bear. Traditionally, grizzlies are considered the closest animal relative to humans. On the rare occasion they were hunted, special rituals and songs were offered preceding the kill. A successful hunt was usually followed by a ceremonial feast.

BIRTH AND REBIRTH

After observing the bear over centuries, humans began to realize the bear was a symbol not just of the survival of winter. It was a symbol that hinted at a solution to the largest question of them all – the question of what lies beyond death.

The enigmatic bear, more than any other teacher, enacted the answer to this question. It passed into the earth each autumn and endured the death of winter and emerged again in the spring. When the bear emerged, it appeared that the winter had little effect on it and sometimes the miracle was double, for the bear often emerged with young. Birth and rebirth. Somehow the bear knew when to retire from the world and when to re-enter. It seemed to emerge before the snowmelt, as though its very heat initiated the spring. The first tendrils of spring vegetation seemed to rise from the ground for the bear’s pleasure. The departing snow revealed the frozen carcasses of reindeer, moose, bighorn, and deer.

It was clear that the bear was a master of renewal whose life cycle was tied to the wheel of the seasons. It had knowledge of when to die and when to be reborn. In the winter den it did not eat, drink or excrete. Its entire life followed the solar cycle. Was the she-bear’s meticulous motherhood a sign? Was the bear’s behaviour a sign?8

If you lived in a pre-literature society of primitive hunters seeking to make sense of an often-hostile world, the behaviour of the bear could not fail to impress. This behaviour might also inspire the beginnings of what might later be defined as religious feelings. According to Shepard and Sanders, the powers of the bear and its relationship to humans become embedded in early morality stories in almost all early circumpolar cultures.
he was, Kelsey made some very important natural history observations in Canada. Kelsey was the first European to see the marvel that is now known as the Canadian prairies. On August 20, 1691, he was also the first to see a bison in what is now the Canadian West. The same day, a hundred and fourteen years before the first specimen was collected by the Lewis and Clark Expedition on May 5, 1805, twenty-year-old Henry Kelsey saw his first grizzly bear. Kelsey’s journal is written in verse. His entry for August 20, 1691 is the first description of the grizzly in the English language:

To day we pichet to ye outtermost Edge of ye woods
this plain affords Nothing but short Round
sticky grass and Buffillo & a great sort of a Bear w
is Bigger than any white Bear & is Neither White
nor Black But silver hair’d like our English
Rabbit ye Buffillo Likewise is not like those to ye
Northward their horns growing like and English
Ox but Black & short

Any resemblance the grizzly had with an English rabbit rather ended with comparisons of size and the colour of its coat. Kelsey went back and did a rhymed introduction to his journals of 1691. It is obvious that it didn’t take long for Kelsey to learn about the ferocious nature of the great bear:

And then you have beast of severall kind
The one is a black a Buffillo great
Anotherr is an outgrown Bear w, is good meat
His skin to get I have used all ye, means I can
He is mans food & he makes food of man\textsuperscript{10}

The grizzly instantly became a popular symbol of what had to be put right in the West if it was to be settled. The bear also became part of an emerging heroic mythology associated with developing European skill in coming to grips with the dangers of the Wild West. Guidebooks for missionaries and travellers, often written by people who had never seen a bear, became commonplace. In the literature of the day and in the popular press, the terrible image of the bear quickly began to shape what people expected to hear about travel in the North American West. Published exploration accounts were deemed incomplete if they didn’t offer at least one story of a bear encounter. The more exotic the tale, the better. Though it would be easy to question the veracity of many of the early published stories, some stand out for their capacity to describe the remarkable behaviour of the great bear. The stories also lead, ultimately, in the direction of truth.

THE BEAR’S EMBRACE

An interesting early bear story that is sometimes told in Jasper concerns an early fur trader named Ross Cox who, after working with John Jacob Aster’s American Fur Company at Fort Astoria at the mouth of the Columbia, decided to join their Canadian rivals, the North West Company. Cox crossed Athabasca Pass from west to east with the fur brigade in 1817. It is not Jasper that is at the heart of the story but an encounter with a grizzly, which took place in the area of the Flathead River in the spring of 1816. This tale tells us two things. It explains the extent to which Native peoples conflicted with bears and it gives definition to the terrible embrace of the bear that later became known as the “bear’s hug.” The suggestion that Native peoples lived in some sort of primeval harmony with all the creatures with which they shared the mountain West is not supported by the Ross Cox account:

\textit{I have seen several of our hunters, as well as many Indians, who have been dreadfully lacerated in their encounters with bears; some have been deprived of their ears, other had their noses nearly torn off, and a few have been completely blinded. From the scarcity of food in the spring months they are then more savage than at any other season; and during that period it is a highly dangerous experiment to approach them.\textsuperscript{11}}
From this account we learn that bears are more aggressive in the spring. Cox surmises that this is probably due to the shortage of food. It has not yet occurred to anyone that this may also be due to the fierce female defence of the young. The rest of the story concerns ten Canadian fur traders and one of their number, “pauvre Louisson,” who felt the bear’s terrible embrace:

The third evening after quitting the fort, while they were quietly sitting around a blazing fire eating a hearty dinner of deer, a large half-famished bear cautiously approached the group from behind an adjacent tree; and before they were aware of his presence, he sprang across the fire, seized one of the men (who had a well-furnished bone in his hand) round the waist, with the two fore paws, and ran about fifty yards with him on his hind legs before he stopped. His comrades were so thunder-struck at the unexpected appearance of such a visitor, and his sudden retreat with “pauvre Louisson,” that they for some time lost all presence of mind, and, in a state of fear and confusion, were running to and fro, each expecting in his turn to be kidnapped in a similar manner; when at length Baptiste Le Blanc, a half-breed hunter, seized his gun, and was in the act of firing at the bear, but was stopped by some of the others, who told him he would inevitably kill their friend in the position in which he was then placed. During this parley Bruin relaxed his grip of the captive, whom he kept securely under him, and very leisurely began picking the bone which the latter had dropped. Once or twice Louisson attempted to escape, which only caused the bear to watch him more closely; but on his making another attempt, he again seized Louisson round the waist, and commenced giving him one of those infernal embraces which generally end in death. The poor fellow was now in great agony, and vented the most frightful screams; and observing Baptiste with his gun ready, anxiously watching a safe opportunity to fire, he cried out, “Tire! Tire! mon chere, si tu m’aimes. Tire, pour l’amour du bon Dieu! A la tete a la tete!” This was enough for Le Blanc, who instantly let fly, and hit the bear over the right temple. He fell, and at the same moment dropped Louisson; but he gave him a right ugly scratch with his claws across the face, which for some time afterwards spoiled his beauty. After the shot, Le Blanc darted to his comrade’s assistance, and with his “couteau de chasse” quickly finished the sufferings of the man stealer, and rescued his friend from impending death; for with the exception of the above-mentioned scratch, he escaped uninjured. 12

THE CANADIAN VERSUS THE AMERICAN BEAR

The introduction of the repeating rifle marked the beginning of the end for most grizzly populations in the United States. Surviving bears became more wary and their range retracted into the mountains. Everywhere they were, however, they were hunted. Soon the trappers and miners were replaced by homesteaders and ranchers who shot bears on sight. The livestock industry began to expand grazing into the
last niches in which the grizzly still remained. By 1920, the bear was eliminated from most of its former American range.

Canadians are sometimes smug about the fact that we still have grizzly bears. Their smugness is unwarranted. In Canada, the attitude toward bears was not much different than it was in the United States. During the fur trade era, bear hides were legal tender in what is now Western Canada. Hudson’s Bay Company records tell us much about the abundance of the grizzly on the plains and in the mountains prior to the coming of the railroad. Bears were sometimes taken in great numbers. During the winter of 1871–72, records indicate that some 750 grizzly bear hides were taken in the area of the Cypress Hills in what is now southwestern Saskatchewan. The grizzly disappeared quickly from the Great Plains in Canada. The same myths and biases about the ferocious nature of the grizzly were just as much a part of folk culture here as they were further south.

The completion of the Canadian Pacific Railway in 1885 initiated a wave of prairie settlement. The bears of the Great Plains disappeared soon after. Fewer people and a slower rate of settlement, however, left space in the mountains for bears. The prevalent attitude toward the grizzly remained an openly hostile one. People looked for excuses to kill them.

When the great Himalayan explorer and mountaineer, Dr. Tom Longstaff, came to the Canadian Rockies in the summer of 1910, he brought with him the typical bias of the day concerning bears. They were relentless, bloodthirsty killers and that was all there was to it. Though he had to seek high and low just to find a grizzly, Longstaff still deemed it an act of self-defence to kill one. In fact, he likely only wanted the trophy and the bragging rights. Here’s how Longstaff described killing three bears in one day in the Bugaboo Pass area of the Purcell Range southwest of Banff:

_Suddenly I saw three grizzlies emerge from the timber, below and ahead of me, slowly making their way uphill. Running along the ridge till I got above them I sneaked down as near as I could get unseen. Grizzlies run with their dam for a full two years, and I now saw that the party consisted of an old dam with two three-quarter-grown cubs. I could never expect a more exciting introduction. I was not disappointed. Grizzlies are unattractive and dangerous brutes; moreover they were a serious menace to our horses. Not only will grizzlies attack them but also horses are easily stampeded by bears and we might lose them for days. I took the dam first and rolled her head over heels down the slope. Thinking her dead I took the biggest youngster, but only broke its foreleg. However, the old dam got up and came roaring up-hill towards me. They were now all giving tongue and the result was far more appalling than all the six_
The grizzly as a symbol

Researchers have observed that grizzly bear behaviour has been changing in response to the growing presence of people in parts of the mountain West. In areas where grizzly and human habitat regularly overlaps, bears are becoming more nocturnal. Bears can also be present but seldom seen, as is the case when they concentrate their activity in the bush or out of sight near heavily used trails. This appears to be particularly true in and on the margins of mountain communities inside and outside of the World Heritage Site. Photograph by R.W. Sanford.

tigers I have met put together. Perhaps in consequence of the savage noise they made it took me two more shots to finish the old one. Then another for the youngster. The third unwounded one was now pretty close and roaring like a fiend. Its nose was full of porcupine quills, which may have soured its temper. It required a second shot too, but it never got up to me.

The hunting of bears was no longer a sacred rite, as it was in the days when the bear was a respected symbol of a prior human relationship to nature. Bears were hunted out of a practical need to protect livestock or to preserve community security. Bears were also eagerly hunted simply for sport.

Attitudes toward bears and toward wildlife as a whole would not begin to change until both became greatly depleted in the West. Only the creation of the world’s first national parks, in Yellowstone in 1872 and Banff in 1885, offered the great bear respite from overwhelming pressure on its range and threats to its very survival.

The Creation Of Our National Parks

While it was clear by the 1870s that settlement was changing the West dramatically, the creation of national parks in North America was not inspired by a movement to preserve western wildlife. At least not at first. The object of the first national parks was, primarily, the preservation of scenery. The impetus toward this preservation was Niagara
Falls. As early as 1830, it was noted that the continent’s greatest known natural treasure was being beaten to death by “sharpsters,” “hucksters” and private developers who acquired the grandest views, then forced visitors to pay exorbitantly just to watch the water fall.\textsuperscript{15} Things would be different in the West. The grand scenery would be protected for all time for everyone to see.

Despite the creation of these reserves, wildlife was vanishing from the West at an unprecedented rate. Part of the problem was that animals were not even safe in the newly created parks. Under the influence of the livestock industry, predator control programs were introduced in the mountain national parks to ensure that “vermin” in the form of wolves and coyotes would not spill out of these reserves into neighbouring ranch and farm country. The programs were very successful. It soon became apparent that it was not only the buffalo that had disappeared from the West. Almost every other wild species was also in decline. The popular image of the Wild West was under siege. This problem did not go away with the creation of national parks.

**DO NOT FEED THE BEARS**

The problem of bear feeding is as old as our national parks. The moment bears stopped being hunted in national parks, they became less wary of people. It soon became clear to the bears that they could feed on garbage provided they did not injure people in so doing.

The arrival of the automobile institutionalized the bear problem in our national parks. Black bears very quickly came to identify an automobile with food. They took to sitting cutely on the roadside waiting for passing cars. When the cars stopped, they would amble over and wait for handouts. Enthralled visitors couldn’t help themselves. They began to compete with one another to get the best photographs of people feeding a begging bear. The food was rich and nutritious. The bears liked it. When the cars stopped coming, the bears started to hit campsites and to come into town to look for food. When Park Superintendent S.J. Clarke and Chief Game Guardian Howard Sibbald drove a party of Ottawa officials to observe the killing of a problem bear west of Banff in July 1915, it made big news in the local newspaper. The female black bear that was the source of the problem was killed and her two cubs deposited in the Banff Zoo.\textsuperscript{16} Though the park service could hardly have seen it at the time, this was the beginning of a vicious circle that has yet to be broken in the mountain national parks. Unwitting or uninformed
visitors feed bears, the bears become habituated to human food, they start taking it wherever they can find it and, in order to preserve the safety of those who started the feeding in the first place, the bear has to be killed.

In 1916, park wardens reported that the town of Banff was literally “overrun” with bears. The policy of shooting problem bears on sight was initiated in some parks. In February of 1918, Parks Commissioner J.B. Harkin granted blanket permission for wardens to kill all bears “encountered, roaming at large, within any townsite in your park.” Wardens were also given blanket permission to shoot any bear found raiding provisions or stores outside of townsites. Though wardens were instructed to go to great lengths to justify killings outside of townsites, growing human presence in the parks had defined parks policy. Bears were to be killed if they posed a problem to people – even though it was people offering food who first posed the problem to the bear.

By the mid 1920s, black bears had become the delight of visiting motorists. Stories abounded of their boldness. Visitors, for the most part, thought them cute. Park officials knew they had a problem on their hands. In 1928, superintendents from the mountain national parks...
gathered to discuss the problem and to make recommendations that would reduce the threat to public safety and minimize government liability without compromising the thrill visitors got from seeing bears. Their conclusions are interesting even today:

... bears should be killed only after they become a nuisance; the decision on that point to rest, as at present, with the Superintendent. It is understood that the Superintendent will at all times exercise the best judgment with a view to protecting the public and at the same time see that there will be enough of non-dangerous bears to provide the thrill that the tourists get from seeing live bears in the open.... It is deemed good policy to endeavor to educate the public through Parks literature and especially through the chauffeurs operating in the Parks as to the danger of bears and other wild animals. It is especially important that the number of bears frequenting outlying camp grounds should be kept down because the danger there is much more serious than in the larger camp grounds. It is not deemed worth while [sic] yet to develop a policy of killing bears in the fall or other suitable time in order that a revenue be derived from their skins. It is considered that warning posters should be erected, especially with a view to protecting the Department against claims for damages. 

FOOD AND GARBAGE

It was in the mid 1930s that the American live trap concept of removing problem bears began to be employed in Canadian national parks. A large metal box on wheels, called the Black Maria, was built for the use of wardens and put into wide use. Bears were trapped and moved to remote areas. Not all park superintendents were completely sold on this new technique. Though devices of this kind are still in use, some of the more enlightened superintendents of the day argued that it might be just as effective to burn garbage so that bears would no longer be attracted to towns, campgrounds and dumps. This, too, would become parks policy, but not until much later when the problem of increasing visitation demanded wholesale rethinking of bear-management strategies.

The bear problem continued to grow after World War II when visitation increased and the car began to replace the train as the most popular and economical way to travel to the mountain national parks. Visititation to Banff National Park increased nearly ten-fold from 1900 to 1950. In terms of bear-management issues the main problem was garbage. Visitors wanted to see bears and dumps became the place they went to see them. Some of the larger accommodations, like Jasper Park Lodge, had their own dumps and encouraged select guests to visit them if they hadn’t already seen bears on the golf course or among the cabins on
the property. Bears often congregated in these places in huge numbers. Jasper Park Wardens once reported seeing twenty-four bears at one time at the Jasper Park Lodge, and the situation was not much different in many other places in the mountain national parks.20

The popularity of bears in Jasper and the extent to which they were fed at Jasper Park Lodge during this period is well documented in The Bears of Jasper, a travel book written by a freelance journalist named Harper Cory and published by Thomas Nelson and Sons in 1946. Cory was a nature writer with some twenty books to his credit when he came to Jasper, likely at the expense of Canadian National Railway, to write entertaining little book on the “Jasper Comedians,” the black bears at Jasper Park Lodge that frequented the park roadways in order to entertain visitors. Some of the pictures in this book, which show people feeding animals, would make the hair on the back of the neck stand up, for any contemporary student of bear problems in the national parks today. There are bears standing at the doors of cabins at Jasper Park Lodge begging for food from nattily dressed guests, staff feeding groups of bears, and small children face-to-face with begging cubs. While such antics would be unthinkable today, Cory’s book typifies the attitude of the time toward bears in the mountain national parks. Here is how Cory introduces us to the black bears of Jasper National Park:

*The wild bear in his native habitat is a more accomplished entertainer than the animal in the circus or the zoo. The latter, educated to perform rote actions and responses, his inventiveness is killed in ratio to the speed with which his life is forced into a groove. The wild bear, especially in a district where he is treated with consideration, as in Jasper and other of the National Parks of Canada, is a walking mass of inventiveness, liable to spring all manner of surprises in his urgent desire to attract attention of the right sort – that is, attention accompanied by sweet edibles. He knows more amusing tricks than any man could teach him, and he rarely exhibits them needlessly. He is the world’s most accomplished mendicant, but he will work – not too hard of course – for his reward. Therein, his behaviour most resembles that of human beings.*21

What Harper Cory and other writers of popular works on wildlife of the day didn’t understand was that the feeding of these animals was beginning to cause series problems in the mountain national parks in both Canada and the United States. Once bears were habituated to garbage in places like Jasper they became problems along roadsides, in campgrounds, outlying lodges and even in town. The problem was aggravated in the early fall when Jasper Park Lodge closed for the season. Bears got hungry and then got aggressive. Attempts to close the
dumps only made matters worse. The bears went into town where it was even harder to control them without having to shoot them. As visitation remained relatively small and the summer season was short, it took a long time to seriously address the problem.

In 1958, strategies were developed to eliminate park garbage dumps as food sources for bears and parks started down the long road toward closing dumps and developing bear-proof garbage containers. They also started a campaign to encourage visitors to understand the problems associated with feeding bears. Similar problems in the American national parks led to the creation of a national bear-management strategy in 1960 which sought to reduce conflicts between humans and bears through more efficient garbage removal, better visitor information, removal of problem bears and stricter enforcement of the feeding regulations. National Parks in both Canada and the United States began taking a new approach to managing bears. Instead of demanding constant changes in the behaviour of the bear only, park managers began to consider how changes in human behaviour might improve chances of bears and people sharing common habitat safely. It has taken a long time, however, to change human habits and to improve the handling and management of garbage throughout our national parks. Nearly fifty years have passed since these policies were initiated. We still have a long way to go in fulfilling them.

Between 1885 and 1950, bear management in the national parks relied almost completely on the experience and judgment of local government officials and park wardens. While scientists were sometimes invited to offer advice on policy matters, their input was limited and occasional. This is not to suggest that science was absent from this era in the history of North American national parks. Parks in both the United States and Canada had been exhaustively surveyed. Much was known about the geological and topographical features of early reserves and, in some cases, this research had been followed up with work on botany, zoology and fisheries. The science of ethology, or animal behaviour, was not well developed in North America and the bear posed special problems with respect to research.

During the first half of the twentieth century, national park wildlife was largely divided into two categories: good animals and bad. Because they did not pose a threat to humans and provided reliable hunting, elk, moose and deer were considered good. On the bad side of the ledger were coyotes and wolves, which were largely considered vermin. For much of this period it was acceptable to shoot these animals on sight,
even in national parks. The bear was rather in the middle of this artificial hierarchy. Though they were respected by hunters and by visitors alike, they were not considered game. Nor were they persecuted as wolves were. As historian Paul Schullery has indicated, there was little concern for their numbers beyond the commonly held desire to reduce them.\textsuperscript{22} The fact they were widely regarded as a nuisance combined with their unpredictable and sometimes-ornery character did not qualify them immediately as desirable subjects for extensive research.

Given the limited nature of the research tools scientists possessed there wasn’t much they could do with bears. Following them around was just too dangerous. It might be possible to observe them briefly on roadsides or at dumps, but no way existed to reliably identify individuals at a distance, to determine their movements, seasonal range, natural feeding habits or mating behaviour. It was impossible to even tell exactly how many bears there were in any given area. This did not prevent scientists from looking longingly at the problem of bear behaviour and management. In 1943, after a group of Banff residents petitioned the government to shoot or remove all bears in the town, the National Parks Service in Canada put forward the name of Dr. Ian McTaggart-Cowan from the University of British Columbia to review its bear-management policies.\textsuperscript{23} This proposal, however, did not involve collection of data.

\textbf{APPLYING SCIENCE}

\textbf{The first formal research undertaken in a North American national park was initiated in Yellowstone in 1944 by the legendary naturalist Olaus Murie. Murie had begun wildlife research in Yellowstone during the 1930s with a study he conducted on coyotes. He applied the same systematic field techniques to the study of bears. While Murie did not have the tools to positively identify individual bears over the long term, he was able to make some important observations on the diet of bears based on examination of their droppings, or scats. Murie determined definitively that bears were largely vegetarian. Even though he was unable to include a representative sample of spring scats in his study, Murie concluded that about 81 percent of a bear’s diet was composed of plant matter.} \textsuperscript{24} Murie also arrived at some very interesting conclusions regarding the behaviour of bears in dumps and campgrounds. He was the first to propose that Yellowstone bears could survive very nicely on natural foods. Murie proposed that the bear
problems in the park could be greatly reduced through closing dumps and removing attractants like garbage from campgrounds and service centres. He also went on to suggest that driving bears away from food sources such as dumps would not solve the bear problem if other artificial food sources remained available to them. Years ahead of his time, Murie also encouraged mechanisms for bear proofing campgrounds and other sources of garbage and food. He even went on to propose electric fencing to prevent bears from accessing camps and lodges. More than twenty years would pass before the wisdom of Murie’s simple logic would be realized. By then the cost of ignoring this simple advice would cost the lives of a large proportion of the grizzly bear population.

Serious research into grizzly and black bear behaviour would have to wait for two important innovations. The first was the development of a safe and reliable mechanism of immobilizing bears without lasting effects on the bear or on the researcher. To conduct effective, long-term research you had to be able to get a bear sedated, weigh it, take a tooth so that it’s age could be determined, take urine samples, tattoo the lip and put a tag in the ear, without the bear coming to and killing you. The first experiments in this kind of research were rough and tumble affairs. In The Grizzly Bear, Thomas McNamee tells of the travails of Albert Erickson, the first bear researcher in the United States. One wonders how many assistants he would go through in season with these kinds of conditions:

*When Albert W. Erickson, then a graduate student at Michigan State University, began the first serious modern bear study in 1952, he and his crew trapped their black bears in foot snares and had to wrestle them to the ground to clap an ether-soaked mask over their muzzles. Too little ether, and the bear could wake up suddenly, with imaginable results. Too much, and the bear departed with equal celerity for paradise. In a 1978 article for National Wildlife magazine, Peter Steinhart reported that of the first hundred bears Erickson handled, “ten suffered broken bones, including two whose shattered jaws had to be bolted back together. Two bears died of heat prostration, one of strangling by handlers, and two were shot by hunters who didn’t know they were in foot traps.” Artificial respiration brought several more of Erickson’s bears back from the far side of never.*

One wonders what the bears thought of all this. These humans had obviously gone berserk. Dealing with researchers was clearly as dangerous as confronting a motorcycle gang. When, at last, improved veterinary anaesthetics such as the drug Sernylan were perfected, bear research was able to take a more effective turn. As these drugs could be administered from a distance, researchers were no longer required to
wrestle bears into submission before they could be tranquillized. This meant that research could begin, not just on black bears, but also on grizzlies.

The second technological breakthrough to aid bear research emerged from the Cold War space race. Once miniature radio transmitters became available, it became possible to implant animals with radio collars and to trace their activities day and night, in good weather and bad, through expanded spring, summer and autumn range and even to discover denning sites.

**BEAR RESEARCH IN YELLOWSTONE**

**Full-scale research using** this technology to study the behaviour, feeding habits, mating rituals, range needs and the nature of aggression in bears did not begin until the late 1950s. In 1958, the U.S. Parks Service contracted a small team of scientists led by John Craighead to study grizzlies in Yellowstone National Park. At the time, Craighead was a professor of bioecology at Montana State University, a member of the Montana Cooperative Wildlife Research Unit and an employee of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Funding for the work to be undertaken by Dr. Craighead and his team came from a diverse variety of sources that included The National Geographic Society, the Boone and Crockett Club, the New York Zoological Society, the National Science Foundation, the Atomic Energy Commission and others, as well as the National Parks Service.

Employing all the new technology made available by the study’s sponsors, John Craighead, his brother Frank, and a variety of colleagues made rapid progress in pioneering the study methods that would be used by generations of later bear researchers. On the morning of September 22, 1961, after two years of working closely with aerospace engineers, the Craigheads were able to attach a two-ounce radio transmitter and a fourteen-ounce battery pack on a specially designed collar to a female grizzly who they had dubbed Bear Number Forty. After recovering from the anaesthetic, the world’s first radio-collared grizzly wobbled off into a permanent place in history. Overnight, modern grizzly research was born.

Over the next eight years, the Craigheads studied the behaviour of twenty-four different Yellowstone grizzlies. Their work would not stop there. Over the next decades the Craigheads’ work would generate enormous controversy, especially within the U.S. Parks Service, as
dumps were closed and bears began to disappear in numbers. In the end, however, the work conducted by the Craigheads would become the foundation for much of what is known about grizzlies in Yellowstone and elsewhere in North America today, including the Rocky Mountain parks in Canada.

**THE FUTURE OF THE GREAT BEAR**

In preserving the Great Bear, we preserve our own unique heritage and sense of place, and assure a sustainable tourism future. As undisturbed ecosystems are now seen to be central to moderating or even slowing climate change effect, in saving our natural places, it may turn out that our natural places will save us.

*Photograph courtesy of Parks Canada.*

**BEAR ATTACKS: THEIR CAUSES AND AVOIDANCE**

Grizzly bear research in Canada’s mountain parks came into its own with a book published by a Professor of Environmental Science and Biology at the University of Calgary in 1985. The moment it was released, Stephen Herrero’s *Bear Attacks: Their Causes and Avoidance* began to reshape the way people thought about bears. The approach that Herrero used in his famous book is very interesting. Herrero realized that people had a primal fear of bears. He also knew that the media was obsessed with bear maulings. (Even today, the forty thousand deaths a year caused by automobile accidents do not get media attention. A bear mauling, even though it is less likely to happen to you than being struck by lightning, is instant front-page news.) Though he must have
occasionally winced, Herrero did not back away from the gruesome nature of his subject area.

By carefully classifying the kinds of encounters people had with black bears and grizzlies and by scientifically assessing the behaviour of both bears and humans in each of these circumstances, Herrero proved you could look at these situations and learn from them. Herrero’s observations suggest that conscious and pre-meditated malice toward humans is probably outside the mental capacity of bears. Through his work, Herrero broke through outdated myths associated with bear aggression and allowed a generation of campers, hikers and backpackers to rethink the role they could play in allowing the bear to continue to contribute to their experience of wildness in the mountain West.

Though some of the accounts he shares in the book are horrific, they are no more so than you would find in any fatal automobile accident file. What we begin to see, however, when we look objectively at the evidence Herrero provides, is important. We begin to see that there are certain situations in which we place bears, where instinct can overpower learning and natural caution and the bear may charge and even attack. Herrero offers that the more we know about these situations the more we can avoid them. Herrero further offers that by knowing and understanding patterns of bear aggression we can anticipate the safest possible action in an encounter with a bear and increase our chances of avoiding injury or death.

Herrero’s scientific examination of the causes of bear attacks and recommendations on how to avoid them are not foolproof. Herrero himself is circumspect about his capacity to help others reduce the chances of injurious encounters with bears:

_Regard me as a scientific handicapper. I study a bear’s history – actually a lot of bears – and suggest where you should place your bets. I think I’m a good handicapper because I’ve been able to look at the track record of many grizzly bears throughout North America. And I am painfully aware that if I give the wrong advice, someone may suffer injury or death._

Although avoidance of injury from bear attacks cannot be reduced to a simple formula, Herrero did lead us to a new concept. By combining the principles of safe travel in bear country with a growing contemporary understanding of the feeding, mating and rearing behaviour of bears and their distribution and movement patterns, we may be able to change our relationship with the bear. If we know the kinds of habitat bears favour at different times of the year, in an area in which we want
to travel we can begin to predict where bears might be and what they might be doing on a given day, in a given season, in a given habitat. This understanding combined with knowledge of what to do in the event of a confrontation provides a big step in minimizing conflicts that might be fatal to both people and bears. By applying what Herrero teaches us, about bears and about ourselves, we may be able to learn to share habitat more safely with bears and permit more peaceful coexistence between the two species.

The wonder of Herrero’s book goes far beyond the suggestion of the vision of a culture capable of sharing habitat with predators like bears. The classic nature of this book resides in its overall prescience. Though the first edition was written two decades ago, it prefigured the manner in which people would look at bear safety in the future. It predisposed backcountry users to a higher awareness of bears and what could be done to avoid them. It predicted the widespread use of bear repellents like the universally popular bear spray that hikers carry with them in bear country today. It recommended bear-management strategies based on ecosystem integrity that are the norm in national parks today. In his cool, objective and highly scientific way, Herrero also dispelled the hysteria associated with the role the grizzly actually plays as an indicator of ecosystem health in the mountain West. In 1985, Herrero pointed out that there would be no ecosystem collapse if we killed all the bears in our national parks and surrounding areas. Herrero allotted the great bear, and its smaller cousin the black bear, their rightful place in the ecosystems of the West. Bears are important, not because our ecosystems would fail without them, but because they represent the fullest expression of the diversity and natural beauty that the West possessed when first Europeans first arrived on this continent.

Stephen Herrero’s work went far beyond the publication of *Bear Attacks: Their Causes and Avoidance*. His research findings began to influence bear management inside and outside Canada’s mountain national parks. Even today, hardly a grizzly project of note in Canada is undertaken without his input.

Because the findings of scientists like Stephen Herrero are so easy to understand, a great number of non-scientists involved with bears consider themselves expert. This, as Thomas McNamee points out, makes practicing bona fide science difficult for genuine experts. Sometimes it seems that there are as many self-acknowledged experts on bears as there are armchair experts on mountaineering. Real expertise on bears, however, does not come from reading about them. It comes
from experience in observing them. From the domain of first-hand experience have come some surprisingly credible observers who have become an expert on their own terms. An important divide was crossed in North America when enlightened hunters and hunting guides began to see the great bear in a new light. There are still conflicts but we are beginning to think differently about what they might mean to our relationship to the bear and its future in our West.

EMBRACING THE BEAR

In Bear Attacks, Herrero described an encounter involving Patricia Van Tighem and her husband Trevor Janz, which took place on the Crypt Lake Trail in Waterton Lakes National Park on a cold Sunday morning in September of 1983:

They were returning from camping overnight and it was snowing lightly, but not enough to obscure their vision. Patricia remembers the wind blowing into their faces before they were attacked by a grizzly bear. Trevor was 100 to 130 feet ahead of Patricia and was singing softly when he suddenly saw the head of a bear below the trail about fifty feet to his left. He had no way of knowing that eighty feet away there was a partly consumed bighorn sheep carcass on which the bear, a female grizzly, and her two yearling cubs had been feeding."30

The grizzly mauled both Trevor and Patricia terribly. When the horrifying encounter was over, Patricia had lost an eye and much of her face.31 Seventeen years later, after scores of operations, untold pain and personal trial, Patricia Van Tighem wrote The Bear’s Embrace: A True Story of Surviving A Grizzly Bear Attack, a book about her survival and painful but still incomplete recovery. It is not a book for the faint of heart, for it tells of mental anguish, suffering and unendurable physical pain. It is an important book, however, because it starts when the bear mauling ends. It tells what happens after you have been attacked by a bear. Throughout the painful descriptions of her injuries, the difficulties in getting the kind of care she needed, the failed surgeries and the months in hospitals and institutions, you feel Van Tighem gradually rising above her injuries and disfigurement to reach for a larger meaning for what has happened to her. Here the book becomes much more than just an engaging account. It goes places that even the author may not have fully foreseen. In the end, Van Tighem does something that we all must do if we are to grant the natural world the right to exist and the capacity to sustain us: we must accept that we are part of nature
and allow ourselves to be embraced by it. In other words, we must accept the bear’s embrace.

**THE DIVIDEUNCROSSED: LEARNING TO LIVE WITH THE GREAT BEAR**

Deciding to embrace the bear is one thing, actually doing so is quite another. There are a great number of attitudes and habits that we have to continue to work to change if we are going to successfully learn to safely share habitat with bears over the long term. Some of the things we have to change are deeply rooted in the collective human psyche. Others are just habits that have to be reconsidered if we want to realize our healthy ecosystems as highly desired and precious tourism resources in the future.

One divide we will likely never cross is the innate fear many people have of bears. The origins of this fear lie deep within the collective unconscious of our culture. It is to this primal fear that the media appeals with bold headlines about bear attacks. Sensational bear stories have always served to feed supposition at the expense of fact. Suppositions, as we have indicated elsewhere, often have a long life. As William Kittredge once noted, the public has a taste for second-hand dangers. Getting past the image of the bear as a terrifying and incomprehensible force of wild nature is one of our greatest long-term challenges.

**SCIENCE, SCIENTIFIC AGREEMENT AND BIOPOLITICS**

If one considers the goals and method of science, one can see that differences of opinion between scientists over how bear populations should be managed are a given. If you accept the obvious importance of remaining bear habitat in the West to a growing and resource-hungry population, you can also see that it is impossible to keep bear research from being politicized. It must be stated, however, that is not the purpose or function of pure science to act upon its research findings. That is the job of politicians and decision-makers within the organizations charged with managing our Mountain Parks. The structure that exists today in North America is that research is conducted, findings validated, and results presented to those responsible for making collaborative decisions about land use. As much as we would like science to tell us everything we should do to manage landscapes wisely, it cannot. Good science, it seems, often ends up asking more questions than it answers.
One divide we have yet to cross is the one that reconciles humans as part of natural environmental processes. To what extent can we interfere with an ecosystem and still have it remain “natural”? How natural is natural? And where does the bear fit into this scheme? Circumstances do not always allow us to gather all the facts we need in time to make sound decisions. Sometimes we have to make educated guesses. It is at this juncture that we leave the world of science and enter the troubled dominion of biopolitics.

Like all worlds unto themselves, biopolitics is a diverse domain. It includes the public relations imagery that shapes our notions of what is a desirable way of life in the West. Within this domain are public relations strategies that make us think we still live in a wild and untrammelled West. Even though the original Canadian Pacific Railway ambition of settling Western Canada was fulfilled in the twentieth century, developers and community planners conspire to give us a sense that we are still living in the Wild West. We name subdivisions after the animals and plants they replaced and still give ourselves airs when in the company of visitors, pretending we still live on the edge of a frontier. We have not figured out that the West would be a paradise if humans spread themselves among the remaining wildlife species instead of completely replacing them.

The world of biopolitics also extends to the complex domain of land-use jurisdiction. When we examine what each of the jurisdictions in the Mountain Parks have in common, we realize a number of divides that can be crossed through co-operation. We have already realized that national parks, as generous as they often are in area, do not always preserve the quantities of the right kind of habitat to ensure the survival of key indicator species such as bears. Our research has also allowed us to realize that the ranges of bears often extend far beyond national and provincial parks into other jurisdictions where other forms of land use are encouraged. We know from Kananaskis Country and projects like the Foothills Model Forest that animals like bears can survive in multiple land use areas if they carefully managed.

There is still much to be hopeful about. More than at any other time in modern history, there is willingness to work together to find ways to preserve enough of the right kind of habitat and enough corridors to that habitat to assure the survival of the great bear over time. The cultivation of the common willingness to work together toward the future of the bear and its place in Western culture is what the Mountain Parks are all about. There is also evidence that what we are doing is working. In
the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site, healthy populations of wild grizzlies can be found within an hour’s drive of a city of a million people. In this the people who live in and around the Mountain Parks should take great pride, for it suggests that if we can learn to share habitat safely and successfully with the great bear, it is still possible to create the West we want.
Seeing What Is Hidden in Plain Sight

Triumphing Over Diminishment and Loss

How locals and visitors alike experience the Rockies has changed dramatically over the past fifty years. Along the entire spine of the Rockies, from Canada to the United States, communities are losing their unique character and becoming more and more alike and more urban in character. As a large proportion of the present local population has been in the region for only a short time, what they discover in themselves through the experience of the new and exotic landscape they now call home is very different than the relationship to place experienced by First Nations people or by earlier generations of non-Native residents. Because the landscapes of the Mountain Parks never fail to astound, inter-generational pride in place makes it possible to redress some of the injuries and losses related to place that have accrued through time, or to at least compensate for them. But more and more, locals are confronted with evidence that we face in this region potential losses that are almost beyond imagination.

There are three sets of statistics that put into relief the direction and flow that history has and continues to take, with respect to human influence on the ecological history of the mountain West as it pertains to the Mountain Parks. The first statistic is the change in the size of the
population of the resort town of Banff. In 1900, the population of Banff was 271 people. Fifty years later, it was 2,357. Fifty years after that, in 2000, it was about 7,000. As we will see in the next chapter, caps on the population size of the town of Banff may have very positive ecological effects on the park. The next statistic is equally interesting. In 1900, there were about 5,000 visitors a year to the park. Fifty years later that number had multiplied nearly ten times to 413,000. In the fifty years since, the number of visitors has multiplied another ten times. Now we have nearly 4.5 million visitors a year. The third statistic that deserves very careful analysis is the growth of the regional population.

In 1885, in all 100,000 square miles (258,999 square km) of the territory that is now Alberta, there were only 15 non-Native people. In the fall of 1885, when the Canadian Pacific Railway was completed, there may have been 3,000 people living in the entire Bow Valley. Now more than 1,500,000 people live in the river basin alone.

We are only now taking time to properly examine how these changes have impacted where and how we live, and how they will affect our future. Since World War II, tourism has been moving toward greater mass, toward increased visitation, toward globalization, toward improving standards of amenities and higher financial yields. It has worked. Tourism has become the economic engine of the mountain West and many livelihoods depend on it. But there is concern that this success may not be sustainable if we are unable to prevent large-scale ecological change.

It was not until a blue-ribbon scientific assessment called the Banff Bow Valley Study was completed in the fall of 1996 that we came into possession of a thorough assessment of the cumulative effects of human interaction with the landscape on the broader ecological history of the mountain West. The report acknowledged that ecosystems within the Bow Valley had co-evolved with a variety of natural disturbances that helped maintain a diversity of vegetation types and wildlife habitat. The report noted that natural fires, as well as fires set by Aboriginal people, were important to the evolving health of the montane and subalpine areas of the valley. Floods were also an important part of the natural succession of life in the valley. Flooding along the Bow River and its tributaries was essential for maintaining healthy riparian communities. Avalanches cleared areas of trees and shrubs, opening them up for new growth that was essential for the perpetuation of many wildlife species. It was also observed that insect infestations and disease also played a natural role in the long-term renewal of valley ecosystems.
The report then noted that, since the arrival of European settlers in the valley, the nature of the disturbances has changed. The park was becoming “islandized” by urban development and other forms of human use. Towns, lodges, highways, railways, trails and other facilities have eliminated or altered many natural valley communities. Increasing numbers of residents and park visitors contributed to air pollution, sewage discharges, solid waste, and demands on potable water. It was also noted that sensitive wildlife avoided areas of high human activity, further compounding the problem of diminishing natural habitat. Transportation corridors through the park were understood to fragment the landscape and block natural movement of wildlife through the region. It was also noted that transportation corridors were avenues by which exotic plants were being introduced into the park and into the rest of the World Heritage Site. Nearly eighty years of fire suppression had led to the gradual aging of forests and a loss of important wildlife habitat. The report recognized that, though the hunting pressure that had so diminished wildlife early in the twentieth century had subsided, wildlife mortality pressures continued along the highway and the railway.

Consistent with what Ian Syme witnessed, the Bow Valley Study also noted that predator-prey relationships had been altered in Banff National Park over the past century. Most species of large carnivores were exterminated from the park and surrounding areas twice, between 1910 and 1950, as part of a provincial initiative to control rabies. Elk numbers were controlled through culls and translocations as late as 1960. Though not well documented, it appears that ungulates, upland game birds and some large carnivores were hunted as sources of food by wardens and other park users until the 1950s.

These were not the only ecological impacts that human presence had on the valley. Aquatic resources have been compromised by a range of impacts from water level control and impoundment, loss and degradation of aquatic habitat through development of transportation corridors, the increased urbanization of Banff and Lake Louise town-sites resulting in releases of nutrients and other chemicals, pollution deriving from garbage landfills, and past mining activity. Aquatic ecosystems have been further impacted by gravel extraction and atmospheric pollution. The aquatic ecosystems themselves have also been severely affected by over-fishing and by the introduction of non-native fish and other species.

The direction and flow of ecological history indicate that since European contact, mountain ecosystems in many parts of Canada are
moving in the direction of greater disturbance and disruption of natural processes, resulting in diminishing native biodiversity. The diminishment and loss that have been a central ecological theme in North America since the beginning of the Pleistocene continues unabated.

Through initiatives like the Bow Valley Study, we have come to possess a far greater understanding of the natural systems that surround and support human societies. Much of that research has been focused on thresholds, interdependence, and interactivity of complex systems of environmental resources such as climate, oceans, forests, mountains and agricultural lands. As Thomas Homer-Dixon has indicated, over the last decades the public has generally held the view that the earth’s environmental systems are resilient and stable in the face of human impacts.2 Over the past two decades, however, the perception of science has shifted: these systems may respond slowly and incrementally over time before suddenly changing their character. In other words, natural systems exhibit “threshold effects.”

In 2007, the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) assessed the then-current scientific knowledge of the natural and human drivers of climate change, observed changes in climate, estimates of projected future climate change, and the ability of science to attribute changes to different causes. Its key findings include the fact that global atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide have increased markedly as a result of human activities since 1750 and now far exceed pre-industrial values. The report pointed out that global increases in carbon dioxide concentration are due primarily to fossil fuel use and land-use change, while increases in concentrations of methane and nitrous oxide are primarily due to agriculture.

The IPCC has concluded that the global mean surface temperature will continue to rise and that we might expect projected increases of somewhere between 1.1 °C and 6.4 °C by the year 2100. As of 2007 at least, IPCC models predicted that if something is done to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, mean global surface temperature could still rise by somewhere between 1.1 °C and 2.9 °C. Or it may rise as much as 2.4 °C and 6.4 °C within this century if we carry on as we are now. The huge range in these predictions reflects uncertainty related to how humanity will respond to the need to reduce greenhouse gas production. Put bluntly, our planet’s mean surface temperature could rise by 1.1 °C if we do something about human population growth, energy
consumption and energy conservation; or it could rise two and half times that if we don’t.  

The consequences of climate change would be manifold. We can expect weather disturbances, heat waves, droughts, forest fires, violent storms and floods. Polar icecaps will shrink and weaken. We have already seen evidence of this. In 2000, an icebreaker made its way through the thin and melting ice to a two-kilometre-wide pool of open ocean at the North Pole. By 2007, the entire Northwest Passage was free of ice in summer. Sea levels will rise just a few centimetres or nearly a metre. If the latter, coastlines worldwide will shrink and lowlands will disappear. Huge numbers of people will have to be resettled.

As thermoclines of global climate advance poleward, plant communities and animal species have to struggle to keep up. Plant communities, in particular, are slow to respond to changing climate. At the close of the last ice age, two species of cold-adapted spruce advanced northward with the retreating ice. They now form the great northern forests of Canada and Alaska. They advanced northward at only three to fifteen kilometres a century. The velocity of the northern movement of climatic zones in the next century, however, may be much more rapid.
than what happened at the end of the last ice age. The future of slower-paced native floras and faunas is uncertain. Many native animal species and plant communities are trapped in isolated reserves, islandized by agriculture and urban sprawl. Plants and animals in these situations have no place to go. Many parks and reserves will no longer remain within the biogeographical regions they were created to represent.

As E.O. Wilson has suggested, it may well be possible to transplant some threatened plant and animal species northward or inland. But it is impossible to physically move entire ecosystems. Among the most vulnerable ecosystems are the alpine and arctic tundra. In the event of even a modest amount of global warming, they will be pushed upward and pole-ward into oblivion. Thousands of mountain species from lichens to mosses, some of our most cherished wildflowers, and mammals such as pikas, marmots and bears, all the way to polar species such as penguins and polar bears, could disappear.

There are other ramifications that could present themselves through such climate change. The problem with rapid upward change in the surface temperature of the earth is that it makes our weather more unpredictable, altering patterns of rainfall and hence impacting agriculture and food supply. It would melt glaciers and icecaps, and reduce snowpack and snow cover, altering the flow and reliability of our rivers and the levels of our oceans.

Projections have been made on how climate change might affect national parks that have been created in the mountainous regions of Canada. Climate change projections for the southern mountain national parks indicate that winter and spring precipitation is expected to increase, while summer precipitation is expected to decrease. Warmer spring and fall temperatures will extend the melting seasons of glaciers by at least one month, in the southern Rocky Mountains. Lower-elevation glaciers are projected to retreat rapidly as a result of projected climate warming. Accelerated glacial retreat would increase summer runoff until the glaciers have been largely depleted. However, once these glaciers have melted, input to streams could decrease substantially within only a few years. Scientists have observed high concentrations of persistent organochlorine compounds in glacial ice and snow in the mountain ranges of western Canada. These pollutants have accumulated over decades via long-range air transport. A rapid glacial melt may release these trapped pollutants in sufficient quantities to be of concern for downstream aquatic ecosystems.
There will also be changes in the make-up of mountain ecosystems. Banff National Park, for example, contains montane, subalpine and alpine vegetation zones. Forty-one species in the park are at the limits of their ranges, and are therefore particularly sensitive to climate change. Both latitudinal and elevational boundary shifts should be expected. A temperature increase of between 1°C and 6°C will cause vegetation zones to shift upwards by approximately 500 to 600 metres or a range of about 1,600 to approximately 2,000 feet, the equivalent of one vegetative zone in mountainous regions. This may result in the loss of some alpine species that will be unable to compete with subalpine or montane species that expand upward. One scientific study modeled the loss of mammals in montane habitats from climate change and predicted that an increase of 3°C would result in species losses of 9 to 62 percent from mountaintops.\textsuperscript{7}

The migration of animals and birds through the region will also be significantly affected by climate change. The current wintering zone for the park’s ungulate herds is in the montane regions of the lower river valleys in most of the parks that compose the World Heritage Site. The montane zone provides a shallower snow pack, allowing these animals to move with relative ease. Though winters are expected to be shorter and spring run-off earlier, a projected increase in winter precipitation may impair the movement of these species. In response to these changes, ungulates may migrate down valley to find food throughout the winter.

The fire regime in the mountain national and provincial park region will be affected by climate change. Forest fires are projected to become more frequent. The intensity of fires may also increase as a result of drier summer conditions. Higher summer temperatures and less severe winter cold spells are expected to increase forest disease and insect attack, such as those by species of bark beetle on lodgepole pine communities and high elevation spruce and fir forests. Fires are likely to become more intense when they occur in forest stands affected by these insect species. Higher temperature fires will have impacts on water storage in vegetation and soils and influence run-off patterns in ways that we cannot presently fully predict.

The impact of climate change on popular recreational activities like downhill skiing in western Canada has not been adequately studied. An increase in winter snowfall may result in better ski conditions, however warmer temperatures in late fall and early spring may result
in a shortened season. In addition, increased temperatures may push quality ski conditions to higher elevations, potentially increasing the pressure to expand ski facilities upward. The potential increase in avalanche activity due to increases in snow pack and higher winter temperatures may increase the disruption of ski operations and highway and railway corridors in the park.

On the positive side, warmer temperatures are expected to increase the length of the summer season for activities such as hiking, camping, golfing and rafting. Deeper snow pack may continue to restrict the accessibility of some high-elevation trails. However, trails in lower areas would experience an increase in season length. Higher stream water levels may impede backcountry trail users and, at times, pose an extra risk to visitors. Rafting may benefit from high late-summer water levels resulting from increased glacial melting, as long as there is a large enough volume of ice to still be melted. Higher spring peak flows, however, may threaten park infrastructure like bridges, culverts and trails. Decreased summer precipitation and higher evapotranspiration rates may increase irrigation requirements for golf courses.

Recent studies have indicated that the climate in the Canadian West is warming at a rate that exceeds the global average. In Alberta, for example, mean annual temperature has increased in the range of 1 to 2.5 degrees Celsius over the last century. We are already experiencing higher winter and nighttime temperatures. Snow and ice are declining as warmer, shorter winters convert snow to rain. Mean annual stream flows on the Canadian prairies have been decreasing since 1947. Over time, changes in precipitation are expected to have substantial impacts on total water supply.

While the southern Great Plains move in the direction of desertification, the climate in the western mountains has also begun to change. For the last century, the strategy for protecting global biodiversity has been to protect representative parcels of each important eco-region. The foundation of this entire global program, of which our mountain national and provincial parks are an important part, is
that these representative areas will remain biogeographically stable. But the Alberta climate change vulnerability assessment argues that global climate change impacts are already invalidating this assumption. The maintenance of global biodiversity will require us to aim to protect what will effectively become “a moving target of ecological representativeness.”

Protecting existing landscapes will require that disturbances be managed, new stresses will need to be controlled and habitat modifications will likely be necessary in order to reconfigure protected areas so that they can survive emerging climate conditions. Whole ecological systems are already advancing northward. Current ecological communities are disassembling and re-integrating into new assemblages. These changes could bring about a huge dislocation of human settlement. That relocation will likely be inland and uphill toward cooler temperatures and reliable water supplies. The Canadian West will be a different place by 2050.

The implications of these changes could dramatically change our culture. As climate change impacts accelerate, as they almost certainly will do, governments will have to do a great deal more to ensure the

PRACTICING PROBING FOR BURIED AVALANCHE VICTIMS
Because there are more inexperienced people skiing in the backcountry and because skiers at established resorts do not always take out-of-bounds warnings seriously, the avalanche hazard remains the prominent winter public safety threat in the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. Although ski patrols at established ski areas are well-trained in search and rescue techniques, avalanche victims seldom survive long if they are buried. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
To be spent on managing natural, agricultural, forest and urban ecosystems so as to ensure the vitality of the basic processes that form the foundation of the environmental stability upon which our continued prosperity depends.

Though we are presently looking at a complicated future, we have to see that there is a huge window of opportunity in this. Never before have we had a greater reason to create a vision of the West we want and to act on it. Never before has there been a greater urgency to get past the frontier free-for-all that once again defines our age, to create a vision of what we want our West to be like at its future and ultimate best. By protecting the core of the central Rocky Mountain ecosystem, we have laid down the foundation for the next iteration of scientifically informed public policy in the mountain West. So what might we do next?

It took about fifty-five years to lay down the foundation for the protected area system that presently defines the ecological and cultural milieu of the mountain West. Due to the urgency created by population growth, landscape change and accelerating climate impacts it is not likely we will have that much time to affect change. We might have ten to twenty years at most – and the next five are the most critical. There are at least seven things we might consider doing. To transform the West around our founding landscape values, we won’t have time to do one step before we advance to the next. We will have to move simultaneously on all fronts. Fortunately, some of these steps are already being undertaken. What we seem to lack, however, is urgency, and that is something we can either have now, while we still have choices, or later when we don’t.
The first thing we should do is acknowledge the true nature of our accomplishment in the mountain West. We have to think in larger terms about what we have, appreciate what we have done, acknowledge what we have learned by doing it, and be unabashed in creating a vision for the future based on the foundation of past success. Though we may not have consciously intended to do it – or even known we were doing it – the creation of the Mountain Parks and surrounding buffers is one of this country’s greatest cultural achievements. It is a triumph of persistent, forward-thinking public policy. This accomplishment, in my estimation at least, should trump the history of development and fragmentation we presently celebrate as being so central to our identity in the West. We need to keep in mind that the real history, the one that will matter most to the future, is not what we built, but what we saved.

Breakthroughs in understanding the dynamics inherent to self-willed, self-generating ecosystem function will be as important to the future as the discovery of electricity was in the past. Those discoveries can only be made where such systems still exist. Our greatest future wealth may reside in the fact that we still have such places.

It is not enough, however, to tell people what we have. Due to the galloping impacts of population growth, landscape change and climate warming, what we have now could well be history before we can explain its loss. What we need is a desirable vision of this world transposed over the next. No one is going to create this vision for us. Governments might ultimately bless and perhaps even fund what we do regionally, but they are not going to do the work. The vision has to come from somewhere. Whatever the future holds for this region, it is going to be created on the ground by selfless people working together toward a common vision and linked to one another through the Internet. Ideally, whatever we do next should be informed by science and should be founded on ecosystem values. This isn’t going to be easy. We are going to have to get out of our intellectual, institutional and jurisdictional silos to achieve and create a larger vision, but once again the Central Rockies Ecosystem provides a means to do so. The compelling natural character of the Rockies drove the first iteration of the mountain West. It can drive the next.

The second thing we might consider is that we have to stop making things unnecessarily worse. Instead of trying to avert climate change, we are dismantling natural systems that serve as protection against climate extremes. At the same time we are going full speed ahead in
making landscape changes that will not diminish but amplify climate impacts. Sooner or later, we are going to have to stop inappropriate development in the mountain West if only because, in terms of energy and climate impacts, it is not sustainable.

Third, there is an urgent need to link scientific research outputs to public policy inputs. We have to build a bridge between science and public understanding so that we can establish a common vision of the value of what we have in the context of global and climate change. Presently, we don’t act fast enough on what science tells us. It has been estimated that it would cost $30 trillion a year to provide the planetary ecological services that nature presently provides to us free. It might only cost one one-hundredth of that to protect and expand much of the world’s existing ecological function. Viewed this way, E.O. Wilson argues, conserving biodiversity can be seen to be the best deal that nature has offered humanity since agriculture. We need to realize and then act on this fact.

Fourth, we need to build a strong local culture around ecosystem productivity. The most effective way to incorporate ecosystem-based sensibilities into the fabric of our culture is through land-use policy. Only by tying all human activity directly to a landscape ethic can all the energies of our unique Western mountain culture be harnessed in support of sustainability. Only then will we be able to use art, literature and popular culture, grounded in solid science, to inspire in the public imagination a new sense of pride in what we possess, in terms of ecological wealth in the mountain West and why it is important to our future. We need to articulate a simple but sensible ecosystem ethic and communicate it outward, in a way that will constantly reinforce local understanding of the value of what we possess in the mountain West that is unique to where and how we live.

We need high-profile public champions for the expanded bioregion. Every time we turn on a television or radio, we need to hear the message. We have to get past worn-out environmental clichés about the importance of ecosystems, which put people into nostalgic sleep. We need some edge. We need to teach Westerners the value of what ecosystems do and provide – for us and for the world. And we need to do it now.

To survive economically – which is to say ecologically – we need to create a culture commensurate with the remarkable nature of the landscape we occupy. We can’t do that without the sincere support of the tourism industry. The tourism industry, however, has made this
commitment without expecting every gesture in support of ecosystem understanding to be rewarded with further development approvals.

If we want to keep what we have, we will have to find ways to participate in the creation of an economic foundation compatible with an ecologically sound future. If we don’t, then the future could take away from us all that we have worked to protect. This suggests that, whether we like it or not, we have to do a far better job of articulating the economic value of the ecological wealth we possess, so that our whole society can redefine itself in the context of what that wealth might ultimately mean to them.

Finally, we need to embody our society’s need to be positive and persistent while being flexible and adaptive. Those locals and visitors who have come to know and love the western mountain landscape all have community and family lives. Our knowledge of mountain geography and ecosystems allows us to be highly influential witnesses to climate impacts in our time and to extend that influence beyond the mountain community to everyone around us.

We have to dispel the myth that we can’t do anything about what is happening, that we have to essentially sit back and watch as our world and everything that matters in it declines, that we have to accept the diminishment and loss that began in the Pleistocene to persist into our epoch to become the central theme of our historical era.

Now is not a time to be timid. We can’t retreat because there is no place to retreat to. We should go up not down. We should expand our ecosystem ideal and see if, in making our ecological wealth apparent to ourselves and to others, we can’t shape a different kind of future than the one obviously before us. We’ve done it before. We can do it again. We can create the West we want.
The realization that we have done some very significant and positive things in terms of the management of land use that we haven’t yet fully appreciated is a source of great hope for the future in the mountain West that is increasingly rare in our time. That hope was put into obvious relief in a global context for perhaps the first time through the United Nations International Year of Mountains in 2002.

The International Year of Mountains evolved out of the Rio de Janeiro Earth Summit in 1992, where it was realized that the health of the planet’s mountain ecosystems was just as serious an environmental concern for humanity as tropical deforestation, desertification and climate change. It was concluded in Rio that many of the mountain ranges in the world were under real threat. Our extreme landscapes were literally being eaten alive. The International Year of Mountains was declared so that we could focus on these threats and what we might do to counter them.

The reaction in the Mountain Parks to the proposed UN Year was very interesting. Many felt that the celebration wouldn’t be of much value because mountain ranges in Canada were not under the same kinds of threats as the mountain regions in places like the Andes or Himalayas.
Our country was too big, too sparsely populated and too wealthy to have the kinds of problems other countries had in their mountains. As we had been wise enough to protect large national and provincial park blocks and adjoining multiple-use forest areas in our mountain regions, the International Year of Mountains would simply be a celebration of our success in protecting mountain ecosystems. This, however, did not turn out to be the case. The biggest challenge faced by organizers during the International Year of Mountains in Canada was to get people to see what was happening right in front of their very eyes.

In examining the state of our mountain ecosystems against the backdrop of the pressures facing mountain regions all over the world, it became apparent that mountain ecosystems in Canada are no less threatened than elsewhere on the planet. We have resource development, settlement and human-use issues, tourism pressures, and problems with habitat fragmentation and loss. We have ecosystem health issues and problems with introduced species.

Just as elsewhere in the world, the people who live in our mountain areas are often obliged by the harsh nature of economic reality to suffer exploitation by lowlanders who apply political and economic pressures...
in ways that compromise local connection to place and respect for the fragility of ecosystems. All this, we discovered, happens in and around our mountain national parks, which are the extreme landscapes to which we have afforded our highest level of protection and most intense management commitment.

In mountain areas outside national parks, our culture’s appetites are eating up more than just the view. At a forum held in Jasper in 2000, representatives from every national park in western and northern Canada gathered to talk about the relationship between heritage, tourism and ecological health. It became apparent that the problems we face inside our parks are nothing compared to what is happening on and beyond their boundaries. There were few national parks, even in the remotest parts of the Arctic, that were not experiencing extensive oil and gas exploration or mining development on or near their boundaries. In some of the more remote parks, the number of people travelling for the purpose of resource exploitation is many times greater than those who visit for reasons of personal transformation or adventure.

Just as elsewhere in the world, the flow of history in Canada is leading toward a direction of reduced biodiversity and increasing cumulative human impact in all of this country’s mountain ranges. We often save extreme landscapes only when tourism and adventure are deemed the most profitable human use, and then only after resource interests have eaten away at them first.

As has occurred elsewhere, the hysterical but largely unfulfilled prophesies of the extreme environmental movement has spawned a counter-movement within the industrial tourism sector. American-style public relations strategies are being employed widely by the tourism industry, especially in the mountain national parks. If you don’t like the fact that a landscape has been slated for any kind of protection, or if you feel in any way limited in the activities you may want to undertake in a given area, you can create your own advocacy group to fight for and expand your rights.

The self-centred public relations of special interests have proven to be very dangerous to our hope of long-term sustainability. What kind of cultural landscape do we live in when all meaning and value is reduced to economic terms? You get a world in which wishes are often disguised as facts. You get a world of logical and rhetorical fallacy in which weasel words and special pleading define the future of our most cherished places. Combine this with a form of political correctness in which disagreement is confused with disrespect and you can justify almost
anything. In such social environments it is very easy to lose ethical and moral grounding.

Through the UN International Year of Mountains we learned that, our self-focus aside, there are realities out there that operate quite independently of how we want the world to work. Humans have now become a force rivalling nature itself, in terms of energy and material flow. There is now no place in the mountain regions of the world that have not felt the influence of human-induced landscape change. Habitat loss and species extinction globally are occurring at a rate unprecedented in history.

Our impacts are so great globally that many ecologists are claiming the only way we can avoid dramatic planetary ecosystem change is to actively manage all of our resources toward maximum biodiversity. Unfortunately, we don’t know enough about the world’s natural systems to do that. Nor, if we can judge the reaction to the Kyoto Protocol and attempts at creating similar accords in the wake of its failure, do we yet have the common will to successfully do so.

However, despite all the threats that were put into relief by placing what is happening in Canada into a global context, we discovered also that we possess opportunity in and around the Mountain Parks that others elsewhere no longer possess. Due to our decision in the past to protect important upland regions in the mountain West, we still have room to move.

It is not likely that the ecosystems of our mountain landscapes will collapse any time soon. But they are changing. But it is not just to prevent disaster that we need to consider the importance of the Mountain Parks to our future. This relatively intact system provides stability and buys us time to adapt to changes we are bringing about by our wide-ranging activities in and around the mountain West. We need to constantly remind ourselves that this expanded protected area keeps alive an ecological thermostat that may well be an important defence against future climate change impacts in the Canadian West.

One way to ensure we protect all future options is to expand the area of designation included in the Mountain Parks. We are not talking about creating any new parks here, but rather upgrading the status of what we already possess.

In a recent study, Conservation International defined wilderness as an expanse of ten thousand square kilometres or more, in which at least 70 percent of the area still bears natural vegetation. We possess more than twice that much ecological wealth in the Mountain Parks alone.
But this is not the only ecological wealth we possess. By combining our natural capital that has been banked in the form of buffer zones around the core park system, we can multiply the value of our protection investments.

During the initial consultation phase that resulted in the creation of the expanded Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site in 1990, Alberta Provincial Parks indicated they would be supportive of adding seventeen contiguous provincially protected areas to the designation. These areas, which include parks that buffer national parks along the continental divide, all possess a high level of ecological integrity similar and complementary to those found in the World Heritage Site. The total area of these additions would be over 960,000 hectares, representing an increase in area of about 42 percent, which is substantial enough to require a re-nomination. It has been proposed additionally that three adjoining provincial parks in B.C. also be considered in any re-nomination.

The prospect of adding additional mountain areas to the existing World Heritage Site designation has become more feasible with the recent designations of new parks and the upgraded legal protection...
for others, plus the approval of management plans with an emphasis on protection of the natural environment. As a result, the World Heritage Committee, at its 2006 meeting, passed a resolution encouraging an expansion of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site. The expansion of the designation will demonstrate the global ecological value of what we already possess, so its economic value can be appropriately recognized. The broader designation also offers the opportunity to reposition ourselves in the global tourism marketplace. We may wish to use the expanded designation as a foundation for articulating and aggressively marketing interpretations of the important role the careful local management of ecologically stable national and provincial parks and protected places plays in moderating regional climate impacts, as a way of distinguishing the Canadian Rockies region in the world tourism marketplace. We may also wish to seek broader designation in the name of watershed protection, for the benefits in doing so will greatly reduce the cost of dealing with water quality in towns and cities downstream.

Re-designation could be accompanied by scientific research activities related to evolving ecosystem dynamics. Such research could be linked directly to public education that underscores a vision of the West we want. Increased public understanding of the economic value of properly functioning ecosystems would inform evolving public policy, and create a foundation for this country’s second great landscape-based national public policy achievement. It may sound impossible but it isn’t. History tells us a great deal about the power of mountain place to define identity.

KARST CREEK

One area that has received inadequate attention in the management of protected areas, including the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site, is the role that upland watersheds and aquatic ecosystems play in ensuring regional water supply security. Growing water scarcity is projected in all current climate change scenarios. The need to better protect upland water resources is reason enough in its own right to reconsider which areas might be included in an expanded UNESCO World Heritage Site designation. Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
Managing for Future Integrity

For the Canadian West. At the risk of repeating one of the central themes of this book too often, we have done it once. We can do it again.

There are other benefits to extending the designation. Without altering a single land-use regulation, the enlarged World Heritage Site will encompass a broader range of ecosystems and habitats, including the montane grasslands of the Front Ranges and Foothills on the east side, and wet-belt forests on the west slope of the Great Divide. The broader array of habitats and ecosystems will provide more resilience in the event that climate change causes latitudinal and elevational shifts of current ecosystem complexes. This would mean a greater likelihood of maintaining this region in the closest possible semblance of its original state.

With the exception of bison, which may yet be reintroduced, all the large mammal species that historically occupied habitats in the World Heritage Site are still present. All naturally occurring fish species are still present, as headwater streams provide remnant habitat for once-abundant species such as bull trout and west-slope cutthroat trout.

The extended designation will also speak very positively to the World Heritage Committee’s criteria for preservation of integrity. Integrity is a measure of the wholeness and intactness of the natural and/or cultural

The Foothills Ecoregion

Vast areas of the eastern slopes in the foothills ecoregion adjacent to the entire length of the current World Heritage Site are still in pristine ecological condition. Presently these domains act as buffers protecting the core of the World Heritage Site. Many of these areas, including the White Goat, Siffleur and Wilmore Wildernesses, are worthy of higher designation in and of themselves. Such designation need not mean an end to current human activities, including hunting and guiding.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.
heritage and its attributes. Examining the conditions of integrity requires assessing the extent to which the property includes all elements necessary to express its outstanding universal value.

For all properties nominated under criteria of integrity, biophysical processes and landform features should be relatively intact. However, it is recognized that no area is totally pristine and that all natural areas are in a dynamic state and to some extent involve contact with people. Human activities, including those of traditional societies and local communities, often occur in natural areas. These activities may be consistent with the outstanding universal value of the area where they are ecologically sustainable. In addition, properties nominated under integrity criteria should have sufficient size and contain the elements necessary to demonstrate the key aspects of processes that are essential for the long-term conservation of the ecosystems and the biological diversity they contain.

The benefits of such designation, however, do not stop with ecosystems. Beyond the region’s geological, biological and ecological significance is an entire domain of cultural significance that has yet to be brought into consideration, even within contemporary management frameworks that define current use of this far-flung group of protected places. These mountains are the headwaters of western Canadian literature and poetry. They are the inspiration for art, music and dance, and dozens of recreations that utterly define Western identity. These mountain landscapes are the source of our cultural inspiration and the image of what Canada is, in the world’s imagination. They are the backdrop to everything we are and do in the mountain West.

Our final decision on what areas we would like include within this globally significant re-designation is pending. The high level of protection we afford these landscapes will ensure that the Western culture we created in response to the grandeur of the landscapes in which we live, continues to thrive and that we plan to establish our uniqueness through that culture. In telling the world that these places matter, we are announcing that where and how we live is central to our identity as Canadians. By deciding in favour of these broader designations, we will be announcing to ourselves and to the rest of Canada that we are on the threshold of creating a culture commensurate with place. In so doing we will be telling the world that we have made a clear decision on the kind of West we want. That world will then come to Canada on our terms, to see that West and to see how we created it.
WHAT WE HAVE CREATED BY WAY of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site is unique in the world, and invites us to think in different terms about how we might live in association with this remarkable landscape in the future. By re-affirming local values derived from our strong historic connection to place, we can make our history work for us in the service of a bright future. This, I believe, can be accomplished through the re-telling of our history in the expanded context of our greatest cultural achievement: the creation of our National and Provincial Parks and their collective designation as a World Heritage Site. This re-telling should begin with what we have done well and what we can do well in the future. One thing we have done well relates to our growing understanding of the role fire plays in the succession of mountain ecosystems. Because this leads us back to a greater understanding of why protected areas exist, this is a story Parks Canada doesn’t mind sharing.

TORCHING SMOKEY THE BEAR

AS FIRE ECOLOGISTS LEARNED more about the natural history of Rocky Mountain valleys, it became clear that for thousands of years Native
peoples had been using fire to manipulate forest composition toward higher production of favoured wildlife species. Citing where we were all standing as an example, Parks Canada fire ecologist Dr. Cliff White pointed to the presence of mature poplars surrounding the Muleshoe parking area on the Bow Valley Parkway west of Banff townsite. Given the natural and anthropogenic regime of regular low-intensity fires, White argued, it is likely that aspens began over time to be found in close proximity to established Native campsites, which would invariably be close to water.

The gradual acceptance of prehistoric human influence on natural ecosystems, White explained, altered the very foundation of national park management, at least in the Rocky Mountains. Concepts of enduring ecological integrity had to encompass the role humans played in shaping the ecology of mountain regions long before the arrival of the first Europeans, the creation of the National Parks concept, and so-called modern approaches to land and ecosystem management. In other words, the Native peoples of the mountain West were a natural force that dramatically influenced the make-up and function of the ecosystems from which they were later excluded. We cannot manage the ecosystems that exist today without taking this into account. Our influence is merely an extension of theirs – a different extension perhaps but an extension nonetheless. And now the difference is diminishing between the way people managed mountain forests prior to European settlement and the way we manage them today. Our approaches became the same the moment we began emulating their management practices by purposefully setting fire to the forests.

The significance of this is not just symbolic. The implications go far beyond the mere acknowledgement that early peoples understood and responded creatively to ecosystem dynamics, which they intuited from observation and experience. Recognizing that First Nations were part of nature, and influenced ecosystem dynamics enough to shape the character of what exists, is a positive step forward. It suggests that we now realize the distanced, isolated role we have played in managing the national parks and similar protected areas in the past has been misguided and destined to fail. But the controlled burning of forests to generate favourable biodiversity goals can only be seen as a start. There is a huge backlog of similar management mistakes that we have made, which we have to go back and re-examine in a similar light. We have just started to repair the damage that we caused to the ecosystems we have taken into our charge, through the mismanagement of fire.
In order to fully appreciate the extent to which Parks Canada has committed to restoring the ecosystem function of fire in the Canadian Rockies Mountain Parks World Heritage Site, I was invited to fly over the area of Banff National Parks in which fire specialists had been most active in reproducing natural fire regimes through prescribed burns.

We left the Banff Warden office and flew up the Cascade River Valley to the Red Deer, then west to the Pipestone Valley north of Lake Louise. As each successive valley came into view, Parks Canada fire ecologist Ian Pengelly described its fire history and tied it to management decisions that had been made in the past. Pengelly pointed out the small patches of forest that had been set ablaze in prescribed burns that attempt to duplicate the historic regime and frequent, small, relatively low-temperature fires to which the mountain forest ecosystems had adapted over thousands of years.

Pengelly made it clear that despite the cost, these efforts will continue to be necessary if we want to even begin to reproduce the effect of wildlife and small-scale Native burns on the landscape of the mountain West. Important work is being done here that will help everyone in the West better understand and control fire and its effects. Pengelly pointed out that this knowledge will help us slow the diminishment and loss of ecosystem vitality and help us delay some of the effects climate change has already begun to cause. But it won’t keep the pine bark beetle out and it won’t stop the flow of invasive species into the World Heritage Site. We are too timid and still too inexperienced to do what cold winters once did naturally in the forests of the mountain West.

Flying from Lake Louise down the Bow Valley back to Banff, Pengelly didn’t need to say a word. It was perfectly obvious, looking at the unexpected extent of forest that hasn’t been burned in more than a century, that we haven’t done enough to restore fire’s former role in this ecosystem. We haven’t even begun to burn what we need to burn. I think of Banff’s highly conservative business community and I wonder what would scare them most; the size of the area of forest in the upper Bow Valley that should be set ablaze for reasons of maintaining natural forest community plant succession, or the extent of the vulnerability of Banff townsiteto a catastrophic wildfire fuelled by deadfall that has built up in a forest that hasn’t been allowed to burn in a hundred years. From the air it was easy to see how little actually protects the town of Banff from
Prescribed burns only take place when conditions for controlling resulting blazes are ideal. The object is to create a low-intensity, low-temperature fire that will reduce the natural fuel load and open up forests to vegetative succession that will support wildlife. To start a fire, forest ecologists employ drip torches that ignite a petroleum fuel mixture, which will set the understory ablaze in a confined area.

Photograph by R.W. Sandford.

an up-valley fire or one that might leap into the valley from any one of a half dozen side valleys and adjacent passes. Skilled firefighters might, if there was enough warning, be able to turn a big fire to the rims of the wide valley at Moose Meadows near Castle Junction. But, then again, with a strong wind from the west they might not. What was needed was a firebreak in the area of Castle Junction.

After the helicopter shut down at the Warden Office in Banff, Pengelly made a final observation on the unexpected risks associated with preventing fire from playing its natural role in shaping natural ecosystem dynamics. It has to do with the wind. It was his experience, gained from a couple decades of starting and controlling prescribed burns, that there was usually very little wind directly down the main valley from the direction of Lake Louise. When it was windy in Banff townsite, he said, the winds usually came down from adjacent Healy Creek. A big fire from that direction, he mused, might be turned at Vermilion Lakes so that it skirted the town on two sides. But it would be tricky. The valley below Healy Creek is a natural venturi that would concentrate and intensify wind speeds. A hot fire pushed down-valley by the right winds could easily burn the town of Banff to the ground.

There was just enough wind blowing from the west to clearly understand Ian’s point. A higher-energy atmosphere will be one of the consequences of climate warming in this region. We should expect higher winds and more frequent and intense weather events. With these changing conditions, the chances of a “perfect storm” in the Bow Valley will be much increased. Pengelly was upbeat, however. Parks Canada ecologists now understood the importance of fire to natural
Creating a culture commensurate with place

get out of my mind. So I was very surprised at how satisfying it felt to set a forest on fire. The next step in my education about fire in the Mountain Parks was a course offered by Parks Canada fire and vegetation specialists. The course went into detail about why fires were important to natural plant community succession in mountain areas. We were also taught about fireproof clothes and drip torches, soil moisture and wind direction. More importantly, we learned about firebreaks. It is important to note that when I lit the fire I was under the close supervision of experts.

Not everyone has the occasion to purposely start a forest fire. This one, however, had been planned for months. The area in which the fire was scheduled to be set is a unique part of Banff National Park. Known as the Fairholme Environmentally Sensitive Area, it encompasses the rich montane lowlands of the Bow River Valley at the eastern edge of the park. Here we find some of the best winter habitat for elk and deer on the eastern slopes, and one of the last remaining places in the region to support relatively stable predator populations. The purpose of this fire was the further improvement of wildlife habitat, so that the area will continue to support a viable wolf pack, a sustainable local cougar population, and a few more black bears.

Ian Pengelly was in charge of the fire. He had waited a long time for conditions for the fire to be perfect. As snow had fallen the previous week, the soil was perfectly saturated. The temperature was right and the winds light.

Upon instruction from Pengelly, Province of Alberta Fire Specialist Terry Studd and I descended to the base of a small ridge and began to set fire to clusters of south-facing junipers. The fire quickly rose up the slope, just as Pengelly had predicted. I took over the drip torch from Terry as we advanced along the base of the ridge. The model we were using was the Western Forester Seal-Tite Back Fire Torch. When sealed, it looks like a function. Fire could now be controlled to the benefit of the town and the park.

All those years of listening to Smokey the Bear had deeply affected me. “Always prevent forest fires,” was a mantra I have never been able to get out of my mind. So I was very surprised at how satisfying it felt to set a forest on fire. The next step in my education about fire in the Mountain Parks was a course offered by Parks Canada fire and vegetation specialists. The course went into detail about why fires were important to natural plant community succession in mountain areas. We were also taught about fireproof clothes and drip torches, soil moisture and wind direction. More importantly, we learned about firebreaks. It is important to note that when I lit the fire I was under the close supervision of experts.

Not everyone has the occasion to purposely start a forest fire. This one, however, had been planned for months. The area in which the fire was scheduled to be set is a unique part of Banff National Park. Known as the Fairholme Environmentally Sensitive Area, it encompasses the rich montane lowlands of the Bow River Valley at the eastern edge of the park. Here we find some of the best winter habitat for elk and deer on the eastern slopes, and one of the last remaining places in the region to support relatively stable predator populations. The purpose of this fire was the further improvement of wildlife habitat, so that the area will continue to support a viable wolf pack, a sustainable local cougar population, and a few more black bears.

Ian Pengelly was in charge of the fire. He had waited a long time for conditions for the fire to be perfect. As snow had fallen the previous week, the soil was perfectly saturated. The temperature was right and the winds light.

Upon instruction from Pengelly, Province of Alberta Fire Specialist Terry Studd and I descended to the base of a small ridge and began to set fire to clusters of south-facing junipers. The fire quickly rose up the slope, just as Pengelly had predicted. I took over the drip torch from Terry as we advanced along the base of the ridge. The model we were using was the Western Forester Seal-Tite Back Fire Torch. When sealed, it looks like a function. Fire could now be controlled to the benefit of the town and the park.

All those years of listening to Smokey the Bear had deeply affected me. “Always prevent forest fires,” was a mantra I have never been able to get out of my mind. So I was very surprised at how satisfying it felt to set a forest on fire. The next step in my education about fire in the Mountain Parks was a course offered by Parks Canada fire and vegetation specialists. The course went into detail about why fires were important to natural plant community succession in mountain areas. We were also taught about fireproof clothes and drip torches, soil moisture and wind direction. More importantly, we learned about firebreaks. It is important to note that when I lit the fire I was under the close supervision of experts.

Not everyone has the occasion to purposely start a forest fire. This one, however, had been planned for months. The area in which the fire was scheduled to be set is a unique part of Banff National Park. Known as the Fairholme Environmentally Sensitive Area, it encompasses the rich montane lowlands of the Bow River Valley at the eastern edge of the park. Here we find some of the best winter habitat for elk and deer on the eastern slopes, and one of the last remaining places in the region to support relatively stable predator populations. The purpose of this fire was the further improvement of wildlife habitat, so that the area will continue to support a viable wolf pack, a sustainable local cougar population, and a few more black bears.
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ridge and made his way up the steep slope to meet a fire line created by Ian Pengelly and Tom Davidson. He looked like a Pied Piper from hell. As he moved nonchalantly up the hill and through the forest, flames popped out of the ground and followed him. A great roaring followed the fire into the forest above.

As the smoke cleared, the afternoon winds stopped blowing from the west. Cool, denser air began pouring slowly down the mountainsides into the valley. Night would soon put the fire to sleep. Pengelly explained that Parks Canada had to be very careful to keep its fire program operative within constraints acceptable to the local residents upon which it relied for support. He was very conscious that the smoke from prescribed burns in the park could create discomfort among people with respiratory problems who lived downwind. For this reason Pengelly and his colleagues kept the area of each burn to less than 200 hectares and carefully monitored conditions. A firebreak had also been created between the park and the neighbouring communities of Harvey Heights and Canmore. Pengelly hoped that the people who lived downwind in the Bow Valley would appreciate that the Fairholme fires would ultimately contribute to the natural biodiversity of the park and the region, making it a safer, more interesting and ultimately a more worthwhile place to live in the future.

RE-WILDLING THE WEST

Our improved understanding of fire and our growing ability to manage it to the benefit of both ecosystems and people in the Mountain...
creating a culture commensurate with place

Parks has opened the door to other opportunities to restore ecosystems that have been adversely impacted by human actions in the past. One way to reverse the trend of diminishment and loss of ecological integrity and diversity that began in the Pleistocene, and we have carried on in our time, is to consider re-introduction of lost species. The restoration of previous ecological conditions, however, is fraught with complications. Only a very committed society can even contemplate turning back the ecological clock, for once a species is gone, a whole new ecology forms around its absence.

In association with Parks Canada’s International Year of Mountains fire orientation program, I was also invited by Parks Canada to contribute observations on the viability of re-introducing the bison to the upper reaches of the Red Deer River in Banff National Park. This species

**NIGHT FIRES BURNING**
While prescribed burns will help moderate the wildfire threat, they will not eliminate it. Wildfire frequency and intensity as well as the duration of the fire season are expected to increase in all climate change scenarios as a warmer, more energetic atmosphere generates more intense storms, longer droughts and more lightning in the mountain West. We are going to have to get used to smoky skies.

*Photograph by R.W. Sandford.*

**RECOVERY AFTER FIRE**
Observers are often astounded by how quickly mountain vegetation responds after a fire. As long as the fire is not too hot, grass shoots often begin to grow out of the blackened soil hours after the fire has passed. Wildflowers, and in particular fireweed, appear almost immediately.

*Photograph by R.W. Sandford.*
had been present in the area of the Mountain Parks up to and slightly after European contact and had had a huge influence on the ecology of the mountain forests until it was hunted nearly out of existence in the nineteenth century. The bison was the largest terrestrial mammal to have survived the Pleistocene extinctions in North America. The idea was that re-introduction of this species would reverse the trend of diminishment and loss of species and ecological integrity within the World Heritage Site. But as I soon learned, the introduction or re-introduction of even a single species through the process of re-wilding can have profound ecological consequences.

Parks Canada invited a number of people to see where the proposed bison re-introduction might take place. We gathered at the Warden Office in Banff early on an overcast June morning, with the aim of flying into the Red Deer Valley. The group included local naturalist and ecosystem expert Peter Duck, respected outfitter Ron Warner and me. Our host, at least for the flight from Banff to Scotch Camp Warden Cabin, was Chief Park Warden Ian Syme. Accompanying him was Ian Pengelly, the Parks Canada’s fire specialist who had earlier allowed me to try my hand at starting a prescribed burn in the Fairholme Range.

Pengelly had confided that the idea of re-introducing bison into its historic range was the brainchild of a number of senior Park Wardens like himself who wanted to do something really bold before they retired. They had chosen the upper Red Deer watershed because it was known historic bison habitat. There were two other important advantages to the area. In this area the national park abutted on its boundary with the Ya-Ha-Tinda Ranch, a 565-hectare Parks Canada holding in some of the best grassland habitat in the front ranges of the Rockies. Just as importantly, it was a long way from the Bow Valley where conflicts between human use and wildlife protection always seemed to make national headlines. In this remote place it might be possible to perform the ultimate experiment in reversing biodiversity diminishment and loss while contributing to the restoration of the park’s original ecological integrity. The object, Pengelly said with pride, was to re-introduce the alpha herbivore in the North American West. It wasn’t going to be easy, however, as the bison is one of the most difficult and dangerous of all species to manage and confine.

To understand how wonderfully remote the upper Red Deer River Valley really is, it is valuable to see the park from the air. Pilot Ken Gray flew us from Banff up the Cascade River Valley, where we dropped supplies at Stoney Creek Warden Cabin before following the Panther River
to the park’s eastern boundary and out into the Province of Alberta. We then flew over the Ya-Ha-Tinda, then back into Banff National Park, following the Red Deer River to Scotch Camp. The reasons for holding our discussion at this remote Warden Service outpost soon become apparent. We were joined at Scotch Camp by park ecologist Tom Hurd, ecosystem specialist Cliff White, and University of Calgary bison restoration specialist Cormack Gates. Hurd, White and Gates were very excited about the grass on which Ken Gray had so smoothly landed the helicopter.

The big meadow at Scotch Camp had been purposely set alight in April, less than two months earlier. White explained to our amazement that green shoots had started to push themselves through the burned sod barely two hours after the fire scorched the mountain prairie. In barely two months, the fescue had completely restored itself and the meadow, creating almost unbelievably rich habitat for ungulates like deer and elk. Conditions so lush, White and Pengelly pointed out, could surely support bison.

Their point was clear. The stunning grassland ecosystem recovery rate in this valley is evidence of how valuable fire would have been historically as a range improvement tool. By burning carefully and regularly at low temperatures, Aboriginal peoples ensured that bison could continue to be supported even this far into the mountains. If it was done in the past, it could be done again.
These Park Wardens wanted to try but they knew they would never be given an opportunity to undertake such an expensive and controversial project without a great deal of public support. Peter Duck, Ron Warner and I were invited to offer our observations on the idea behind the restoration and to be candid about whether or not the kind of public support necessary to make it happen might be forthcoming. No one was shy about identifying the obstacles. The first challenge was the nature of the bison as a species. They are so big and powerful, they are almost impossible to contain.

Jasper National Park contains some of the best natural bison habitat in the Mountain Parks. In 1973, a small herd of some twenty-eight animals was airlifted into a remote northern region of the park. It wasn’t long, however, before they migrated out of the park and into the surrounding foothills. In order to prevent havoc on private lands, twenty-two of the animals were recaptured. The others lingered in the area but did not survive. It may be that they needed more habitat to survive than they could find solely within the protection of the park.

The idea was to bring in pregnant bison cows, have them calve in a purpose-built paddock and then gradually introduce them into the valley so that they would imprint on the upper Red Deer as home range. Bison bulls that grew up in the valley would eventually be able to roam within a core area that would include the Panther and Cascade Valleys to the south, the Pipestone River and Baker Creek drainages to the west, and the Siffleur and upper Clearwater regions to the north and west. Should bison wander outside of these core areas and containment zones, they would be culled by First Nation ecosystem specialists in the employ of Parks Canada. In this way, First Nations participation in the culling would be formalized in a manner that was both practical and symbolic. It was proposed that the first run of management experimentation be thirty years.

The political challenges associated with the re-introduction of the bison are not insignificant. Though there are presently some 220,000 plains bison on commercial buffalo ranches in Canada, Canadian ecological specialists recently proposed this bison be listed as endangered in the wild. Commercial producers went ballistic due to the threat such a listing would pose in international markets.

Cormack Gates pointed out that area-specific species restorations were occurring with other troubled prairie mammals such as the black-tailed prairie dog and the black-footed ferret. He also cited the fact that media mogul Ted Turner was trying to restore a complete Great Plains
ecosystem on his huge Montana ranch. This suggested to Gates that there was a “sweet spot” between economic, ecological and cultural interests that might permit restoration of Great Plains habitat and species. The challenge was to find that spot and do everything one could to enlarge it. Gates also cited the Charles M. Russell initiative, which aimed to restore prairie habitat in the American West, and another initiative in which the World Wildlife Fund helped add 25,000 acres to a restoration project on the Montana-Saskatchewan border. Gates pointed out that bison ranchers were also working on solutions such as the creation of common ranges as large as 600,000 acres. Whether all these initiatives will actually work, however, remains to be seen.

Cliff White wanted to talk about ecological considerations. “Is what we have here now, right?” he asked. “Or is it simply a product of what we have done, perhaps incorrectly, over the last century?” Bison, he pointed out, move differently on the landscape than other ungulates or even cattle. Bison do not congregate in the riparian areas like cattle do. They exert different grazing pressures. Bison wallow and tend to trample small pines and spruce trees, which encourages new patterns of grass and forb growth. They also tend to establish their own trails. Cliff’s point was that the landscape you end up with over time is very different with bison than what results when the major ungulates are deer, elk and moose. Part of the significance of this restoration proposal was that it offered more options with respect to the era to which we want to return, in terms of replicating ecological integrity.

White was asking an important question. Which ecological integrity do we want to reproduce? The one with deer, elk and moose, or the one that existed before we established the current wildlife assemblage, which included and in fact was dominated by the bison? Ian Pengelly also weighed in on this argument. In his opinion it is crucial to determine clearly the point to which you want to return in the ecological history of the World Heritage Site as a function of Parks Canada’s management objectives. Pengelly pointed out that the protected area’s current ecosystem dynamics included a strong link between elk, caribou, predators and fire. He noted that there were – at last count – less than half a dozen mountain caribou in Banff National Park and only two hundred or so remaining in Jasper. He wondered if caribou were disappearing because humans were reintroducing fire and allowing wolf populations to re-establish themselves instead of eliminating them as vermin. He wondered if caribou would have even been present in these mountains had humans not decimated wolf numbers historically.
He also wondered if the ecological combination of bison, predators and fire wasn’t a more representative and stable one. He wondered if that composition might better fit our idea of the West we really want. If it did, he said, we should start managing toward it.

THE WEST WE WANT

Celebrations can be important, especially if they make us examine the roots of our success and the direction that success might be taking us. The International Year of Mountains offered Canadians at all levels of experience an opportunity to reaffirm their connection to place, and to expand their knowledge of Canada’s mountain heritage. By analyzing how locals came to have a “sense of place,” and by examining what people search for when they travel to see our mountains, Canadians began to see just how remarkable our protected mountain places really are in a larger global context.

In re-examining what makes what we have so meaningful to ourselves and others, we learned that the people who live in mountain communities in western Canada have a lot more in common than we
ever imagined. Most of those who live in Canada’s mountain places today were not born where they now live. They came from elsewhere and experienced transformation in the mountains. They fell in love with the local landscape and culture and stayed to become “locals by choice.”

We discovered that residents in what were locally considered to be very different and often rival mountain communities often felt very similar about what was important to them concerning where and how they lived in the mountain West. This, in combination with a number of other circumstances, led to the birth of the Heritage Tourism Strategy in the Mountain Parks. Though this idea has lost some of its initial momentum it still possesses great promise as a vehicle for helping to further develop and sustain a culture that is unique to place in the Rockies.

In a simple world, Parks Canada, or a select group of community-minded residents, might be asked to identify those tourism activities that were most appropriate to the wilderness image and long-term health of the park. Activities deemed inappropriate would somehow magically cease to exist and the image of the park as pristine wilderness would be immediately restored. Unfortunately, the real world is far too complicated to accommodate such simple solutions. Even if you could agree on what was appropriate in the context of changing environmental realities, issues of rights stemming from long years of residency and heavy investment in existing infrastructure cannot be ignored. No one in business in Banff was going to leave the park voluntarily just because their operation is held by some to be inappropriate to their version of park values.

The first objective of the Heritage Tourism Strategy is to make sure that all visitors to the Mountain Parks are aware they are in a park and World Heritage Site and to ensure they know what that means in terms of responsibilities, a unique aesthetic, and the recreational opportunities available to them here.

While this strategy may appear self-evident, the challenge of making people aware of the special circumstances that make Mountain Parks unique is more complicated than it might at first appear. The problem of grounding visitors in the values that are at the heart of our national park heritage begins with how we advertise our parks as attractions. In an examination of the brochures available to Banff visitors, for example, it was noted that less than one-quarter of these indicated that their attraction was in a national park or World Heritage Site and that this unique designation required any form of special consideration on
the part of the visitor. Without specific direction, visitors cannot be expected to act differently in a national park, provincial park or World Heritage Site than they would in any other tourism situation. To ensure our long-term success as a tourism destination, we have to preserve the unique nature of the park. We can succeed at this if we do everything we can to ensure that all visitors understand they are in a special place and a World Heritage Site, and why this is different and special.

The second objective of the Heritage Tourism Strategy is to encourage and develop opportunities, products and services consistent with heritage values. The point this objective makes is that we cannot continue to attract visitors to Mountain Parks to participate in activities that have little or nothing to do with the heritage of the park without expecting that heritage to be compromised. The more distractions there are from the true heritage of the park, the more visitors will come for these distractions. People coming for the distractions will replace those coming for the heritage. Instead of enjoying the World Heritage Site for the heritage for which it was preserved, visitors will come in increasing numbers to enjoy experiences unrelated to the true purpose of the reserve. As this continues to happen, tension over appropriate use will grow and the tourism sector in our parks will increasingly come under criticism as being in opposition to the fundamental purpose of protected areas.

With growing regional populations and a city growing up on the eastern boundary of the Canadian Rocky Mountain Parks World Heritage Site, Banff, for example, will face increasing difficulty in sustaining its international reputation as a wilderness park. The net future impact may ultimately be a decline in the image of Banff as an international destination, which could result in a very much altered tourism climate. In order to help preserve the wilderness character of the park and, at the same time, sustain its vital tourism reputation, the tourism community in Banff may wish to reassess what it offers. It has become clear that one way to assure a bright tourism future is to develop new products and services that mirror the heritage values locals hold dear to them, as a Mountain Park and World Heritage Site community.

New realizations about the complex nature of the parks’ ecosystems are also prompting changes in the way we think about the areas that compose this World Heritage Site. The third objective of the Heritage Tourism Strategy addresses ecological concerns by encouraging environmental stewardship initiatives upon which sustainable heritage tourism depends.
The Heritage Tourism Strategy recognizes the enormous importance of evolving environmental sensitivity, especially within our national and provincial park and World Heritage Site context. The strategy makes it clear that heritage tourism cannot stand on its own without appropriate environmental practices that ensure the integrity of the natural systems upon which this form of tourism depends for its authenticity and sustainability.

In the past two decades, a great deal of progress has been made in the implementation of recycling programs and in the development of greater efficiencies in waste, water and energy management. Some tourism businesses have become leaders in the development of these systems and in the sharing of these advancements within their industries. But environmental considerations in the Mountain Parks cannot stop at recycling and waste management. As better and more complete science allows more accurate monitoring of the health of park ecosystems, it will become increasingly important for the tourism community and national and provincial park administrations to work effectively together to address common environmental problems. The historically adversarial relationship between these agencies and the tourism sector must be replaced with a greater mutual acceptance of the fact that healthy ecosystems are just as important to the tourism industry as they are to the park.

The strategy also recognizes that ecosystems involve complex relationships and that it will not always be possible to make clear-cut decisions relating to ecosystem management based on available information. For this reason, it is particularly important that everyone who lives and works in the World Heritage Site have a solid, current and consistent understanding of the heritage values and environmental
In the West, and in Alberta in particular, where individualism is highly cherished, coming to a common appreciation of our heritage may be difficult, perhaps even impossible. The Heritage Tourism Strategy does not presume, however, to want to make everyone think the same way about our mountain heritage. It does, however, propose that we all may want to start with the same information as a basis for reassessing what is important about our heritage and that the information be, as much as is possible, accurate and relevant.

The Heritage Tourism concept still makes a great deal of sense, but to be successful it may need to be revived at the regional level represented by the World Heritage Site. Its revitalization must not be made to rely solely on the good will and enlightened self-interest of the tourism industry. A strategy of such wide reach will only be made to work if it is considerations that drive management plans for this area.

The fourth objective of the Heritage Tourism Strategy is to strengthen employee orientation, training and accreditation programming as it relates to sharing heritage understanding with visitors. The people who live and work in this World Heritage Site establish, to a very great extent, the attitudes and habits of visitors who rely on them for example and direction, with respect to how they can maximize their national park experience. The Heritage Tourism Strategy seeks to harness local experience and appreciation of place as a basis for focusing visitor appreciation on the unique nature, history and culture of our World Heritage destination. This strategy demands that locals come to a common understanding and acceptance of what our heritage is and how we want it presented to visitors.

In the West, and in Alberta in particular, where individualism is highly cherished, coming to a common appreciation of our heritage may be difficult, perhaps even impossible. The Heritage Tourism Strategy does not presume, however, to want to make everyone think the same way about our mountain heritage. It does, however, propose that we all may want to start with the same information as a basis for reassessing what is important about our heritage and that the information be, as much as is possible, accurate and relevant.

The Heritage Tourism concept still makes a great deal of sense, but to be successful it may need to be revived at the regional level represented by the World Heritage Site. Its revitalization must not be made to rely solely on the good will and enlightened self-interest of the tourism industry. A strategy of such wide reach will only be made to work if it is
adopted as a regional development strategy and embraced as a tool for land-use planning in and around the World Heritage Site. Adopted in this way, however, the strategy embodies the kinds of values that help us decide on a regional basis how we will deal with global change and the impacts associated with global warming. An integrated approach to how we want to manage the World Heritage Site crystal around which we have developed our current circumstances will also help us determine what kind of mountain West we want to have in the future.

WHAT IS OUR HERITAGE?

Historically it has always been understanding and appreciation of nature that has been at the heart of true Rocky Mountain localness. This tradition has been encouraged by a local culture that is interested in wild landscape and the fulfilling experiences you can have in it. In the Rockies, landscape has always been seen as a powerful transformational force in human life. For generations, visitors and locals in the Rockies have observed that in realizing the country they could realize themselves. The history that has always been most important to us as locals is the history of our developing relationship to that nature. True localness has been traditionally tied to weather, land and landscape, and the ways people meet their patterns and demands. For these reasons, our heritage can be defined as a tradition of people coming to live and work here who develop an interest in understanding and celebrating the unique qualities of local nature, history and culture. It is in this way we become locals by choice.

There is little doubt that over time we have gradually been moving away from our historic local grounding in place. There are a number of obvious reasons for this. Though increasingly strong communities compose its heart, the population remains frantically transient. Many of the people who live and work here know the history and geography of where they grew up but not of the place they currently live. And even those who have made the choice to stay are not immune to the acceleration of lifestyle that seems an inescapable part of contemporary culture. Busy people have less and less time to ground themselves in the nature that surrounds them.

Like communities everywhere, we also suffer the effects of the global homogenization of culture based on media and standardized business and communications processes. Even in Canada’s western mountain national parks, politics and economics are gradually beginning to
impact people as much as or more than they do the landscape. In the midst of this, place is changing, too. Even those who have only lived in the Rockies a short while observe that their surroundings are changing. Our understanding of the nature of natural places is also being radically reformed by new knowledge of the dynamics of ecosystems and new awareness about what is required to sustain their integrity. It is hard to keep up with all that is happening.

But we have done one thing right that makes this region the envy of the world. We have not spent all of our natural capital. The fact that we have saved important functioning elements of our natural and cultural history allows us latitude others do not possess in choosing the future we want. What we have saved keeps the door open to the most important of all cultural options: the opportunity to create a new and inspired vision of what kind of West we would like to create for ourselves and for our children.

Only now are we beginning to understand the importance of what we have preserved in terms of the watershed of the West. Only now are we beginning to imagine what this bold act says and could say about our identity and our true prosperity. Re-casting our history against the backdrop of such an extraordinary inter-generational public policy achievement allows our culture room to move in a time when natural systems everywhere are under great stress and are changing rapidly.

By caring about our mountains we have learned how to create a culture commensurate with place. But the frontier era is over and the West awaits its next historic age. We should not be satisfied to simply get what we get. It is up to us to create the West we want.
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9 Originally published in 1911, Mary T.S. Schäffer’s book *Old Indian Trails of the Canadian Rockies* was republished by Rocky Mountain Books in 2007.


**CHAPTER 9**


2 Wes Jackson, *Becoming Native to this Place* (Washington, DC: Counterpoint, 1994).


**CHAPTER 10**


5 Ibid.
CHAPTER 13
2 Ibid.

CHAPTER 14
1 Any examination of Coleman’s technical work will put to rest the notion that the science of geology was not well advanced by the time Coleman took his degrees in Canada and Europe. For those without a good grounding in geology, Coleman’s technical work can look as if it were written in a foreign language. A melaphyre is a porphyritic igneous rock with dark-coloured aphanitic groundmass and varied phenocrysts.
4 Ibid., 187.
5 As Coleman’s description of this ascent is vague, there is some confusion as to which mountain he climbed to get his bearings in the Fortress Lake area. Since they could look east toward Fortress Mountain and Mount Quincy and still see the White Pyramid to the south, it is likely they ascended the ridge to the east of Chisel Creek that overlooks Fortress Lake to the north and Mount Quincy to the east.
The assertion that Coleman made the first ascent of Sunwapta Peak has been made before by other historians. Coleman’s description in the text of his book, however, casts some doubt. The problem is that he describes the mountain as being at the headwaters of the Sunwapta. The actual headwaters of the Sunwapta River is the Athabasca Glacier below Mount Athabasca. The peak directly east of this glacier is Nigel Peak, which is generally held to have first been climbed by surveyors in the employ of the Boundary Commission in 1918. It is almost certain, given the time that it took and his description of the mountain, that the Coleman party did climb Sunwapta Peak, which lies slightly south and east of where the party camped on the confluence of Jonas Creek and the Sunwapta River. See Robert Kruszyna and William L. Putnam, *The Rocky Mountains of Canada North*, Seventh Edition (1985). The first ascent is not credited to Coleman but to J. Simpson in 1906.

In terms of maps, it is also interesting to note that, in the back cover of the book, we find a Canadian Pacific Railway map of its transcontinental route, complete with the names of every community along the main line. In exchange for assistance in making his eight trips through the Rockies, Coleman was not reluctant to quietly promote the railway in his widely read and highly popular account of exploration and science in the mountains of the West.

H.E.M. Stutfield and J. Norman Collie, *Climbs and Exploration in the Canadian Rockies* (Longmans, Green and Company, 1903), 153. The publication of Douglas’s actual journal notes from the upper reaches of Athabasca Pass three years after the first printing of *The Canadian Rockies: New & Old Trails*, exposed the extent to which Douglas’s text had been transformed before his notes were first published in the *Botanical Magazine* in England:

After breakfast at one o’clock, being as I conceive on the highest part of the route, I became desirous of ascending one of the peaks, and accordingly I set out alone on snowshoes to that on the left hand or west side, being to all appearances the highest. The labour of ascending the lower part, which is covered with pines, is great beyond description, sinking on many occasions to the middle. Halfway up vegetation ceases entirely, not so much as a vestige of moss or lichen on the stones. Here I found it less laborious as I walked on the hard crust. One-third from the summit it becomes a mountain of pure ice, sealed far over by Nature’s hand as a momentous work of Nature’s God. The height from its base may be about 5500 feet: timber, 2750 feet; a few mosses and lichen 500 more; 1000 feet of perpetual snow; the remainder, towards the top 1250, as I have said, glacier with a thin covering of snow on it. The ascent took me five hours; descending only one and a quarter. Places where the descent was gradual, I tied my shoes together, making them carry me in turn as a sledge. Sometimes I came down one spell 500 to 700 feet in the space of one minute and a half. I remained twenty minutes, my thermometer standing at 18°; night closing fast in on me, and no means of fire, I was reluctantly forced to descend. The sensation I felt is beyond any description, striking the mind with horror blended with a sense of the wondrous works of the Almighty.

This original journal account makes no mention of the heights of either Mounts Hooker and Brown which were obviously added later. A botanist with any amount of experience in the Rockies would be able to figure out instantly from this description that Douglas must have known, at least approximately, the true altitude of Mount Brown. Treeline in this part of the Rockies is, depending upon slope and exposure, at between 6,800 and 7,200 feet (2,072–2,194.5 metres). Douglas puts treeline at about 2,700 feet (823 metres) above the pass, suggesting that the pass would be at about 4,100–4,500 feet (1,250–1,371.6 metres) in altitude, not all that far off from its actual 5,736 feet (1,748.3 metres). It seems impossible that Douglas wouldn’t have known that treeline could not deviate to a very great extent at this northern latitude. Why a reputable botanist like Douglas would choose to exaggerate the heights of these mountains remains a mystery.

### Chapter 15

CHAPTER 16

2. Sandford, Roads to the Future, 6.

3. See Bob Hahn, Kootenay National Park (Rocky Mountain Books, 2000). Bob Hahn is a Park Warden with a lifetime of experience in Kootenay. This book should be cherished for its loving insights into a national park that does not receive the attention it deserves because of the highway focus visitors and locals bring to their appreciation of it.

CHAPTER 17

2. Rudolf Aemmer spoke these words upon being awarded a special citation by the American Alpine Club in 1909.

3. This quote is from the same 1920 brochure produced by Arthur Wheeler, in the possession of the author.

CHAPTER 18


3. Ibid.

4. Some researchers believe that the uncanny similarity of the bear’s hind foot is at the root of many Sasquatch and Yeti stories.

5. Doug Peacock, Grizzly Years (Owl Books, 1990), 186–7. Peacock described a grizzly grasping a six foot log between its paws and moving it back and forth to scratch his neck.


10. Ibid., see introduction to the year 1690, page 3, following the French version of the introduction by the editors.

the fact that many people mauled by bears suffer head injuries that include the tearing of the scalp, facial lacerations, damage to or loss of eyes and the breaking or tearing off of the lower jaw. For this reason most recommended strategies for playing dead in the presence of an attacking bear stress protection of the face.

CHAPTER 19

5. All of these reports are abridged from Climate Change and Canada’s National Park System, edited by Daniel Scott of the Adaptation and Impacts Group, Environment Canada, and Roger Suffling of the School of Planning at the University of Waterloo, published by Parks Canada in May of 2000.
6. One of the concerns here is that the depletion of glaciers may result in a diminished later summer flow in the Bow and other rivers that rely on glacial melt to sustain year-round volumes. Depending on the extent and nature of local changes, some rivers like the Bow could potentially stop flowing late in dry summer seasons.
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